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Abstract—Permanent magnet synchronous generators, doubly fed induction generators, and traditional electrically excited synchronous generators are widely used for wind power applications, especially large offshore installations. In order to eliminate brushes and slip rings for improved reliability and maintenance-free operation, as well as to avoid costly permanent magnets, a novel brushless electrically excited synchronous reluctance generator having many outstanding advantages has been proposed in this paper. The fundamental operating principles, finite element analysis design studies and performance optimization aspects have been thoroughly investigated by simulations and experimentally under different loading conditions. The effects of different pole combinations and rotor dimensions on the magnetic coupling capacity of this machine have been specifically addressed and fully verified by off-line testing of the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole prototypes with magnetic barrier reluctance rotor and a new hybrid cage rotor offering superior performance.

Index Terms—Stator electrically excited, brushless synchronous reluctance generator, pole combinations, rotor dimensions, coupling capacity, performance analysis.

NOMENCLATURE

- $n_{AW}, n_{EW}$: Magnetic field rotation speed of the AW, EW [rev/min]
- $P_{mecAW}, P_{mecEW}$: Mechanical power of the AW, EW
- $\lambda$: Ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layer
- $\beta$: Useful harmonic content
- $VRR$: Voltage regulation rate
- $T_{em}$: Torque of the BEESRG
- $L$: Mutual inductance between the AW and EW
- $i_{AW}, i_{EW}$: Current magnitude of the AW, EW
- $\gamma$: Angle between the induced phase voltage and current

I. INTRODUCTION

SIGNIFICANT market volatility and other disadvantages of permanent magnets have stimulated existing research on more economical alternative technologies for commercial large-scale wind energy conversion systems (WECS), the conventional doubly-fed induction generator (DFIG) and the electrically excited synchronous generator (EESG). While the DFIG has apparent cost benefits of using a partially-rated converter, it cannot compete favorably with the prevailing permanent magnet generators (PMGs) or EESGs in terms of efficiency and especially the grid-code compliance under fault conditions. The EESGs, on the other hand, largely retain most of the PMGs merits such as high torque density and efficiency, and superior grid-integration properties e.g. low voltage ride through (LVRT) capabilities. However, an obvious drawback of both EESG and DFIG relative to the PMG are the reliability issues and higher operation & maintenance requirements with the presence of brushes and slip rings.

In order to overcome the above EESG limitations, brushless excitation techniques have been receiving more attention, and many interesting original design solutions have been recently considered for either motoring or generating operation [1-3]. A variable-speed constant-frequency system based on a wound rotor synchronous generator with a brushless exciter has been investigated in [4]. A novel structure of the brushless electrically excited motor with the improved power density and controllability is suggested in [5]. The brushless synchronous machine with a 3-phase open winding configuration and dual
A magnet-free version of the EESG, termed as the brushless electrically excited synchronous reluctance generator (BEESRG), is proposed based on the traditional EESG and emerging brushless doubly fed generator (BDFG) topologies. As a hybrid design, the BEESRG has inherited most of the EESG and/or BDFG advantages whilst bringing additional benefits. Compared with the EESG, the BEESRG can offer much enhanced reliability and significant reductions of the maintenance costs and drive train downtime by avoiding regular brush replacements and servicing routines. The absence of expensive rare-earth permanent magnets (e.g. NdFeB) and associated risks of irreversible demagnetization with increasing operating temperatures renders it more cost-effective and reliable than the PMG. Similarly to the EESG and PMG, a fully-rated power electronics converter interface with the supply grid allows better LVTR performance and controllability than either the BDFG or DFIG. Besides, the BEESRG is naturally a medium to low speed machine implying much enhanced efficiency and controllability than either the BDFG or DFIG. The BEESRG speed is half that of the conventional wound rotor EESG. The 2p-pole AW is used for power generation, whereas the 2q-pole EW is DC fed from the excitation system. The AW and EW generate the rotating and stationary magnetic fields in the air-gap, respectively, the magnetic coupling and consequent torque production being achieved by their interactions with the flux components coming from the rotor modulating action. In steady-state, the rotor speed (rev/min) can be expressed as:

\[ n_r = \frac{60f}{p + q} \]  

(1)

where the number of rotor poles \( p_r = p + q \) is equal to the total pole-pair number of both stator windings in contrast with the EESG. This means that the BEESRG speed is half that of the equivalent p-pole EESG or DFIG for the same AW frequency.

![A simplified structural diagram of the grid-connected BEESRG.](image)

The excitation system in Fig. 1 consists of three parts: Rectifier, IPM (e.g. a DC chopper), and a control unit. A typical converter topology is shown in Fig. 2. A similar full-scale power converter design but with two additional inverter legs for 3-phase grid connection of the AW has been used as per Fig. 1. In the BEESRG, the rotor construction basically determines the level of magnetic coupling between the stator windings, and in turn, the machine performance. The common rotor can appear in three distinct forms: the cage rotor, the wound rotor, and the modern reluctance rotor [18-23]. The merits and demerits of various rotor designs have been comparatively

(i.e., AC and DC) excitation is put forward in [6]. In [7], a novel stator excited synchronous machine without rare-earth magnets, slip rings or brushes is presented. In [8], a new type of the dual-stator brushless doubly-fed induction generator for wind turbines is proposed. A brushless synchronous machine with additional harmonic field stator and rotor windings, namely the double harmonic windings excitation synchronous machine, has been introduced in [9]. In [10], a magnetic-field-modulated brushless double-rotor machine having the modulating ring and permanent magnet rotors is proposed. Low-power brushless permanent magnet machines for automotive applications have been considered in [11,12]. A brushless claw-pole double rotor machine for power split hybrid electric vehicles with a higher slot fill factor, lower copper loss, and fault tolerant capacity is suggested in [13]. A brushless excitation approach using ceramic insulated sleeve bearings with oil lubrication to form capacitive coupling of the slip rings is presented in [14]. A separately excited synchronous motor with a rotary transformer for hybrid vehicles is introduced in [15]. A high power density wound field synchronous machine for electric vehicle traction drives is presented in [16].

In this paper, a novel magnet-free version of the EESG, termed as the brushless electrically excited synchronous reluctance generator (BEESRG), is proposed based on the traditional EESG and emerging brushless doubly fed generator (BDFG) topologies. As a hybrid design, the BEESRG has inherited most of the EESG and/or BDFG advantages whilst bringing additional benefits. Compared with the EESG, the BEESRG can offer much enhanced reliability and significant reductions of the maintenance costs and drive train downtime by avoiding regular brush replacements and servicing routines.

The absence of expensive rare-earth permanent magnets (e.g. NdFeB) and associated risks of irreversible demagnetization with increasing operating temperatures renders it more cost-effective and reliable than the PMG. Similarly to the EESG and PMG, a fully-rated power electronics converter interface with the supply grid allows better LVTR performance and controllability than either the BDFG or DFIG. Besides, the BEESRG is naturally a medium to low speed machine implying much enhanced efficiency and controllability than either the BDFG or DFIG. The BEESRG speed is half that of the conventional wound rotor EESG. The 2p-pole AW is used for power generation, whereas the 2q-pole EW is DC fed from the excitation system. The AW and EW generate the rotating and stationary magnetic fields in the air-gap, respectively, the magnetic coupling and consequent torque production being achieved by their interactions with the flux components coming from the rotor modulating action. In steady-state, the rotor speed (rev/min) can be expressed as:

\[ n_r = \frac{60f}{p + q} \]  

(1)

where the number of rotor poles \( p_r = p + q \) is equal to the total pole-pair number of both stator windings in contrast with the EESG. This means that the BEESRG speed is half that of the equivalent p-pole EESG or DFIG for the same AW frequency.
analyzed in [24]. Considering the coupling capacity and manufacturing process synthetically, the magnetic barrier and hybrid rotors illustrated in Fig. 3 are researched in this paper.

Fig. 2. The excitation converter configuration.

Fig. 3. 3-D models of the hybrid rotor (left) and magnetic barrier rotor (right).

B. Power flow

Assume that the slip power contributions of the AW and EW in steady-state are $P_{sAW}$ and $P_{sEW}$, respectively. Because the rotor resistance is small, the rotor copper losses can be ignored, and the slip power relationship can be expressed as:

$$P_{sAW} + P_{sEW} = 0$$

(2)

According to (2), $P_{sAW}$ and $P_{sEW}$ are the same, but the direction of power flow in the two windings is opposite.

The electromagnetic power and slip expressions are of standard induction machine form and can be written as:

$$P_{emAW} = \frac{P_{AW}}{s_{AW}} < 0 \quad P_{emEW} = \frac{P_{EW}}{s_{EW}} \rightarrow 0$$

(3)

$$s_{AW} = \frac{n_{AW} - n_r}{n_{AW}} \quad s_{EW} = \frac{n_{EW} - n_r}{n_{EW}}$$

(4)

Note that whilst $s_{AW}$ is ranging from 0 to 1, $s_{EW}$ is tending to infinity as the DC magnetic field of the EW is stationary i.e. $n_{EW} = 0$ in (4).

The mechanical and other power relationships of interest that can be derived using (2) and (3) are as follows [25]:

$$P_{meAW} = (1-s_{AW}) P_{emAW} < 0$$

(5)

$$P_{meEW} = (1-s_{EW}) P_{emEW} = (s_{AW} - s_{EW}) P_{emAW} < 0$$

$$P_{eAW} = -P_{AW} = -s_{AW} P_{emAW} > 0$$

(6)

$$P_{eEW} = P_{EW} = -P_{AW} = -s_{EW} P_{emAW} \rightarrow 0$$

Fig. 4 shows a power flow diagram of the BEESRG corresponding to (5) and (6) above.

III. COUPLING CAPACITY OF BEESRG

As for any other machine, the degree of magnetic coupling is critical to the BEESRG performance, and as such it represents one of the key optimization parameters in the design process. The implications of various pole combinations, the EW pole number, and the rotor dimensions on magnetic coupling properties of the BEESRG are considered in this section.

Fig. 4. A power flow chart of the BEESRG.

A. Pole combination

Various pole combinations can lead to different coupling capacities, and hence the achievable performance of the BEESRG [21]. In the selection process, the following principles should be observed and/or the relationships satisfied:

• In order to avoid direct coupling between the AW and EW, $p$ and $q$ must be different.

• In order to reduce the radial pull and vibration, the windings pole-pairs should be such that $|p - q| \geq 2$ [26].

• In order to maximize the power density, $|p - q|$ should be made as small as possible when $p + q$ is constant [27].

In keeping with the above constraints, 6/2 pole, 8/2 pole, and 8/4 pole combinations are analyzed and compared, assuming the lower pole number for the EW. The respective relative harmonic diagrams obtained by the Fourier decomposition of the air-gap flux density are shown in Fig. 5, where the harmonic order of the AW pole-pair number has been identified as useful, and the EW counterpart as the fundamental component used as a base for normalization (e.g. 100%). For example, the useful harmonic for the 6/2 pole case in Fig. 5a is of the 3rd order (i.e. 6/2), whereas the fundamental is of the 1st order (i.e. 2/2).

Fig. 5. Spectrum diagram of the air-gap flux density for different BEESRG pole combinations: (a) 6/2 pole; (b) 8/2 pole; (c) 8/4 pole.

From Fig. 5, it can be noticed that for the 8/2 pole windings, the 4th harmonic content is up to 180%, but the parasitic
components, such as the 6th, 9th, and 11th, are also rather high, even exceeding 80%. Therefore, the asymmetrical 5-pole rotor structure results in an increase of undesirable harmonics. In contrast, the useful 3rd and 4th harmonic for the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs, respectively, are proportionally smaller, the useless harmonics, however, being much less in content than in Fig. 5b. Hence, the 6/2 and 8/4 pole winding combinations will be investigated further as more promising.

B. Excitation winding pole pair number

When compared with more traditional machines, the BEESRG structure is relatively complex. The stator windings of different pole numbers have two-fold functions, i.e., excitation and/or torque production. However, there is no adopted consensus as to which winding should be primarily flux or torque producing. In order to examine the BEESRG performance in this sense, two excitation modes of the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRG are compared by Fourier analysis. The corresponding spectrum diagrams are shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

When the EW is only supplied, the greater the harmonic component of the same order as the AW pole-pair number, the stronger the coupling capacity of the BEESRG. Note from Figs. 6 and 7 that the useful harmonic content of the excitation mode where the EW has a fewer pole number (e.g. 2-pole in Fig. 6 and 4-pole in Fig. 7) is higher than if the other winding with more poles is used for the same purpose (e.g. 6-pole in Fig. 6 and 8-pole in Fig. 7). So, when the fewer pole winding is largely flux producing, the magnetic coupling is better. This winding is therefore used as the EW in the further studies.

C. Effects of rotor parameters

The magnetic interaction between the AW and EW is realized through the rotor modulating action. The rotor design optimization is thus of utmost importance for the BEESRG performance [28-29]. The main parameters to specifically look at are the pole-arc coefficient, the magnetic layer number, the ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layers, and the number of cage conductors. The influence of these parameters on the coupling capacity of the 8/4 pole BEESRG with a 6-pole rotor depicted in Fig. 8 will be investigated in the following.

1) Pole arc coefficient

The pole arc coefficient (PAC) directly determines the air gap length between two adjacent salient poles, and as such is very important for the magnetic coupling. It can be expressed as $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$, where the meanings of $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are defined in Fig. 8. As shown in Fig. 9, with the increasing PAC, the ratio of useful and fundamental harmonic components (i.e. the harmonic content) decrease. Given that a number of magnetic barriers are required to be inserted, selecting a small PAC value can cause the rotor magnetic circuit to saturate easier, and its mechanical sturdiness would also be compromised. Therefore, the PAC is chosen to be 0.7 as a trade-off.

2) Magnetic layer number

A magnetic barrier rotor is composed of both magnetic and non-magnetic layers. By increasing their number, the magnetic anisotropy would increase, thus enhancing the level of magnetic field modulation, but at the expense of manufacturing difficulties (and hence higher cost) and mechanical robustness of the entire rotor construction (i.e. it would become more flimsy with a high number of punched laminations). As illustrated in Fig. 10, with the rise of the magnetic layer number, the relative useful harmonic content also increases as expected. However, when the magnetic layer number is over 4, the coupling capacity has nearly reached the saturation showing no apparent signs of further improvement.
3) Ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layer

The non-magnetic layer can guide the magnetic flux of the machine. Therefore, it is important to carefully select the ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layer widths ($\lambda$) annotated in Fig. 8. Four simulation models with the ratios of 1, 1.5, 2, and 2.5, are established and individually simulated to obtain the relative useful harmonic content ($\beta$) normalized to the fundamental component. As tabulated in Table I, $\beta$ exhibits the rising trend with the increasing $\lambda$ up to the value of 5:2 when it begins to decrease. Therefore, for $\lambda = 2$ (i.e. 2:1), the coupling capacity is the strongest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layer</th>
<th>$\beta$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>116.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:2</td>
<td>120.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>124.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:2</td>
<td>119.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4) Cage conductor number

Cage conductors i.e. a common cage conductor (CCC) and a short-circuit cage conductor (SCCC), can improve the coupling capacity of a magnetic barrier rotor. Both CCC and SCCC are similar to ordinary squirrel-cage rotor bars as shown in Fig. 11. In this section, four case studies (i.e. one CCC on its own and then together with, one, two or three SCCCs) have been analyzed. The respective 3-D structures are shown in Fig. 12 with the corresponding variations of 4-pole and 8-pole magnetic field components appearing in Fig. 13.

According to Fig. 13, it can be seen that with the number of CCC increasing, the values of 8-pole magnetic field component increase gradually, while the 4-pole counterparts decrease. When the SCCC number is from 2 (Case 3) to 3 (Case 4), the coupling capacity of the BEESRG has no obvious enhancement. However, the downside of increasing the SCCC number is that the manufacturing cost and rotor copper losses would likewise go up. As a good compromise, the Case 3 (i.e. a single CCC and two SCCCs) is selected eventually.

The 2-D simulation models for the four BEESRG prototypes (i.e., two stators and four rotors) are built. The specifications of the prototypes used to produce the results presented in this section are given in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (Unit)</th>
<th>6-pole rotor</th>
<th>4-pole rotor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated power (kW)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage (V)</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole pair number</td>
<td>[8, 4]</td>
<td>[6, 2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated frequency (Hz)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated speed (r/min)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator outer diameter (mm)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator inner diameter (mm)</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot number</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap width (mm)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core length (mm)</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor inner diameter (mm)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW connection</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor rib width (mm)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole arc coefficient</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic layer number</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of magnetic and non-magnetic layer</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cage conductor number</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. No-load characteristics

The speed of the unloaded BEESRG is kept at synchronous value with the DC fed excitation winding (EW). The respective no-load curves are shown in Fig. 14. It can be seen that the AW open-circuit (induced) voltage increases with the raise of the EW currents (and hence related flux magnitudes) as expected.

Note that for the same excitation current, the voltage levels of the BEESGs with the hybrid rotor are notably higher than using the magnetic barrier rotor. Therefore, the mutual inductance values and magnetic coupling capacity provided by the hybrid rotor are clearly superior.
The air gap flux density waveforms of the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs with the magnetic barrier and hybrid rotors are shown in Fig. 15 and 16, respectively.

B. Short-circuit characteristics

The short-circuit characteristics of the BEESRGs with the AW shorted are shown in Figs. 17 and 18. It can be observed that both waveforms are essentially sinusoidal in nature, but with a notable DC voltage offset. The underlying reason should be that the AW pole number is an odd multiple (6/2 = 3) of the EW pole number, which induces lots of harmonics for this particular design type.

C. Loading characteristics

Given that the coupling capacities of the two rotors differ, so will the rated voltages even when the stator diameter and the windings are completely identical. When the AW is connected to a purely resistive load, the terminal voltage should be maintained constant by appropriately adjusting the EW current.

1) 6/2 pole design

The voltage and current curves for the BEESRGs with two different rotors at 5 kW output power are presented in Figs. 19 and 20. It can be observed that both waveforms are essentially sinusoidal in nature, but with a notable DC voltage offset. The underlying reason should be that the AW pole number is an odd multiple (6/2 = 3) of the EW pole number, which induces lots of harmonics for this particular design type.
Fig. 21. Flux line distribution of the loaded 6/2 pole BEESRG with the hybrid rotor (left) and magnetic barrier rotor (right).

Fig. 22. Magnetic density distribution of the loaded 6/2 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier rotor (left) and hybrid rotor (right).

Fig. 23. Harmonic spectrum diagram of the air-gap flux density for the 6/2 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier (left) and hybrid rotor (right).

In order to analyze the VRR, the voltage-current relationship of the AW is investigated. As shown in Fig. 24, the VRR of the 6/2 pole BEESRG with the hybrid rotor (red line) is 9.1%.

Fig. 24. External characteristic of the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRG with the hybrid rotor.

2) 8/4 pole design

The voltage and current waveforms for the 8/4 pole BEESRGs at 5 kW are shown in Figs. 25 and 26. Again, these are largely sinusoidal in shape, but unlike the 6/2 pole equivalents in Figs. 19 and 20, there is no superimposed DC voltage component. This can be explained by the fact that the AW pole number is now an even multiple (8/4 = 2) of that of the EW, and there is little harmonics generated, which makes this pole arrangement a preferable choice from this point of view.

Figs. 27 and 28 show the respective flux line and magnetic density distributions, and Fig. 29 plots the air-gap flux density harmonic content, of the loaded 8/4 pole BEESRGs. The same conclusion of the hybrid rotor being able to offer the stronger magnetic field modulation than the magnetic barrier rotor can also be made as in the 6/2 pole case.

For VRR comparisons of the BEESRGs with two different pole combinations, the relation between the phase voltage and current of the 8/4 pole BEESRG with the hybrid rotor is plotted in the same Fig. 24 (black line). Notice that the VRR of 17.9% is nearly double the value of the 6/2 pole counterpart.

Fig. 25. Voltage and current waveforms of the loaded 8/4 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier rotor.

Fig. 26. Voltage and current waveforms of the loaded 8/4 pole BEESRG with the hybrid rotor.

Fig. 27. Flux line distribution of the loaded 8/4 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier rotor (left) and the hybrid rotor (right).

Fig. 28. Magnetic density distribution of the loaded 8/4 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier rotor (left) and the hybrid rotor (right).

Fig. 29. Harmonic spectrum diagram of the air-gap flux density for the 8/4 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier (left) and hybrid rotor (right).
V. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

The prototype 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs with the hybrid and magnetic barrier rotors (Fig. 30) have been built and tested for the verification of the simulation results. A photo of the experimental test system is shown in Fig. 31.

Fig. 30. Four rotor prototypes for the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs: (a) 4-pole magnetic barrier rotor; (b) 4-pole hybrid rotor; (c) 6-pole magnetic barrier rotor; (d) 6-pole hybrid rotor.

Fig. 31. The BEESRG test rig used for the experimental studies.

A. Measurement of mutual inductance

The BEESRG torque expression is basically the same as for the BDFG and can be written as follows [30]:

$$ T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \cdot (p + q) \cdot L \cdot i_{AR} \cdot i_{EB} \cdot \sin \gamma \tag{7} $$

It is clear from (7) that $L$ plays an important role in the torque production of the machine. This is measured at standstill with one phase of the AW being AC excited, and the other winding open circuited. The measurements obtained for the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs with 4-pole and 6-pole rotors respectively are shown in Fig. 32.

It can be seen from Fig. 32 that the peak-to-peak value of the BEESRG mutual inductance with the hybrid rotor is about 50% higher than with the magnetic barrier rotor owing to the stronger coupling capacity. Furthermore, note that the mutual inductance waveforms of the 8/4 pole BEESRGs do not have a DC component, unlike the 6/2 pole designs by analogy to the simulated voltage counterparts in Figs. 12-13 and 15-16.

Fig. 32. Measured mutual inductance of the BEESRG with: (a) 4-pole magnetic barrier rotor; (b) 4-pole hybrid rotor; (c) 6-pole magnetic barrier rotor; (d) 6-pole hybrid rotor.

B. No-load tests

The no-load curves of the 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole BEESRGs with different rotors are presented in Fig. 33. As shown, whether 6/2 pole or 8/4 pole BEESRG, the AW terminal voltage with the hybrid rotor is higher than with the magnetic barrier rotor under the same operating conditions suggesting the stronger coupling capacity of hybrid rotor similarly to the simulation results in Fig. 14.

Fig. 33. Measured no-load characteristics of the BEESRG prototypes.

C. Load tests

The BEESRGs are tested under different loading conditions so that the efficiency can be measured. As shown in Fig. 34, the efficiency of the 6/2 pole BEESRG with the magnetic barrier and hybrid rotors is 81.3% and 80.4% at 14.6 kW, the maximum output powers being 14.6 kW and 16.7 kW, respectively. Similarly, the corresponding efficiencies of the 8/4 pole BEESRG are 81.2% and 80.4% at 10 kW, with the maximum output powers being 10.7 kW and 14.3 kW, respectively. In conclusion, whether 6/2 pole or 8/4 pole designs, the BEESRG efficiency with magnetic barrier rotor is slightly better than using the hybrid rotor for the same power delivered. However, the maximum output power of the BEESRGs with the hybrid rotor is clearly higher than with the magnetic barrier rotor. The
experimental results verify that the hybrid rotor can offer much stronger overload capacity.

Fig. 34. Efficiency curves of the BEESRG prototypes.

The voltage and current measurements for the four prototype machines producing 5 kW are presented in Fig. 35 and 36. As shown in Fig. 35, the waveforms of the 6/2 pole BEESRG have a dc component, and are distorted to some extent. Conversely, it can be seen from Fig. 36 that the respective waveforms of the 8/4 pole BEESRG are much cleaner and with no DC offset.

Fig. 35. Current and voltage waveforms of the 6/2 pole BEESRG prototypes with the magnetic barrier rotor (left) and hybrid rotor (right).

Fig. 36. Current and voltage waveforms of the 8/4 pole BEESRG prototypes with the magnetic barrier rotor (left) and hybrid rotor (right).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented the detailed design studies and thorough analysis of the novel BEESRG with the magnetic barrier reluctance rotors and cage assisted hybrid rotors. Four machine prototypes with 6/2 pole and 8/4 pole wounded stators and 4 pole or 6 pole rotors of each type have been custom built, simulated, and laboratory tested. The following important conclusions and/or observations can be made from the comprehensive simulation and experimental results produced:

- The lower pole (excitation) winding is more suited for the magnetization purposes, and the higher pole (armature) winding for torque (power) production. Such an arrangement offers the desirable harmonic content and better magnetic coupling to the machine for either pole combination considered (i.e. 6/2 or 8/4).
- The magnetic coupling and overload capacities of the BEESRG with the hybrid rotor are much stronger than with the magnetic barrier rotor, although the latter offers a somewhat better efficiency for the same output power.
- The percentage voltage regulation rate of the 6/2 pole BEESRGs is almost half that of the 8/4 pole one.
- The quality of the current and voltage waveforms of the 8/4 pole BEESRG is clearly superior to the 6/2 pole counterpart, with the hybrid rotor in particular. Therefore, the 8/4 pole windings option is generally more preferable for the BEESRG.
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