The Effect of Phase Change Material on Recovery of Neuromuscular Function Following Competitive Soccer Match-Play
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Cryotherapy and recovery following soccer match-play

Abstract

Aim: Cryotherapy is commonly implemented following soccer match-play in an attempt to accelerate the natural time-course of recovery, but the effect of this intervention on neuromuscular function is unknown. The aim of the present study was to examine the effect of donning lower-body garments fitted with cooled phase change material (PCM) on recovery of neuromuscular function following competitive soccer match-play. 

Methods: Using a randomised, crossover design, 11 male semi-professional soccer players wore PCM cooled to 15°C (PCMcold) or left at ambient temperature (PCMamb; sham control) for 3 h following soccer match-play. Pre-, and 24, 48 and 72 h post-match, participants completed a battery of neuromuscular, physical and perceptual tests. Maximal voluntary contraction force (MVC) and twitch responses to electrical (femoral nerve) and magnetic (motor cortex) stimulation (TMS) during isometric knee-extension and at rest were measured to assess central nervous system (voluntary activation, VA) and muscle contractile (quadriceps potentiated twitch force, Qtw,pot) function. Fatigue and perceptions of muscle soreness were assessed via visual analogue scales, and physical function was assessed through measures of jump (countermovement jump height and reactive strength index) performance. A belief questionnaire was completed pre- and post-intervention to determine the perceived effectiveness of each garment. 

Results: Competitive soccer match-play elicited persistent decrements in MVC, VA measured with femoral nerve stimulation, Qtw,pot, as well as reactive strength, fatigue and muscle soreness (P < 0.05). However, there was no effect of PCM on the magnitude or time-course of recovery for any of the neuromuscular, physical function, or perceptual indices studied (P > 0.05). The belief questionnaire revealed that players perceived that both PCMcold and PCMamb were moderately effective in improving recovery, with no difference between the two interventions (P = 0.56). 

Conclusion: These results suggest that wearing cooled PCM garments had no effect on recovery following competitive soccer match-play. The lack of effect could have been due to the relatively small magnitude of change in most of the outcome measures studied.
Introduction

Association football (soccer) is an intermittent-sprint sport which imposes high physiological, neuromuscular and cognitive demands (Mohr et al., 2005). During a typical match, players cover 10-13 km, with 2-3 km covered at high intensities, and a diverse range of high-intensity movements performed, such as accelerating, decelerating, changing direction, impacts and tackles (Mohr et al., 2003). An inexorable consequence of these demands is fatigue, defined as a sensation of tiredness and weakness underpinned and/or modulated by a multitude of physiological and psychological processes (Thomas et al., 2018). The fatigue which occurs as a result of soccer match-play persists post-exercise, and can take days to resolve (Rampinini et al., 2011). Nevertheless, in most top professional leagues, it is normal procedure for teams to compete in three successive games during a seven-day period at several stages throughout a season, often with as little as 48-72 h recovery between games. Due to the demanding nature of soccer match-play and the congested fixture schedules in the modern-day game, understanding the aetiology of fatigue, the time-course of recovery, and strategies to alleviate fatigue and expedite recovery are pertinent issues (Nedelec et al., 2012; 2013).

When implementing recovery strategies aimed at alleviating fatigue and accelerating recovery, it is imperative to understand the stressors causing reductions in performance and delayed recovery before applying the intervention (Howatson et al., 2016). While the fatigue which persists in the days following soccer match-play is multifactorial and complex, impairment in maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) strength, which can take up to 72 h to resolve (Brownstein et al., 2017), is likely an important contributor to post-match fatigue. In turn, impairments in MVC strength are underpinned by a multitude of processes, and are often attributed to impairments in neuromuscular function, measured as deficits in contractile function and/or the capacity of the central nervous system (CNS) to activate muscle (Gandevia, 2001). Using neurostimulation techniques, a recent study from our laboratory examined the effect of soccer match-play on neuromuscular function in the days post-match, and demonstrated substantial impairments in contractile and CNS function which required up to 48 h to recover (Brownstein et al., 2017). In turn, it was further hypothesised that the protracted impairments in contractile and CNS function were likely a consequence of the repeated eccentric contractions associated with match-play and the subsequent muscle damage and inflammatory response which ensues (Ascensao et al., 2008; Brownstein et al., 2017). A number of factors would support this suggestion. Firstly, it is known that soccer match-play induces considerable muscle damage and a prolonged inflammatory response which can persist for several days post-exercise (Ispirlidis et al., 2008; Fatouros et al., 2010). Secondly, while impairments in contractile and CNS function can also occur due to metabolic influences (Allen et al., 2008), many of the metabolic mechanisms thought to interfere with neuromuscular function dissipate rapidly following exercise cessation. For example, following exercise that imposes large metabolic but little mechanical demand, recovery is substantially faster than exercise that is mechanically demanding (Skurvydas et al., 2016). In addition, the mechanical stress imposed on muscle fibres during eccentric based exercise has been shown to elicit prolonged impairments in the excitation-contraction coupling process (Souron et al., 2018), as well as residual deficits in voluntary activation which can take days to resolve (Goodall et al., 2017). As such, it is a plausible assumption that the impaired neuromuscular function which persists for several days following soccer match-play is primarily a consequence of muscle damage and the associated inflammatory response, and strategies to alleviate the negative effects of muscle damage and inflammation could thus be suitable to accelerate recovery following competitive soccer match-play.
The precise mechanisms of exercise-induced muscle damage (EIMD) are complex and remain to be
fully elucidated. However, muscle damage has previously been simplified into two general areas; the
initial event that occurs during the exercise bout (termed “primary damage”), and the secondary events
that propagate damage through factors associated with inflammation (termed “secondary damage”)
(Howatson and van Someren, 2008; Owens et al., 2018). While the inflammatory response that ensues
following EIMD is thought to be crucial in orchestrating muscle repair and recovery (Butterfield et al.,
2006), the secondary damage associated with inflammation is suggested to further exacerbate
impairments in muscle function (Pizza et al., 2005). As such, a common target of interventions is to
alleviate the negative effects associated with the inflammatory response in an attempt to expedite the
recovery process (Howatson et al., 2010; Rowsell et al., 2011).

A common post-exercise recovery strategy is cryotherapy, which is regularly implemented following
soccer match-play, and is supposed to attenuate post-exercise reductions in functional capacity and
athletic performance (Nedelec et al., 2013). While the precise underlying mechanisms remain to be
elucidated, cryotherapy is purported to reduce muscle temperature and reduce inflammation and
oxidative stress (White and Wells, 2013). A recently implemented form of cryotherapy that has
produced encouraging results as a recovery aid is phase change material; PCM (Clifford et al., 2018;
Kwiecien et al., 2018; McHugh et al., 2018). Phase change material is a substance with a high heat
fusion, which melts and solidifies at certain temperatures. When frozen PCM is convectively heated,
for example, through exposure to the human body, it will continuously absorb heat until all material
has changed from solid to liquid. As such, PCM can maintain low temperatures within the tissues of
the target limb for sustained periods. The application of PCM has many logistical and practical benefits
due to being easily transportable, the lower level of thermal discomfort compared with cryotherapy,
and capacity to maintain low temperatures for a prolonged period of time (Kwiecien et al., 2018). A
recent study applied cold PCM to the quadriceps for 3 hours following competitive soccer match-play
and found reduced muscle soreness and accelerated recovery of MVC (Clifford et al., 2018), findings
which have since been corroborated (McHugh et al., 2018).

Despite the promising results of recent studies (Clifford et al., 2018; Kwiecien et al., 2018; McHugh
et al., 2018), more evidence is required to substantiate the efficacy of PCM as a recovery intervention
and to gain mechanistic insight into the potential benefits of PCM on recovery. Accordingly, the aim
of the present study was to examine the effect of wearing cold PCM garments on recovery of
neuromuscular function, as well as physical and perceptual measures following soccer match-play. It
was hypothesised that wearing cold PCM garments would expedite recovery of impaired
neuromuscular function and attenuate muscle soreness, possibly by reducing the negative effects
associated with the acute inflammatory response on contractile and CNS function.

Materials and methods

Participants

After receiving ethical approval from the Northumbria University Faculty of Health and Life Sciences
Ethics committee in accordance with the ethical standards established in the Declaration of Helsinki,
fifteen male semi-professional soccer players from Level eight of the English Football League, gave
written informed consent to participate in the study. Throughout the data collection period, four players sustained injuries which prevented them from completing the study, leaving eleven participants in total (three defenders, five midfielders, three attackers; 22 ± 1 years; stature 1.80 ± 0.10 m; mass 78 ± 8 kg). Players trained three to four times a week, in addition to at least one competitive match. The participants competitive season ran from August to May, with testing taking place in the mid-season phase of the players training year. Participants were required to refrain from physical activity and alcohol consumption for the duration of the study and in the 48 prior to data collection and abstain from caffeine consumption for the 12-h prior to each experimental visit.

Design

The study employed a randomised cross-over design to assess the effectiveness of PCM on recovery in the days following competitive soccer match-play. Participants visited the laboratory prior to commencement of the data collection period for habituation to the measurement tools employed in the study. For the experimental trials, participants were required to visit the laboratory prior to and 24, 48 and 72 h following two competitive soccer matches. The pre-match visit took place 24 h before the fixtures. On one occasion, players wore shorts fitted with PCM (Glacier Tek; USDA BioPreferred PureTemp, Plymouth, MN) that was either cooled (PCM\textsubscript{cold}) or left ambient (PCM\textsubscript{amb}), which served as a sham control. The order of the conditions was randomised using an online randomiser (www.randomizer.org). Phase change material was applied to the quadriceps and hamstring muscle groups, and was worn for 3 h post-match. To ensure compliance with the intervention, away fixtures in which the team were required to travel back for ≥ 3 h were selected. The two fixtures were separated by 4-8 weeks. During each experimental visit, participants completed assessments of neuromuscular, physical, and perceptual function to ascertain the effect of PCM on recovery.

Procedures

Practice trial

Prior to the experimental trials, participants attended the laboratory for habituation with the study procedures. This involved an explanation of the methods employed in the study, before participants performed a practice trial consisting of the neuromuscular, physical and perceptual measures employed in the study (described below).

Experimental trials

Competitive soccer match

Participants visited the laboratory 24 h prior to each match for pre-match measurements (described in detail below). On the subsequent day, players completed a 90 min soccer match within their competitive league consisting of two 45 min halves interspersed by a 15 min recovery interval. In total, the study took place across six matches, with five participants investigated following games one and
two, three participants investigated following games three and four, and three participants investigated following games five and six. All fixtures took place on a grass pitch at either 13:00 (games one, two and six) or 14:00 (games three, four and five). Players were required to play a minimum of 70 min per match in order to be included in the experiment. The activity profiles and heart rates of the players were measured throughout the games using GPS with built in heart rate monitors (Polar Team Pro, Polar Electro Oy, Finland), and compared between games in order to ensure the physical and physiological demands of the matches in each condition were similar.

**Phase change material**

Prior to the post-match application of PCM\textsubscript{cold}, the temperature of the blocks was cooled and maintained in a freezer at 15°C, while PCM\textsubscript{amb} were stored > 22°C. When travelling to the fixtures, PCM\textsubscript{cold} were stored in an insulated storage container. The PCM blocks worn over the quadriceps were 32 cm in length and 13 cm in width, while the blocks worn over the hamstrings were 16 cm in length and 13 cm in width. Two blocks were worn on the quadriceps and hamstring muscles inside compression shorts, with blocks placed over the medial and lateral parts of both muscle groups. The PCMs were applied within 30 min post-exercise, and were worn while travelling back from the matches on the team bus.

**Outcome measures**

A range of neuromuscular, physical and perceptual measures were assessed 24 h pre-match, and 24, 48 and 72 h post-match. Details of these measures are provided below.

**Perceptual responses**

Participants completed the “*Elite Performance Readiness Questionnaire*” (Dean et al., 1990) at each time point, a measure of performance readiness consisting of 10 subjective measures of fatigue, soreness, motivation to train, anger, confusion, depression, tension, alertness, confidence, and sleep. Participants drew a vertical line on a 100 mm horizontal line in response to questions used for each measure, such as “how fatigued do you feel?” “how sore do your muscles feel?” and “how motivated to train do you feel?” Each scale was anchored with verbal descriptors “not at all” to “extremely.” Perceptual measures were assessed at each time-point prior to commencing the warm-up. In addition, similar to a previous study (Clifford et al., 2018) participants completed a questionnaire in which they rated how effective they felt the cold and ambient PCM were going to be for recovery prior to the intervention (pre-match), and how effective they felt they were in improving recovery at the end of the intervention (72 h post-match). The belief questionnaire consisted of a Likert scale from 1 “not effective at all” to 5 “extremely effective”.

**Assessment of neuromuscular function**

Measures of neuromuscular function were assessed at each time-point with electrical stimulation of the femoral nerve and TMS of the contralateral motor cortex at rest and during voluntary contractions of
the right knee-extensors. The neuromuscular assessment began with two practice MVCs to ensure potentiation of subsequent evoked measures, followed by three ~3 s MVCs, all separated by 30 s. During these 3 MVCs, paired motor nerve stimulation (100 Hz) was delivered when peak force plateaued, and ~2 s after the MVC to measure voluntary activation (VA), with a single pulse electrical stimuli delivered 5 s post-MVC to assess potentiated quadriceps twitch force (Q\textsubscript{tw, pot}) of the knee-extensors. Single-pulse TMS was subsequently delivered during two sets of five 3-5 s contractions at 100, 87.5, 75, 62.5 and 50% MVC, with 5 s rest between contractions and 10 s rest between sets, to determine VA\textsubscript{TMS}.

Force and Electromyographical Recordings

The evoked quadriceps force and electromyographic (EMG) responses of the rectus femoris (RF) to TMS of the primary motor cortex, and electrical stimulation of the femoral nerve, were used to assess neuromuscular function. A calibrated load cell (MuscleLab force sensor 300, Ergotest technology, Norway) recorded muscle force (N) during an isometric voluntary contraction of the knee extensors. During contractions, participants sat with hips and knees at 90° flexion, with a load cell fixed to a custom-built chair and attached to the participants right leg, superior to the ankle malleoli, with a noncompliant cuff. Electromyographic activity from the RF and bicep femoris (BF) was recorded from surface electrodes (Ag/AgCl; Kendall H87PG/F, Covidien, Mansfield, MA, USA) placed 2 cm apart over the belly of each muscle, with a reference electrode placed on the patella. The placement of the EMG electrodes was based on SENIAM guidelines (Hermens et al., 2000). Electrode placement was marked with indelible ink to ensure consistent placement throughout the study, with the areas cleaned and shaved prior to electrode placement. The electrodes recorded electrical activity in the RF and BF, with the signal processed to permit analysis of the root-mean-square (RMS) amplitude for sub-maximal and maximal voluntary contractions, the maximal compound muscle action potential (M\textsubscript{max}) from the electrical stimulation of the femoral nerve, and the motor evoked potential (MEP) elicited by TMS. Signals were amplified: gain ×1,000 for EMG and ×300 for force (CED 1902; Cambridge Electronic Design, Cambridge, UK), band-pass filtered (EMG only: 20–200 Hz), digitized (4 kHz; CED 1401, Cambridge Electronic Design) and analyzed offline. Further details on these methods are provided below.

Motor nerve stimulation

Motor nerve stimulation was used for the measurement of contractile function, muscle membrane excitability and estimated VA. Single and paired electrical stimuli (100 Hz) were administered using square wave pulses (200 μs) via a constant-current stimulator (DS7AH, Digitimer Ltd., Hertfordshire, UK) using self-adhesive surface electrodes (CF3200, Nidd Valley Medical Ltd., North Yorkshire, UK). Electrical stimuli were first administered to the motor nerve at rest in 20 mA step-wise increments from 20 mA until the maximum quadriceps twitch amplitude (Q\textsubscript{tw}, N) and M\textsubscript{max} (mV) were elicited. To ensure a consistent, supramaximal stimulus and account for any activity-induced changes in axonal excitability, the resulting stimulation intensity was increased by 30% (198 ± 38 mA). The peak-to-peak amplitude and area of the electrically evoked maximal compound action potential (M\textsubscript{max}) was used as a measure of membrane excitability. In addition, the following mechanical measures of muscle contractility were derived from the single pulse potentiated twitch response: contraction time (CT, time to peak twitch tension), maximum rate of force development (MRFD, maximal linear incline of the
force response calculated at 100 ms epochs), maximal rate of relaxation (MRR, maximal linear decline of the force response calculated at 100 ms epochs), and one half relaxation time.

Voluntary activation with TMS

Single-pulse TMS was delivered over the motor cortex via a concave double cone coil using a BiStim unit and two Magstim 200² stimulators (The Magstim Company Ltd, Whitland, UK). The junction of the double cone coil was aligned tangentially to the sagittal plane, with its centre 1-2 cm to the left of the vertex and was oriented to induce current in the posterior-to-anterior direction. The optimal coil placement was determined at the start of each trial as the position that elicited the largest MEP in the RF, with a concurrent small MEP in the BF during a light voluntary contraction (10% MVC). The optimal position was marked with indelible ink to ensure consistent placement throughout the study. To determine VA with TMS (VA\text{\text{\textsubscript{TMS}}}), single pulse TMS was delivered during brief (3–5 s) contractions at 100, 87.5, 75, 62.5 and 50% MVC, separated by 5 s of rest (Dekerle et al., 2018). This procedure was repeated two times, with 15 s between each set. The stimulation intensity was set at the stimulator output that elicited the maximum superimposed twitch force during a 50% MVC (Thomas et al., 2017), and did not differ between conditions (PCMcold 66 ± 10% vs. PCMamb 68 ± 8%, respectively, \(P = 0.57\)), or across the 4 time-points (\(P = 0.49\)). The stimulator output activated a large proportion of the KE motoneuron pool at baseline, with no difference between PCM\text{\textsubscript{cold}} (67 ± 24% \(M\text{\textsubscript{max}}\) amplitude) or PCM\text{\textsubscript{amb}} (61 ± 13%, \(P = 0.38\)). Small co-activation of the antagonist muscle (BF) was observed in response to TMS and did not differ between PCM\text{\textsubscript{cold}} (0.85 ± 0.37 mV) or PCM\text{\textsubscript{amb}} (0.86 ± 0.36 mV, \(P = 0.96\)) or across the 4 time-points (\(P = 0.51\)).

Assessment of physical function

Participants completed a battery of assessments to measure physical function in variables relevant to optimal soccer performance. All measures of physical function were performed following the neuromuscular assessment and the completion of a standardized warm-up. An optical timing system (Optojump Next, Microgate, Milan, Italy) was used to measure jump height (cm) during a countermovement jump (CMJ), and reactive strength index (RSI) during a drop jump (DJ). For CMJ, participants started from an erect position with hands akimbo. On verbal command, participants made a downward countermovement before jumping vertically for maximum height. For reactive strength index (DJ-RSI), participants were instructed to step off a 30 cm box, before jumping vertically for maximum height as soon as possible after landing, maintaining hands akimbo throughout. To ensure the DJ-RSI was assessing fast stretch-shortening cycle function, a maximum ground contact time of 200 ms was allowed during each jump, with participants given visual feedback on each ground contact time and jump height after each jump (Thomas et al., 2017). Reactive strength index (cm\(\cdot\)s\(^{-1}\)) was calculated as the ratio between jump height (cm) and ground contract time (s). All participants were given three attempts at each jump with 60 s between each repetition.

Match-play physical performance and intensity

During the games, GPS with built in HR monitors (Polar Team Pro, Polar Electro Oy, Finland) were used to assess total distance (TD), high-intensity running (HIR, distance covered at running velocities
higher than 15 km·h\(^{-1}\)), total accelerations (>1 m·s\(^{-2}\)), total decelerations (>1 m·s\(^{-2}\)), and mean and peak HR (Akenhead et al., 2013). These variables were compared between games to ensure the physical and physiological demands of the matches in each condition were similar.

**Data analysis**

Voluntary activation was assessed through the interpolated twitch technique and was quantified by comparing the amplitude of the superimposed twitch force (SIT) with the potentiated twitch force (100 Hz) delivered 2 s following the MVC at rest using the following equation: Motor nerve VA (%) = \([1 - (SIT/Q_{tw,pot}) \times 100]\). VATMS was assessed during two sets of contractions at 100, 87.5, 75, 62.5 and 50% MVC according to Dekerle et al. (2018), and the regression between SIT amplitude and contraction intensity was extrapolated to the y intercept to obtain an estimated resting twitch (ERT, Todd et al., 2003). The regression analysis confirmed a linear relationship at each time-point (\(r^2\) range = 0.89 ± 0.04–0.93 ± 0.06). The estimated resting twitch (ERT) was calculated as the y-intercept of the linear regression between the mean amplitude of the SIT force evoked by TMS at each contraction intensity. Subsequently, VATMS was quantified using the equation \([1 - (SIT/ERT) \times 100]\).

Corticospinal excitability was determined by expressing MEP amplitude as a percentage of \(M_{max}\), which was performed during the VA\(_{TMS}\) protocol and averaged across the five contraction intensities. The peak-to-peak amplitude of evoked MEP and \(M_{max}\) were measured offline.

**Reproducibility coefficients**

Typical error as a coefficient of variation (CV, %) and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC\(_{3,1}\)) between the two baseline visits were calculated to quantify the reproducibility of neuromuscular and physical function measures. Reproducibility coefficients were as follows: MVC (ICC = 0.97, CV = 1.7%), VA with motor nerve stimulation (ICC = 0.85, CV = 2.8%), \(M_{max}\) (ICC = 0.91, CV = 42.6%), \(Q_{tw,pot}\) (ICC = 0.84, CV = 4.3%), VATMS (ICC = 0.81, CV = 4.1%), corticospinal excitability (ICC = 0.51, CV = 14.2%), MRFD (ICC = 0.89, CV = 0.9%), MRR (ICC = 0.80, CV = 2.6%), CT (ICC = 0.60, CV = 8.1%), and RT\(_{0.5}\) (ICC = 0.71, CV = 11.1%), CMJ (ICC = 0.97, CV = 6.6%), DJ-RSI (ICC = 0.87, CV = 7.7%).

**Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± SD. A two-way repeated measures ANOVA with 2 treatment levels (PCM\(_{cold}\) vs PCM\(_{amb}\)) with 4 time points (Pre-, 24, 48 and 72 h post-match) was performed. Normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro–Wilks test. Assumptions of sphericity were explored and controlled for all variables using the Greenhouse-Geisser adjustment, where necessary. In the event of a significant interaction effect (treatment × time), Bonferroni post hoc analysis was performed to locate where the differences lie. Paired sample \(t\)-tests were used to assess differences in match-running and heart rate variables between the two conditions. The belief questionnaire was analysed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. All data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS version 22.0). Statistical significance was accepted at \(P < 0.05\).
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Results

Match performance and intensity

Match activity and heart rate variables are displayed in Table 1. No differences in playing time, match activity, or heart rate variables were found between the two conditions ($P \geq 0.10$). Players were required to play at least 70 minutes in order to be included in the intervention; no players were excluded on this criterion. In terms of treatment order, six players wore PCMamb first and five players wore PCMcold.

Perceptual responses

Perceptual responses from the Elite Performance Readiness Questionnaire can be viewed in Table 2. Soccer match-play elicited fatigue ($F_{3,30} = 18.62$, $P < 0.001$) and soreness ($F_{3,30} = 17.99$, $P < 0.001$) which persisted up to 72 h relative to baseline (all $P \leq 0.03$). No effects of PCM were observed for any of the perceptual responses ($F_{3,30} = \leq 0.65$, $P \geq 0.59$). Analysis of the belief questionnaire revealed no differences in the perceived effectiveness of the two treatments either pre- or post-intervention ($P = 0.56$; Table 3).

Neuromuscular function

Neuromuscular function variables are depicted in Figure 1. Soccer match-play elicited declines in MVC force ($F_{3,30} = 6.26$, $P < 0.01$), VA measured with motor nerve stimulation ($F_{3,30} = 5.05$, $P < 0.01$), and $Q_{tw,pot}$ ($F_{3,30} = 3.09$; $P = 0.03$), with impairments in MVC and $Q_{tw,pot}$ persisting for up to 72 h post-match (all $P \leq 0.04$), and reductions in VA persisting for up to 48 h post-match ($P = 0.03$). Measures of $VATMS$, corticospinal excitability, or muscle contractility were not changed at any time-point. No treatment × time interactions were observed for any of the neuromuscular variables ($F \leq 2.73$, $P \geq 0.18$). However, MVC and VA measured with motor nerve stimulation were greater under the PCMcold condition, as indicated by the treatment effect (MVC: $F_{1,10} = 6.254$, $P = 0.03$; VA with motor nerve stimulation: $F_{1,10} = 5.47$, $P = 0.04$).

Physical function

Physical function variables are displayed in Figure 2. Although a main effect for time on CMJ height was observed ($F_{3,30} = 5.01$, $P = 0.03$), post-hoc analysis revealed no significant differences relative to baseline (Figure 2A). Soccer match-play results in reductions in RSI ($F_{3,30} = 7.45$, $P = 0.02$) which persisted for up to 48 h ($P = 0.02$; Figure 2B). There was no effect of PCM on any of the physical function variables (treatment × time $F_{3,30} \geq 1.05$, $P \geq 0.20$).

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to examine the effect of wearing cold PCM garments on recovery of neuromuscular function, physical function and perceptual measures following soccer match-play. It
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was hypothesised that wearing cold PCM garments would expedite recovery of impaired neuromuscular function and attenuate muscle soreness, possibly by reducing the negative effects associated with the acute inflammatory response on contractile and CNS function. However, contrary to this hypothesis, the data indicate that wearing cold PCM garments did not favourably affect recovery of any of the neuromuscular, physical function or perceptual indices when compared with wearing ambient PCM garments. It is possible that the lack of effect of PCM on recovery was due to the relatively small magnitude of change in the outcome measures investigated. Nevertheless, the results of the study demonstrate that the prolonged application of cooling garments did not significantly enhance the recovery process following competitive soccer match-play. These results are in contrast to a number of recent studies that have demonstrated accelerated recovery of muscle function following the application of $\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ compared with $\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ (Clifford et al., 2018; Kwiecien et al., 2018; McHugh et al., 2018).

The magnitude of impairments in the maximal force generating capacity of the muscle and the time-course of recovery in the present study was similar to that observed following competitive match-play in a study conducted by Rampinini et al. (2011), but less than was observed by Brownstein et al. (2017), in which MVC remained 11% below baseline at 24 h post. Specifically, MVC was reduced at 24 ($\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ 5.2%, $\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ 7.5%) and 48 h ($\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ 4.3%), before recovering by 72 h post-match. Similarly, $Q_{\text{tw,pot}}$ was reduced at 24 ($\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ 8.0%, $\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ 6.7%), and 48 h ($\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ 4.2%, $\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ 3.4%), before recovering by 72 h post-match. Voluntary activation measured with motor nerve stimulation was reduced at 24 h ($\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ 1%, $\text{PCM}_{\text{amb}}$ 6%) before recovering by 48 h post-match. In addition, physical function measured through the DJ- RSI was impaired for up to 72 h post-match, while analysis of perceptual responses indicate that fatigue and muscle soreness persisted for up to 72 h post-match. Furthermore, the reduction in MVC, one of the most widely used indicators of EIMD (Goodall et al., 2017), along with the increase in muscle soreness for up to 72 h post-match, indicates that the competitive soccer matches involved in the study elicited muscle damage. The occurrence of muscle damage was likely a consequence of the high volume of decelerations recorded throughout the matches along with the numerous other eccentric actions associated with soccer match-play. Given that recovery of contractile and CNS function has been shown to occur rapidly following exercise that is metabolically, but not mechanically demanding (Skurvydas et al., 2016), it is likely that the prolonged impairments in $Q_{\text{tw,pot}}$ and VA in the present study were a consequence of the muscle damage incurred during match-play along with the inflammatory response which ensues thereafter.

The lack of an interaction effect between treatment and time for any of the dependent variables indicate that $\text{PCM}_{\text{cold}}$ had no effect on the time-course of recovery of neuromuscular function, physical function or perceptual responses following soccer match-play. These results are in contrast to a recent study conducted by Clifford et al. (2018), who displayed a substantially accelerated recovery of MVC strength in the days following soccer match-play. There are, however, a number of important differences between the studies that could account for these discrepancies. Firstly, a comparison of the decline in MVC strength between the present study and that of Clifford et al. (2018) reveals that the reduction in MVC was substantially lower in the present study. For example, at 36 h post-match in the study by Clifford et al. (2018), MVC strength remained ~15% below baseline following the application of ambient PCM, while MVC strength was reduced by just 8 ± 8% following 24 h and 4 ± 5% following 48 h following the application of the same garments in the present study. One possible explanation for the disparity in the recovery rate between the studies is that in the present study, participants refrained...
from physical activity in the 72 h post-match, while participants continued to train in the days post-match in the study by Clifford et al. (2018), potentially compounding the impairments in MVC strength. Taking this into consideration, it could be suggested that PCM could be a useful tool during periods of heavy training and/or competition, during which impairments in muscle function could be compounded by limited recovery periods. In the present study, although the competitive matches elicited prolonged reductions in the force generating capacity of the muscle, the small magnitude of decrements in MVC strength could have limited the ability to detect any subtle differences between groups. Another potentially important difference between the studies comes from the differences in the results from the belief questionnaires administered in both studies. Specifically, in the study by Clifford et al. (2018), players reported that they believed that the PCM cold were more effective in improving their recovery compared with PCM amb, while no differences were found in the present study. Consequently, it is possible that the results of the study by Clifford et al. (2018) were influenced by a placebo effect, as was acknowledged by the authors.

It should be noted that although no treatment × time interaction effects were noted for any of the neuromuscular variables, both MVC and motor nerve VA were greater under the PCM cold condition, as indicated by the treatment effect. Furthermore, the between-treatment differences in the magnitude of reduction in MVC between baseline and 24 (2.3%) and 48 h (4.3%), and motor nerve VA between baseline and 24 h (5.0%) were greater than the measurement error obtained from the two baseline visits in the present study (VA = 2.8%, MVC = 1.7%). The differences between PCM cold and PCM amb for MVC and VA could thus be considered physiologically relevant, despite the lack of statistical significance. Nevertheless, whether these relatively small differences would impact on soccer related activities is debatable. Indeed, PCM had no effect on any of the physical functional variables measured in the present study. As such, the functional relevance and meaningfulness of the differences between PCM cold and PCM amb for MVC and VA are unclear, and could be questioned.

A number of previous studies have shown that muscle damage leads to prolonged impairments in both contractile and CNS function, as evidenced through protracted reductions in Qtw,pot and VA. In regards to contractile function, it is likely that the prolonged reductions in Qtw,pot following eccentric based exercise are a consequence of direct myofibrillar damage, disorganization of sarcomeres and interference with cellular Ca²⁺ handling which inhibit the excitation-contraction coupling process (Skurvydas et al., 2016). However, events that occur secondary to the initiation of muscle damage have also been implicated in impairments in excitation-contraction coupling. Specifically, the accumulation of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species has been shown to interfere with SR Ca²⁺ release, which has been attributed to redox modification of ryanodine receptors (Cheng et al., 2016). In addition, factors associated with inflammation have also been linked with compromised CNS function (Carmichael et al., 2006). For example, group III and IV muscle afferents, which provide inhibitory feedback to various sites within the CNS (Sidhu et al., 2017), are sensitive to various markers of muscle injury, such as the release of biochemical substrates (e.g., bradykinin, histamines, and prostaglandins) and factors associated with inflammation (Endoh et al., 2005; Sidhu et al., 2009; Pitman and Semmler, 2012), while an increase in brain cytokines following eccentric exercise might also modulate recovery of CNS impairment (Carmichael et al., 2006). In this regard, it was thought that the application of cryotherapy, which has been suggested to inhibit the inflammatory response and limit the generation of reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (White and Wells, 2013), could ameliorate the impairments in contractile and CNS function in the days following soccer match-play. However, the application of
cold PCM had no effect on recovery of either $Q_{w,pot}$ or VA. The lack of effect of PCM$_{cold}$ on neuromuscular function could have been due to a number of factors. Firstly, whether or not cryotherapy actually reduces inflammation remains equivocal, despite its widespread application (Broatch et al., 2014; Peake et al., 2017). Veritably, studies have neither been consistent nor produced compelling evidence to support the role of cryotherapy in reducing inflammation and improving aspects of recovery (Leeder et al., 2012), and it has been suggested that many of the previously reported benefits of cryotherapy could simply be due to a placebo effect, rather than any physiological effect (Broatch et al., 2014). Despite the promising findings from recent studies using cold PCM as a recovery aid (Clifford et al., 2018; McHugh et al., 2018), and that applying these garments has been shown to reduce muscle temperature (Kwiecien et al., 2018), there is no evidence to suggest that cold PCM reduces inflammation. As such, it is possible that PCM$_{cold}$ had no effect on the inflammatory processes suggested to interfere with contractile and CNS function. Secondly, as alluded to previously, the magnitude of the impairments in $Q_{w,pot}$ and VA were relatively small, potentially limiting the ability to detect subtle differences between groups. Indeed, it would be reasonable to assume that the benefits of cryotherapy on recovery would only be evident were the impairments in neuromuscular function more substantial than those seen in the present study. Further research to examine the effects of wearing cold PCM on recovery of neuromuscular function following exercise which elicits substantially more damage is probably warranted.

This study used a competitive soccer match in order to study the effects of the application of cold PCM on recovery in the days post-match. While this approach provides the most ecologically valid means of investigating the effects of a recovery intervention following soccer match-play, one limitation of this method compared with a laboratory simulation is the lack of experimental control over the activity profiles of the players and the high inter-subject variability in match demands. Consequently, it is possible that differences between match-demands could have influenced the magnitude of fatigue and time-course of recovery following the two treatments. However, differences between the time-motion and heart rate variables between the matches were negligible. Furthermore, although simulated match protocols are designed to replicate the physiological demands of competitive matches, many of the neuromuscular, skill and cognitive demands associated with competitive match-play cannot be replicated through match simulations, and the validity of using these protocols when assessing the efficacy of a recovery intervention could thus be questioned. In addition, although no differences were found in the results from the belief questionnaires, on average, participants reported that they believed both PCM$_{cold}$ and PCM$_{amb}$ were “moderately effective” in improving recovery both before and following the intervention. As such, it is possible that a placebo effect could have influenced recovery under both conditions. However, the magnitude of fatigue and the time-course of recovery was similar to that observed following competitive match-play in professional soccer players (Rampinini et al., 2011), suggesting that any placebo effect on the results was negligible. Furthermore, that the participants believed both interventions to be moderately effective could be considered an important finding given that a growing body of evidence indicates that recovery is related to individual preference and perceptions of the intervention (Halson, 2014). Moreover, because local tissue temperature was not measured in the present study, it is unknown whether or not PCM$_{cold}$ had the desired effect in regards to cooling the muscle. Nevertheless, previous work has displayed that PCM$_{cold}$ reduced skin temperature to 22°C for 3 h following eccentric based exercise (Kwiecien et al., 2018). Thus, it is likely that the skin temperature was similarly decreased in the present study. Finally, another limitation of the present study was the 4-8 week gap between matches for each condition. Consequently, it is possible that players were in a different phase of the training cycle between the two matches, potentially influencing the magnitude of fatigue and time-course of recovery in response to competitive match-play.
play. However, the majority of fixtures were separated by 6 weeks or less, with only two matches separated by 8 weeks. As such, it is likely that the influence of the duration between conditions had a negligible effect on the results of the study.

Conclusions

The present study showed that applying cooled PCM to the quadriceps and hamstring muscles for 3 hours following soccer match-play has no effect on neuromuscular function, physical function or perceptual responses. It is possible that the lack of effect of these garments could be due to the relatively small impairments in neuromuscular and physical function in the days post-match. Despite the lack of difference in any of the variables in the study, the results from the belief questionnaires indicated that participants believed the PCM to be moderately effective in improving their recovery following the intervention. This could be considered an important finding given that the efficacy of recovery interventions could be related to individual preference and perceptions of the intervention. Further investigations are warranted to assess whether cold PCM has any effect of neuromuscular function during periods of fixture congestion, when muscle damage could be compounded by the limited recovery periods.
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Table and Figure Legend

Table 1. Match activity and heart rate variables during competitive soccer match-play for the two conditions (PCM\textsubscript{cold} vs PCM\textsubscript{amb}).

Table 2. Perceptual responses measured through a visual analogue scale (mm) at pre-, and 24, 48 and 72 h post-match (n = 11) for two conditions (PCM\textsubscript{cold} vs PCM\textsubscript{amb}). Values are mean ± SD. Significant differences in comparison with baseline indicated by * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01 and *** = p < 0.001.

Table 3. Perceived effectiveness of the PCM garments for recovery before and after the intervention.

Figure 1. Maximal voluntary contraction force (MVC, A), voluntary activation measured with femoral nerve stimulation (B), voluntary activation measured using motor cortical stimulation (C), and quadriceps potentiated twitch force ($Q_{tw,pot, D}$) measured at pre-, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h post-competitive soccer match-play for two conditions (PCM\textsubscript{cold} vs PCM\textsubscript{amb}; n = 11). Values are mean ± SD. Significant differences in comparison with baseline indicated by * = p < 0.05 and ** = p < 0.01.

Figure 2. Countermovement jump height (CMJ, A) and reactive strength index (RSI, B) measured at pre-, 24 h, 48 h, 72 h post-competitive soccer match-play for two conditions (PCM\textsubscript{cold} vs PCM\textsubscript{amb}; n = 11). Values are mean ± SD. Significant differences in comparison with baseline indicated by * = p < 0.05.
Table 1. Match activity and heart rate variables during competitive soccer match-play for the two conditions (PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs PCM<sub>amb</sub>).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Playing time (mins)</th>
<th>Total distance (m)</th>
<th>High-intensity (m)</th>
<th>Accels (no.)</th>
<th>Decels (no.)</th>
<th>Mean HR (bpm)</th>
<th>Max HR (bpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCM&lt;sub&gt;cold&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>83 ± 6</td>
<td>10052 ± 1283</td>
<td>1738 ± 478</td>
<td>373 ± 34</td>
<td>382 ± 31</td>
<td>167 ± 9</td>
<td>192 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM&lt;sub&gt;amb&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>81 ± 4</td>
<td>9870 ± 1236</td>
<td>1795 ± 415</td>
<td>391 ± 137</td>
<td>369 ± 39</td>
<td>165 ± 5</td>
<td>197 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Perceptual responses measured through a visual analogue scale (mm) at pre-, and 24, 48 and 72 h post-match (n = 11) for two conditions (PCM<sub>cold</sub> vs PCM<sub>amb</sub>). Values are mean ± SD. Significant differences in comparison with baseline indicated by * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01 and *** = p < 0.001.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PCM&lt;sub&gt;cold&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>PCM&lt;sub&gt;amb&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre-</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
<td>Pre-</td>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>48 h</td>
<td>72 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
<td>15.2 ± 11.8</td>
<td>55.5 ± 17.7</td>
<td>37.3 ± 21.7</td>
<td>23.7 ± 9.2</td>
<td>20.9 ± 18.0</td>
<td>51.7 ± 21.0</td>
<td>41.0 ± 13.6</td>
<td>24.0 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soreness</td>
<td>18.6 ± 13.5</td>
<td>53.9 ± 17.7</td>
<td>40.2 ± 16.1</td>
<td>20.8 ± 18.3</td>
<td>23.5 ± 20.7</td>
<td>52.1 ± 19.6</td>
<td>51.8 ± 18.2</td>
<td>28.4 ± 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivated to train</td>
<td>74.4 ± 20.2</td>
<td>51.6 ± 21.4</td>
<td>66.8 ± 14.4</td>
<td>67.6 ± 18.6</td>
<td>71.8 ± 23.6</td>
<td>45.2 ± 18.6</td>
<td>57.2 ± 24.5</td>
<td>64.8 ± 25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>11.8 ± 9.4</td>
<td>12.9 ± 10.9</td>
<td>7.5 ± 4.5</td>
<td>7.7 ± 6.9</td>
<td>10.5 ± 9.7</td>
<td>14.6 ± 18.6</td>
<td>8.5 ± 7.1</td>
<td>7.1 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion</td>
<td>18.6 ± 13.5</td>
<td>53.9 ± 17.7</td>
<td>40.2 ± 16.1</td>
<td>20.8 ± 18.3</td>
<td>23.5 ± 20.7</td>
<td>52.1 ± 19.6</td>
<td>51.8 ± 18.2</td>
<td>28.4 ± 19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>16.0 ± 17.2</td>
<td>8.1 ± 5.7</td>
<td>7.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>7.7 ± 7.2</td>
<td>8.5 ± 6.6</td>
<td>8.9 ± 8.1</td>
<td>8.9 ± 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension</td>
<td>20.5 ± 15.9</td>
<td>33.5 ± 25.1</td>
<td>17.6 ± 14.0</td>
<td>14.5 ± 8.6</td>
<td>18.9 ± 16.2</td>
<td>30.8 ± 22.9</td>
<td>25.0 ± 15.3</td>
<td>18.8 ± 15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alertness</td>
<td>68.4 ± 16.7</td>
<td>46.5 ± 23.2</td>
<td>60.5 ± 17.0</td>
<td>63.9 ± 24.4</td>
<td>66.5 ± 22.4</td>
<td>54.5 ± 20.1</td>
<td>65.4 ± 18.8</td>
<td>65.6 ± 14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>65.6 ± 21.6</td>
<td>71.5 ± 12.3</td>
<td>66.8 ± 22.1</td>
<td>74.5 ± 10.6</td>
<td>71.9 ± 15.3</td>
<td>71.1 ± 12.0</td>
<td>70.7 ± 16.0</td>
<td>75.6 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>67.1 ± 18.1</td>
<td>63.5 ± 27.5</td>
<td>65.9 ± 18.1</td>
<td>64.2 ± 25.1</td>
<td>72.7 ± 24.4</td>
<td>56.5 ± 27.4</td>
<td>66.5 ± 15.2</td>
<td>63.5 ± 25.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Perceived effectiveness of the PCM garments for recovery before and after the intervention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PCM\textsubscript{cold}</th>
<th>PCM\textsubscript{amb}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-match</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72 h post-match</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.0 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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