A nonlocal sinusoidal plate model for micro/nanoscale plates
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Abstract

A nonlocal sinusoidal plate model for micro/nanoscale plates is developed based on Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity theory and sinusoidal shear deformation plate theory. The small scale effect is considered in the former theory while the transverse shear deformation effect is included in the latter theory. The proposed model accounts for sinusoidal variations of transverse shear strains through the thickness of the plate, and satisfies the stress-free boundary conditions on the plate surfaces, thus a shear correction factor is not required. Equations of motion and boundary conditions are derived from Hamilton’s principle. Analytical solutions for bending, buckling, and vibration of simply supported plates are presented, and the obtained results are compared with the existing solutions. The effects of small scale and shear deformation on the responses of the micro/nanoscale plates are investigated.
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1. Introduction

Nanostructures are being increasingly used in micro/nanoscale devices and systems such as biosensor, atomic force microscope, micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS), and nano-electro-mechanical systems (NEMS) due to their superior mechanical and electronic properties. In such applications, small scale effects are experimentally observed. It was found that when the thickness of these structures is close to the internal material length scale parameter, such effects are significant and have to be taken into account when studying their behavior. Conventional plate models based on classical continuum theories are not capable of describing such effects due to the lack of material length scale parameters. This motivated many researchers to develop plate models based on size-dependent continuum theories which account for the small scale effects. The nonlocal elasticity theory initiated by Eringen is one of the promising size-dependent continuum theories. Unlike the classical continuum theories which assume that the stress at a point is a function of strain at that point, the nonlocal elasticity theory assumes that the stress at a point is a function of strains at all points in the continuum. In this way, the small scale effects are included through the use of constitutive equations.

Based on the nonlocal elasticity theory, a number of papers have been published in the last four years, attempting to develop nonlocal plate models and apply them to analyze the bending, buckling, and vibration responses of nanoplates. All of these models were based on Kirchhoff plate theory, Mindlin plate theory, and Reddy plate theory. It should be noted that the Kirchhoff plate theory (KPT) is only applicable for thin plates. However, it underestimates deflection and overestimates buckling load as well as natural frequency of moderately thick plates where the transverse shear deformation effects are significant. The Mindlin plate theory
(MPT) gives accurate results for thin to moderately thick plates, but it requires a shear correction factor to compensate for the difference between the actual stress state and the constant stress state due to a constant shear strain assumption through the thickness. The Reddy plate theory (RPT) provides a better prediction of response of thick plate and does not require a shear correction factor, but its equations of motion are more complicated than those of MPT.

The sinusoidal shear deformation theory of Touratier \(^{32}\) is based on the assumption that the transverse shear stress vanishes on the top and bottom surfaces of the beam and is nonzero elsewhere. Thus there is no need to use shear correction factors as in the case of MPT. This theory was successfully applied to laminate plates \(^{33}\) and functionally graded sandwich plates \(^{34-36}\). Therefore, it is useful to extend the application of this theory to the micro/nanoscale plates by accounting for the small scale effects. The aim of this paper is to extend the sinusoidal shear deformation theory of Touratier \(^{32}\) to the micro/nanoscale plates. Equations of motion and boundary conditions are derived from Hamilton’s principle based on the nonlocal constitutive relations of Eringen. Analytical solutions for deflection, buckling load, and natural frequency are presented for simply supported plates, and the obtained results are compared with the existing solutions to verify the accuracy of the present model.

2. **Nonlocal plate model**

2.1. Kinematics

The displacement field of the sinusoidal shear deformation theory is chosen based on the assumption that the transverse shear stress vanishes on the top and bottom surfaces of the beam and is nonzero elsewhere. The displacement field is given as \(^{32}\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_1(x, y, z, t) = u(x, y, t) - z \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \phi_x \\
u_2(x, y, z, t) = v(x, y, t) - z \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \phi_y \\
u_3(x, y, z, t) = w(x, y, t)
\end{aligned}
\]

where \((u, v, w)\) are the displacements at a point on the middle plane of the plate along the coordinates \((x, y, z)\); \(\phi_x\) and \(\phi_y\) are the rotation of the middle surface along the \(x\) and \(y\) directions, respectively; and \(h\) is the plate thickness.

The linear strain expressions associated with the displacement field in Eq. (1) are:

\[
\begin{align}
\varepsilon_x &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial x} \\
\varepsilon_y &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} + \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \frac{\partial \phi_y}{\partial y} \\
\gamma_{xy} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - 2z \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \phi_y}{\partial x}\right) \\
\gamma_{xz} &= \cos \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \phi_x \\
\gamma_{yz} &= \cos \left(\frac{\pi z}{h}\right) \phi_y
\end{align}
\]

It can be observed from Eqs. (2d) and (2e) that the transverse shear strains \((\gamma_{xz}, \gamma_{yz})\) are zero at the top \((z = h/2)\) and bottom \((z = -h/2)\) surfaces of the plate, thus satisfying the traction free conditions for \((\sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{yz})\).

2.2. Equations of motion

Hamilton's principle is used herein to derive the equations of motion. The principle can be stated in analytical form as
\[ 0 = \int_0^T (\delta U - \delta K) \, dt \]  

(3)

where \( \delta U \) is the variation of strain energy; and \( \delta K \) is the variation of kinetic energy.

The variation of strain energy of the plate is calculated by

\[
\delta U = \int_A \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_x \delta \varepsilon_x + \sigma_y \delta \varepsilon_y + \sigma_{xy} \delta \varepsilon_{xy} + \sigma_x \delta \gamma_x + \sigma_y \delta \gamma_y + \sigma_{xy} \delta \gamma_{xy} \right) \, dAdz
\]

\[ = \int_A \left[ N_x \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial x} - M_x \frac{\partial^2 \delta w}{\partial x^2} + P_x \frac{\partial \delta \phi_x}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial \delta v}{\partial y} - M_y \frac{\partial^2 \delta w}{\partial y^2} + P_y \frac{\partial \delta \phi_y}{\partial y} \right] \, dA \]

\[ + N_{xy} \left( \frac{\partial \delta u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \delta v}{\partial x} \right) - 2M_{xy} \frac{\partial^2 \delta w}{\partial x \partial y} + P_y \left( \frac{\partial \delta \phi_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \delta \phi_y}{\partial x} \right) + Q_x \delta \phi_x + Q_y \delta \phi_y \right] \, dA \]

(4)

where \( N, M, P, \) and \( Q \) are the stress resultants defined as

\begin{align*}
N_i &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i dz, \quad (i = x, y, xy) \quad \text{(5a)} \\
M_i &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} z\sigma_i dz, \quad (i = x, y, xy) \quad \text{(5b)} \\
P_i &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \frac{h}{\pi} \sin \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right) \sigma_i dz, \quad (i = x, y, xy) \quad \text{(5c)} \\
Q_i &= \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right) \sigma_i dz, \quad (i = xz, yz) \quad \text{(5d)}
\end{align*}

The variation of kinetic energy of the plate can be written as

\[
\delta K = \int_A \frac{1}{2} \rho \left( \dot{u}_i \delta \ddot{u}_i + \ddot{u}_i \delta \dot{u}_i + u_i \delta \dot{u}_i \right) \, dAdz
\]

\[ = \int_A \left[ I_0 \left( \dot{u} \delta \ddot{u} + \ddot{u} \delta \dot{u} + \dot{u} \delta \dot{u} \right) + I_2 \left( \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \dot{u}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial y} \right) \right. \\
\left. - J_2 \left( \frac{\partial \ddot{u}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \ddot{u}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \ddot{u}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \ddot{u}}{\partial y} \frac{\partial \delta \dot{u}}{\partial x} \right) \right] \, dA
\]

(6)

where dot-superscript convention indicates the differentiation with respect to the time variable \( t \); \( \rho \) is the mass density; and \( (I_0, I_2, J_2, K_2) \) are the mass inertias defined as

---

5
Substituting the expressions for $\partial U$ and $\partial K$ from Eqs. (4) and (6) into Eq. (3) and integrating by parts, and collecting the coefficients of $\delta u$, $\delta v$, $\delta w$, $\delta \phi_x$, and $\delta \phi_y$, the following equations of motion are obtained:

$$
\delta u : \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} = I_0 \ddot{u} \quad (8a)
$$

$$
\delta v : \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial N_y}{\partial y} = I_0 \ddot{v} \quad (8b)
$$

$$
\delta w : \frac{\partial^2 M_x}{\partial x^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 M_y}{\partial y^2} + q + \ddot{N} = I_0 \dddot{w} - I_2 \nabla^2 \ddot{w} + J_2 \left( \frac{\partial \dddot{\phi}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \dddot{\phi}_y}{\partial y} \right) \quad (8c)
$$

$$
\delta \phi_x : \frac{\partial P_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial y} - Q_{xx} = K_2 \dddot{\phi}_x - J_2 \frac{\partial \dddot{w}}{\partial x} \quad (8d)
$$

$$
\delta \phi_y : \frac{\partial P_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P_y}{\partial y} - Q_{yy} = K_2 \dddot{\phi}_y - J_2 \frac{\partial \dddot{w}}{\partial y} \quad (8e)
$$

The boundary conditions are of the forms

$$
\delta u : 0 = N_x n_x + N_{xy} n_y \quad (9a)
$$

$$
\delta v : 0 = N_{xy} n_x + N_y n_y \quad (9b)
$$

$$
\delta w : 0 = V_x n_x + V_y n_y + \frac{\partial M_{xx}}{\partial s} \quad (9c)
$$
\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \rho_x : 0 &= P_x n_x + P_y n_y \\
\delta \rho_y : 0 &= P_x n_x + P_y n_y \\
\frac{\partial \delta w}{\partial n} : 0 &= M_{nn}
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
V_x &= \frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial y} + N_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + I_2 \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial x} - J_2 \tilde{\phi}_x \\
V_y &= \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial M_{xy}}{\partial x} + N_x \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + N_y \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + I_2 \frac{\partial \tilde{w}}{\partial y} - J_2 \tilde{\phi}_y \\
M_{nn} &= (M_y - M_x) n_x n_y + M_{xy} (n_x^2 - n_y^2),
\end{align*}
\]

2.3. Constitutive relations

The nonlocal theory assumes that the stress at a point depends not only on the strain at that point but also on strains at all other points of the body. According to Eringen \cite{5-7}, the nonlocal stress tensor \( \sigma \) at a point is expressed as

\[
(1 - \mu \nabla^2) \sigma = \tau \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{R} (\sigma) = \tau
\]

where \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplacian operator in two-dimensional Cartesian coordinate system; \( \tau \) is the classical stress tensor at a point related to the strain by the Hooke’s law; \( \mathfrak{R} = 1 - \mu \nabla^2 \) is a linear differential operator; and \( \mu = (e_0 a)^2 \) is the nonlocal parameter which incorporates the small scale effect, \( a \) is the internal characteristic length and \( e_0 \) is a constant appropriate to each material. The nonlocal parameter depends on the boundary conditions, chirality, mode shapes, number of walls, and type of motion \cite{37}. So far, there is no rigorous study made on estimating the value of the nonlocal parameter. It is suggested that the value of nonlocal parameter can be determined by experiment or by conducting a comparison of dispersion curves from the nonlocal continuum mechanics.
and molecular dynamics simulation\(^\text{38-40}\). For an isotropic micro/nanoscale plate, the nonlocal constitutive relation in Eq. \((11)\) takes the following form\(^{29,31,41-42}\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_{xy} \\
\sigma_{yz} \\
\sigma_{xz}
\end{bmatrix} - \mu N^2 
\begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_{xy} \\
\sigma_{yz} \\
\sigma_{xz}
\end{bmatrix} &= 
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{yz} \\
\gamma_{xz}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\((12)\)

where \(E\) and \(\nu\) are the elastic modulus and Poisson’s ratio, respectively. Using Eqs. \((2)\), \((12)\) and \((5)\), the stress resultants can be expressed in terms of displacements as

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} - \mu N^2 
\begin{bmatrix}
N_x \\
N_y \\
N_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} &= A \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_x}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_y}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial x}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\((13a)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} - \mu N^2 
\begin{bmatrix}
M_x \\
M_y \\
M_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} &= D \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
&+ F \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}
\end{align*}
\]

\((13b)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
P_x \\
P_y \\
P_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} - \mu N^2 
\begin{bmatrix}
P_x \\
P_y \\
P_{xy}
\end{bmatrix} &= F \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} \\
&+ H \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \nu & 0 \\
\nu & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{1-\nu}{2}
\end{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}
\end{align*}
\]

\((13c)\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
Q_{xz} \\
Q_{yz}
\end{bmatrix} - \mu N^2 
\begin{bmatrix}
Q_{xz} \\
Q_{yz}
\end{bmatrix} &= A' \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\phi_x \\
\phi_y
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

\((13d)\)

where

\[
(A,D,F,H) = \frac{E}{1-\nu^2} \left( \begin{array}{c}
h^3 \\
\frac{2h^3}{12} \pi^3 \\
\frac{h^3}{2\pi^2}
\end{array} \right), \quad A' = \frac{Eh}{4(1+\nu)}
\]

\((14)\)

2.4. Equations of motion in terms of displacements

The nonlocal equations of motion of the present theory can be expressed in terms of generalized displacements \((u,v,w,\phi_x,\phi_y)\) by applying linear differential operator \(\mathcal{R}\).
on Eq. (8)

\[
A \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} \right) = I_0 \left( \ddot{u} - \mu \dddot{u} \right)
\]  

(15a)

\[
A \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} \right) = I_0 \left( \ddot{v} - \mu \dddot{v} \right)
\]  

(15b)

\[
-D \nabla^2 w + F \nabla^2 \left( \frac{\partial \phi_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi_y}{\partial y} \right) + q - \mu \nabla^2 q + \tilde{N} - \mu \nabla^2 \tilde{N} = I_0 \left( \ddot{w} - \mu \dddot{w} \right) - I_2 \left( \nabla^2 \ddot{w} - \mu \nabla^4 \ddot{w} \right) + J_2 \left[ \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_y}{\partial y} \right] - \mu \nabla^2 \left( \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \ddot{\phi}_y}{\partial y} \right)
\]  

(15c)

\[
-F \nabla^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + H \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_y}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - A \dot{\phi}_x
\]  

(15d)

\[
= K_2 \left( \ddot{\phi}_x - \mu \dddot{\phi}_x \right) - J_2 \left( \frac{\partial \ddot{w}}{\partial x} - \mu \nabla^2 \frac{\partial \ddot{w}}{\partial x} \right)
\]

\[
-F \nabla^2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + H \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_y}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi_x}{\partial x \partial y} \right) - A \dot{\phi}_y
\]  

(15e)

\[
= K_2 \left( \ddot{\phi}_y - \mu \dddot{\phi}_y \right) - J_2 \left( \frac{\partial \ddot{w}}{\partial y} - \mu \nabla^2 \frac{\partial \ddot{w}}{\partial y} \right)
\]

Clearly, when the nonlocal effect is neglected (i.e. \( \mu = 0 \)), the present model recovers Touratier’s sinusoidal shear deformation theory \(^{32}\). Also, the equations of motion of the nonlocal KPT can be obtained from Eq. (15) by setting the rotations \((\phi_x, \phi_y)\) equal to zero. It is observed from Eq. (15) that the in-plane displacements \((u, v)\) are uncoupled from the transverse displacements \((w, \phi_x, \phi_y)\). Thus, the equations of motion for the transverse response of the plate are reduced to Eqs. (15c)-(15e).

3. Analytical solutions

Consider a simply supported rectangular plate with length \(L\) and width \(b\) under
transverse load \( q \) and in-plane load in two directions (\( N_x^0 = \gamma_1 N_{x1}, N_y^0 = \gamma_2 N_{x1}, N_{xy}^0 = 0 \)).

Based on the Navier approach, the following expansions of displacements are chosen to automatically satisfy the simply supported boundary conditions of plate

\[
\varphi_x(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{mn} \cos \alpha x \sin \beta y e^{i \omega t}
\]
\[
\varphi_y(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{mn} \sin \alpha x \cos \beta y e^{i \omega t}
\]
\[
w(x, y, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{mn} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y e^{i \omega t}
\]

where \( i = \sqrt{-1}, \alpha = m\pi/L, \beta = n\pi/b \), \( (X_{mn}, Y_{mn}, W_{mn}) \) are coefficients, and \( \omega \) is the natural frequency. The transverse load \( q \) is also expanded in the double-Fourier sine series as

\[
q(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{mn} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y
\]

where

\[
Q_{mn} = 4 \int_0^L \int_0^b q(x, y) \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y dx dy
\]

The coefficients \( Q_{mn} \) are given below for some typical loads:

\[
Q_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
q_0 & \text{for sinusoidal load of intensity } q_0 \\
\frac{16q_0}{mn\pi} & \text{for uniform load of intensity } q_0 \\
\frac{4Q_0}{Lb} \sin \frac{m\pi}{2} \sin \frac{n\pi}{2} & \text{for point load } Q_0 \text{ at the center}
\end{cases}
\]

Substituting the expansions of \( (\varphi_x, \varphi_y, w) \) and \( q \) from Eqs. (16) and (17) into Eq. (15), the analytical solutions can be obtained from the following equations

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\
s_{12} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\
s_{13} & s_{23} & s_{33} + k \lambda
\end{bmatrix} - \omega^2 \lambda \begin{bmatrix}
m_{11} & 0 & m_{13} \\
0 & m_{22} & m_{23} \\
m_{13} & m_{23} & m_{33}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
X_{mn} \\
Y_{mn} \\
W_{mn}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\lambda Q_{mn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
s_{11} &= A' + H\left(\alpha^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{2}\beta^2\right), \quad s_{22} = A' + H\left(\beta^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{2}\alpha^2\right), \\
s_{12} &= H\alpha\beta\frac{1+\nu}{2}, \quad s_{13} = -F\alpha(\alpha^2 + \beta^2), \quad s_{23} = -F\beta(\alpha^2 + \beta^2), \\
s_{33} &= D\left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2\right)^2, \quad k = N_{cr}\left(\gamma_1\alpha^2 + \gamma_2\beta^2\right), \quad \lambda = 1 + \mu(\alpha^2 + \beta^2), \\
m_{21} &= K_2, \quad m_{22} = K_2, \quad m_{33} = I_0 + I_2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2), \quad m_{33} = -\alpha J_2, \quad m_{23} = -\beta J_2.
\end{align*}
\]

The analytical solution of the nonlocal KPT can be obtained from Eq. (20) by setting coefficients \((X_{mn}, Y_{mn})\) equal to zero. Thus, the deflection \(w\), buckling load \(N_{cr}\), and natural frequency \(\omega\) of the KPT are expressed as
\[
\begin{align*}
w(x, y) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda Q_{mn}}{D\left(\alpha^2 + \beta^2\right)^2} \sin \alpha x \sin \beta y, \quad \text{(22a)} \\
N_{cr} &= -\frac{D(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2}{\lambda(\gamma_1\alpha^2 + \gamma_2\beta^2)}, \quad \text{(22b)} \\
\omega^2 &= \frac{D(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^2}{\lambda[I_0 + I_2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)]}, \quad \text{(22c)}
\end{align*}
\]

4. Numerical results

In this section, a simply supported nanoplate made of single-layered graphene sheet (SLGS) is considered. The geometric and mechanical properties of the SLGS are \(^{43}\):
- \(E = 1.02\ \text{TPa}, \ \nu = 0.16, \ \rho = 2.250\ \text{kg/m}^3, \ h = 0.34\ \text{nm}\). The fundamental frequency of simply-supported armchair and zigzag square SLGSs with different side lengths \(L\) are presented in Table 1. The values of nonlocal parameter \(e_o a\) of the simply-supported armchair and zigzag SLGSs are 1.16 nm and 1.19 nm, respectively. The obtained results are compared with those predicted by molecular dynamics (MD) simulation \(^{38}\) which is
one of the most widely used numerical methods related to the interaction between the atoms or molecules in a system. A good agreement between the results is observed for various sizes of the plate.

To further validate the accuracy of the present solutions, the obtained results are compared with those predicted by MPT in Table 2 for simply supported square plates with various values of side lengths $L$ and nonlocal parameter $e_o a = 0$ to $2.0$ nm. The reason for choosing these values is that $e_o a$ should be smaller than $2.0$ nm for a single-wall carbon nanotube as pointed out by Wang and Wang $^{44}$. Since the maximum value of $e_o a$ has not been exactly known for graphene sheet, it is assumed to be equal to that of the single-wall carbon nanotube. The shear correction factor used in MPT is taken as $5/6$. The nondimensional deflection is obtained for the plate subjected to uniform loads, while the nondimensional critical buckling load is calculated for the plate subjected to biaxial compression. The nondimensional deflection $\bar{w}$, critical buckling load $\bar{N}$, and fundamental frequency $\bar{\omega}$ are defined by

$$\bar{w} = \frac{wEh^3}{q_o L^4}, \quad \bar{N} = \frac{N_o L^2}{Eh^3}, \quad \bar{\omega} = \frac{\omega L^2}{h \sqrt{\rho/E}}$$

(23)

It can be seen that the present theory and MPT give almost identical results for all cases ranging from thin to thick plates confirming the accuracy of present solutions. It should be noted that the present theory does not require shear correction factors as in the case of MPT.

To illustrate the small scale effects on the responses of nanoplates, Figs. 1-3 plot the deflection, buckling load, and frequency ratios with respect to the size of a simply-supported plate. The value of nonlocal parameter $e_o a$ of a simply-supported armchair nanoplate is $1.16$ nm $^{38}$. The deflection, buckling load, and frequency ratios are defined
as the ratios of those predicted by the nonlocal theory to the correspondences obtained by the local theory (i.e., $e_0a=0$). It can be seen that the deflection ratio is greater than unity, whereas the buckling load and frequency ratios are smaller than unity. It means that the local theory underestimates deflection (see Fig. 1) and overestimates buckling load (see Fig. 2) and natural frequency (see Fig. 3). This is due to the fact that the local theory ignores the small scale effect. In other words, the inclusion of the small scale effect leads to an increase in the deflection and a reduction of the buckling load and natural frequency. The small scale effect is significant for thick plates (i.e. the size of the plate is small) especially at the higher modes (see Figs. 2 and 3). However, it will diminish for very thin plates (i.e. the size of the plate is large).

In addition to the small scale effect, the present nonlocal plate model also accounts for the shear deformation effect. The effect of shear deformation on the deflection, buckling load, and natural frequency of a simply-supported nanoplate is illustrated in Figs. 4-6, respectively. The nonlocal parameter $e_0a$ is taken as 1.16 nm$^{38}$. In these figures, the deflection, buckling load, and frequency ratios are defined as the ratios of those obtained by the present nonlocal theory to the correspondences predicted by the nonlocal KPT where the shear deformation effect is omitted. It can be seen that the effect of shear deformation leads to an increase in the deflection and a reduction of the buckling load and natural frequency, and this effect is significant for thick plates especially at the higher modes (see Figs. 5 and 6). It means that the shear deformation effect makes the plate more flexible.

5. Conclusions

A nonlocal plate model for bending, buckling, and free vibration of micro/nanoscale plates is developed based on the nonlocal differential constitutive relations of Eringen.
Equations of motion and boundary conditions are derived from Hamilton’s principle. Analytical solutions for bending, buckling, and free vibration of a simply supported plate are presented, and the obtained results are compared well with those generated by MD simulation and those predicted by the nonlocal MPT. As shown in this study, the effects of small scale and shear deformation are similar. The inclusion of small scale and shear deformation effects makes the plate more flexible, and consequently, leads to an increase in the deflection and a reduction of the buckling load and natural frequency. These effects are significant for thick plates especially at the higher modes, but they will diminish for very thin plates.
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Fig. 1. Effect of small scale on deflection ratio of simply supported plates under sinusoidal load

Fig. 2. Effect of small scale on buckling load ratio of simply supported plates under biaxial compression
Fig. 3. Effect of small scale on frequency ratio of simply supported plates

Fig. 4. Effect of shear deformation on deflection ratio of simply supported plates under sinusoidal load
Fig. 5. Effect of shear deformation on buckling load ratio of simply supported plates under biaxial compression

Fig. 6. Effect of shear deformation on frequency ratio of simply supported plates
Table 1. Fundamental frequency (THz) of simply support square SLGSs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L (nm)</th>
<th>Armchair SLGS, $e_{a} = 1.16$ (nm)</th>
<th>Zigzag SLGS, $e_{a} = 1.19$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MD $^{38}$ Present</td>
<td>Diff. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05950</td>
<td>0.05893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.02779</td>
<td>0.02792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.01581</td>
<td>0.01609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01000</td>
<td>0.01042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.00707</td>
<td>0.00728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.00530</td>
<td>0.00537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.00410</td>
<td>0.00412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.00326</td>
<td>0.00326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.00262</td>
<td>0.00265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Nondimensional deflection $\bar{w}$, critical buckling load $\bar{N}$, and fundamental frequency $\bar{\omega}$ of simply supported square plates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L/h</th>
<th>$e_{a}$ (nm)</th>
<th>Deflection $\bar{w}$</th>
<th>Buckling load $\bar{N}$</th>
<th>Frequency $\bar{\omega}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPT Present</td>
<td>MPT Present</td>
<td>MPT Present</td>
<td>MPT Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0 0.0557</td>
<td>0.0557</td>
<td>1.4210</td>
<td>1.4220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 0.1397</td>
<td>0.1398</td>
<td>0.5248</td>
<td>0.5252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 0.3918</td>
<td>0.3922</td>
<td>0.1815</td>
<td>0.1816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 0.8120</td>
<td>0.8128</td>
<td>0.0868</td>
<td>0.0869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 1.4002</td>
<td>1.4017</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
<td>0.0502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0 0.0496</td>
<td>0.0495</td>
<td>1.6124</td>
<td>1.6125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 0.0688</td>
<td>0.0688</td>
<td>1.1300</td>
<td>1.1301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 0.1264</td>
<td>0.1265</td>
<td>0.5955</td>
<td>0.5956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 0.2226</td>
<td>0.2226</td>
<td>0.3330</td>
<td>0.3330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 0.3571</td>
<td>0.3572</td>
<td>0.2059</td>
<td>0.2059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0 0.0480</td>
<td>0.0480</td>
<td>1.6685</td>
<td>1.6686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 0.0527</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
<td>1.5076</td>
<td>1.5077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 0.0668</td>
<td>0.0668</td>
<td>1.1694</td>
<td>1.1694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 0.0903</td>
<td>0.0903</td>
<td>0.8511</td>
<td>0.8511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 0.1231</td>
<td>0.1231</td>
<td>0.6163</td>
<td>0.6163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0 0.0476</td>
<td>0.0476</td>
<td>1.6850</td>
<td>1.6850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 0.0483</td>
<td>0.0483</td>
<td>1.6567</td>
<td>1.6567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 0.0506</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>1.5773</td>
<td>1.5773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 0.0543</td>
<td>0.0543</td>
<td>1.4605</td>
<td>1.4605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 0.0595</td>
<td>0.0595</td>
<td>1.3234</td>
<td>1.3234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0 0.0475</td>
<td>0.0475</td>
<td>1.6874</td>
<td>1.6874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 0.0477</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
<td>1.6802</td>
<td>1.6802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0 0.0483</td>
<td>0.0483</td>
<td>1.6590</td>
<td>1.6590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 0.0492</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
<td>1.6249</td>
<td>1.6249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.0 0.0505</td>
<td>0.0505</td>
<td>1.5795</td>
<td>1.5795</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>