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Don’t Lose Your Cool with Cryotherapy: the application of phase change material for prolonged cooling in athletic recovery and beyond
Abstract

Strenuous exercise can result in muscle damage in both recreational and elite athletes, and is accompanied by strength loss, and increases in soreness, oxidative stress, and inflammation. If the aforementioned signs and symptoms associated with exercise-induced muscle damage are excessive or unabated, the recovery process becomes prolonged and can result in performance decrements; consequently, there has been a great deal of research focusing on accelerating recovery following exercise. A popular recovery modality is cryotherapy which results in a reduction of tissue temperature by the withdrawal of heat from the body. Cryotherapy is advantageous because of its ability to reduce tissue temperature at the site of muscle damage. However, there are logistical limitations to traditional cryotherapy modalities, such as cold-water immersion or whole-body cryotherapy, because they are limited by the duration for which they can be administered in a single dose. Phase change material (PCM) at a temperature of 15°C can deliver a single dose of cooling for a prolonged duration in a practical, efficacious, and safe way; hence overcoming the limitations of traditional cryotherapy modalities. Recently, 15°C PCM has been locally administered following isolated eccentric exercise, a soccer match, and baseball pitching, for durations of three to six hours with no adverse effects. These data showed that using 15°C PCM to prolong the duration of cooling successfully reduced strength loss and soreness following exercise. Extending the positive effects associated with cryotherapy by prolonging the duration of cooling can enhance recovery following exercise and give athletes a competitive advantage.
Rationale

Exercise often involves high intensity physical and physiological stress that ultimately results in structural damage to the skeletal muscle (Armstrong, 1990; Armstrong et al., 1991; Clarkson & Sayers, 1999; Proske & Morgan, 2001; Proske & Allen, 2005). Three pathways exist during exercise that result in the structural damage of the muscle. These include: the increase in muscle temperature resulting from exercise-induced heat generation (Arbogast & Reid, 2004), the metabolic stress that commonly occurs during exercise of high intensity or prolonged durations (Clanton, 2007; Spiteller, 2006; Supinski & Callahan, 2007; Tee et al., 2007), and/or the direct mechanical stress to the muscle (Friden & Lieber, 1992, 2001; Lieber, 2018; Proske & Morgan, 2001; Staubler, 1989). The initial structural damage occurring within the muscle fibre initiates a positive feedback mechanism during which the aforementioned initial damage response is exacerbated (Howatson & van Someren, 2008; Kendall & Eston, 2002; Merrick, 2002). This phase of muscle damage is referred to as the secondary damage response.

Ultimately, secondary muscle damage compounds the symptoms of exercise-induced muscle damage and results in impaired muscle function in the hours and days following exercise (Lapointe et al., 2002). If not managed correctly, these effects can be detrimental to an athlete’s recovery and subsequent performance. As a result, accelerating recovery following strenuous exercise has been the focus of much research; particularly when there is inadequate recovery between repeat exercise exposures. In these scenarios, rapid deployment of a recovery strategy is important for athletes to accelerate the return to optimal performance.

Exposure of the damaged muscle to cold (cryotherapy) is believed to retard the secondary injury process (Merrick, 2002; Merrick et al., 1999). Cryotherapy, the reduction of tissue temperature by the withdrawal of heat from the body (Michlovitz, 1990), refers to a range of cooling modalities such as local ice application to the skin (Gulick et al., 1996; Nogueira et al., 2019; Oakley et al., 2013; Yackzan et al., 1984), cold water immersion (CWI) of a large part of the body (Bleakley et al., 2010; Brophy-Williams et al., 2011; Garcia et al., 2016; Halson et al., 2008; Lane & Wenger, 2004; Leeder et al., 2012, 2019; Machado et al., 2016; Montgomery et al., 2008; Peiffer et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2015; Rowsell et al., 2009, 2011; Vaile et al., 2007,
whole body cryotherapy (WBC; Banfi et al., 2009, 2010; Bleakley et al., 2014; Broatch et al., 2019; Costello et al., 2011, 2015; Ferreira-Junior et al., 2015; Fonda & Sarabon, 2013; Guilhem et al., 2013; Hausswirth et al., 2011; Krueger et al., 2019; Pournot et al., 2011; Rose et al., 2017; Vieira et al., 2015; Ziemann et al., 2012) and more recently phase change material (PCM) cooling (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018; Kwiecien et al., 2018a, 2020a, 2020b; Mullaney et al., 2020), that are employed in various contexts. The most popular cryotherapy modality used following exercise is CWI involving immersion of a large surface area of the body, typically immersion of at least the legs up to at least the umbilicus, in cold water. Most commonly CWI occurs in water temperatures of 15°C or less for a single duration of 15 minutes or less (Leeder et al., 2012). Evidence supports the use of CWI for accelerating recovery of soreness (Bailey et al., 2007; Barnett, 2006; Bleakley et al., 2012; Elias et al., 2013; Hausswirth & Le Meur, 2011; Hohenauer et al., 2015; Ihsan et al., 2016; Ingram et al., 2009; Leeder et al., 2012; Machado et al., 2015; Minett et al., 2012; Montgomery et al., 2008; Pointon et al., 2011; Pointon & Duffield, 2012; Poppendieck et al., 2013; Pournot et al., 2010; Siqueira et al., 2018). There is also some evidence to support the use of CWI for accelerating recovery of blood markers of muscle damage (Dupuy et al., 2018; Hohenauer et al., 2015; Leeder et al., 2012; Siqueira et al., 2016) and inflammation (Dupuy et al., 2018; Vieira Ramos et al., 2016), as well as functional recovery (Leeder et al., 2019; Vaile et al., 2008) following exercise. However, evidence to support its use for accelerating recovery of strength loss following exercise remains equivocal (Bleakley et al., 2012; Hohenauer et al., 2015; Leeder et al., 2012; Machado et al., 2015; Poppendieck et al., 2013; Versey et al., 2013). Comparably, some studies suggest that WBC might be beneficial in accelerating subjective recovery of soreness (Banfi et al., 2010; Bleakley et al., 2014; Costello et al., 2015; Fonda & Sarabon, 2013; Hausswirth et al., 2011; Pournot et al., 2011; Rose et al., 2017; Ziemann et al., 2012), strength loss (Hausswirth et al., 2011), and might mitigate the signs of functional overreaching (Schaal et al., 2015). However, more recently, there remains little evidence to support improvements in functional recovery (Bleakley et al., 2014; Broatch et al., 2019; Krueger et al., 2019; Lombardi et al., 2017; Rose et al., 2017). On the contrary, local ice application does not improve the symptoms associated
with soreness or strength loss (Nogueira et al., 2019). Thus, local ice application is generally not effective in the treatment of structural damage following exercise. Ultimately, the lack of evidence identifying specific guidelines concerning traditional cryotherapy treatment application, temperature, duration, and frequency, as well as the variability in exercise models utilized throughout the literature, likely contribute to the controversy surrounding the efficacy and practicality of cryotherapy for accelerating recovery following exercise. As a result, no consensus exists for optimal cryotherapy treatment criteria and there remains a large gap in the scientific basis for administering cryotherapy for anything other than subjective recovery following exercise.

Recent evidence suggests that the physiological changes that occur following cryotherapy are primarily dependent on the reduction in intramuscular temperature (Ihsan et al., 2016; White & Wells, 2013; Wilcock et al., 2006) and only secondarily reliant on vasoconstriction leading to a decrease in blood flow (Gregson et al., 2011; Ihsan et al., 2013; Mawhinney et al., 2013, 2020) which might decrease muscle metabolism and inflammation, resulting in a reduction in the proliferation of secondary damage (Eston & Peters, 1999; Knight, 1995; Meeusen & Lievens, 1986; Merrick & McBrier, 2010; Merrick et al., 1999). Evidence from animal models suggests that the optimal muscle temperature range for reducing cellular metabolic activity (Osterman et al., 1984; Sapega et al., 1988) and oxygen demand (Fuhrman et al., 1961; Fuhrman, 1959) without causing tissue damage, is 10-15°C (Sapega et al., 1988). However, in vivo intramuscular temperatures below 20°C during traditional cryotherapy application in humans have not been reported (Bleakley et al., 2012; Bleakley & Hopkins, 2010). In order to sustain a clinically relevant reduction in intramuscular temperature, the duration of cryotherapy would have to be prolonged (Peiffer et al., 2009). However, treatment duration does not commonly exceed 30 minutes because extending the duration of cryotherapy is likely to result in increased discomfort particularly at lower temperatures (Bailey et al., 2007; Heyman et al., 2009; Vaile et al., 2008; Versey et al., 2011) or can be unsafe (Tipton et al., 2017). Rapid reductions in skin temperature, before muscle and core temperatures can catch up, might result in cold related injury to the skin (Gage, 1979; Selfe et al., 2007; Wilke & Weiner, 2003) because the skin is most
prone to irreversible damage. To date, maintaining a reduction in muscle temperature without causing cold related injury to the skin could only be achieved by administering traditional cryotherapy modalities (ice, gel packs, CWI, WBC, etc.) in an intermittent fashion (Mac Auley, 2001). However, Cheng et al., (2017) recently reported intramuscular temperature reductions to approximately 15°C following a 120-minute localized cooling intervention administered to the upper arm, making the case for a prolonged duration of cryotherapy application in order to achieve clinically relevant reductions in intramuscular temperature. Nevertheless, the protocol utilised by Cheng et al., (2017), 120 minutes of cooling with ice-chilled water-perfused arm cuffs, is atypical from common practice in the application to athletes in the ‘real world’ (Ihsan et al., 2020).

In humans, the magnitude of change in tissue temperature has been positively correlated with cryotherapy methods that undergo a phase change (Dykstra et al., 2009; Merrick et al., 2003). Specifically, Merrick et al. (2003) demonstrated that modalities such as ice that change phase while they melt (e.g. from solid to a liquid) cause lower skin and intramuscular temperatures than cryotherapy modalities such as gel packs that do not possess these properties (ice bag: 6.5°C, skin temperature; 27.8°C, 1 cm intramuscular temperature; vs gel pack: 9.9°C, skin temperature; 29.5°C, 1 cm intramuscular temperature; Merrick et al., 2003). A phase change is important because it greatly enhances the ability of a cryotherapy modality to absorb heat (Merrick et al., 2003). The phase change relates to a property called ‘enthalpy of fusion’, which is the quantity of heat required to make the material change phase (Merrick et al., 2003).

Enthalpy of fusion greatly enhances the ability of a cold modality to absorb heat by prolonging the latent phase, and thus results in a greater ability to reduce intramuscular temperature. When a substance is changing phases, there is only a change in phase but no change in temperature. This ‘hidden’ energy is defined as the latent phase. On the contrary, sensible heat is heat that can be felt and measured by a thermometer. Neither gel packs nor WBC undergo a phase change, meaning that both modalities only experience sensible heat loss as their temperature equilibrates with the ambient temperature (Figure 1). Similarly, CWI does not change phase, but its temperature can be artificially maintained at a constant creating an
artificial latent phase period. On the contrary, when ice is heated by exposure to the human body, its temperature increases, and it experiences a change in phase as it melts. While undergoing the phase change, ice experiences latent heat loss during which the temperature remains constant (Figure 1). Therefore, modalities experiencing the latent phase have an advantage over modalities only capable of experiencing a sensible heat phase, by providing a greater cooling potential.

*Figure 1 Here*

Although ice is the most commonly utilised PCM for exercise recovery, its latent phase of 0°C limits it from maintaining a cooling capacity for prolonged periods. Luckily, the latent phase of any PCM can be manipulated. The duration of the latent phase can be prolonged as the temperature of phase change increases above 0°C. The cooling effect of any PCM is dependent on the capacity to absorb heat during periods when external heat load or body heat production exceeds heat loss. Therefore, the duration of the latent phase is variable and dependent on the temperature gradient between the skin and the PCM, the PCM phase transition point, the area covered by PCM and the volume of the PCM (Hassabo, 2014; Tiest et al., 2012). For example, PCMs will melt faster if the skin is warmer, PCM with a phase transition point of 10°C will not hold that temperature as long as PCMs with a set point of 15°C, and a small volume of PCM will melt faster than a larger volume.

The 15°C PCM packs used throughout the course of the subsequently described research studies are filled with a proprietary blend of fully hydrogenated natural fats certified by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as food-grade chemicals such as palm oil, palm kernel oil, rapeseed oil, coconut oil and soybean oil, mixed with sodium chloride, and encapsulated in flexible plastic (Glacier Tek USDA BioPreferred PureTemp PCM, Plymouth, MN, USA). Similar to ice, the 15°C PCM are firm and look like wax when in their frozen solid state and look like vegetable oil once they reach their melted liquid state (Figure 2). A PCM with a latent phase of 15°C is capable of safely prolonging and maintaining the duration of cooling for three hours.
(Kwiecien et al., 2019), while avoiding the need for repeat applications. If there is a need to extend the duration of application beyond three hours, a fresh set of ‘frozen’ PCM packs can be administered for an additional three hours. Applying 15°C PCM packs directly to the skin fitted under a garment might be less time consuming, logistically simpler to implement than other cryotherapy modalities, and is more practical particularly because they can simultaneously deliver a cooling treatment while the individual continues activities of daily living. Furthermore, 15°C PCM packs can be applied concurrently to multiple athletes, or even entire teams at a time. Recent evidence suggests that prolonging the duration of cooling for three (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018; Mullaney et al., 2020) to six hours (Kwiecien et al., 2018, 2020a) is well tolerated by recreational and professional athletes alike and can accelerate more than just subjective recovery following exercise. Therefore, this narrative review will aim to summarize the current evidence in support of prolonging the duration of cooling by using 15°C PCM, for its capacity to accelerate and aid recovery following exercise. As the literature has already summarized the mechanisms of cryotherapy and its effects on the mechanisms involved with exercise-induced muscle damage (Dupuy et al., 2018; Hohenauer et al., 2015; Ihsan et al., 2016; Machado et al., 2015; Stephens et al., 2018; Versey et al., 2013), an extensive summary is beyond the scope of this review.

*Figure 2 Here*

**Evidence**

Much of the previous research utilizing PCM cooling at phase transition points ranging between 10-31°C has focused on its temperature-regulating effect (Gao et al., 2010, 2011), and the ability of PCM to elicit thermal comfort from heat strain occurring during strenuous activity (Bennett et al., 1995; Chou et al., 2008; House et al., 2013; Kenny et al., 2011; Zhang, 2003), between two bouts of exercise (Duffield & Marino, 2007; Hausswirth et al., 2012), or following exercise (Barwood et al., 2009; Brade et al., 2010; Purvis & Cable, 2000; Tate et al., 2008). In this context, most of the research has applied PCM through pockets in vests worn on the chest
in an attempt to reduce elevations in core temperature, for treatment durations longer than CWI. Following strenuous activity, 24°C PCM vests have been shown to be more effective at cooling the skin than 28°C vests, but neither had an effect on core temperature (Gao et al., 2011). A PCM with a melting point <24°C might reduce core temperature more effectively (Gao et al., 2011). In rested individuals, local application of 15°C PCM to the quadriceps for three hours reduced core temperature by 0.28°C compared with a 0.25°C reduction from 15 minutes of 15°C CWI (Kwiecien et al., 2019). Although the difference in the reduction in core temperature from both PCM and CWI was negligible, the CWI reduced core temperature faster than the PCM treatment but the reduction in core temperature was maintained for the three-hour duration of 15°C PCM application (Kwiecien et al., 2019). In comparison, following exercise, a pooled data analysis of 13 studies found that CWI reduced core temperature by 0.84°C (Stephens et al., 2018). Following exercise, cryotherapy is expected to induce a greater magnitude in the reduction of core temperature than at rest due to the exercise-induced hyperthermia resulting in a larger thermal gradient. Unfortunately, the effects of 15°C PCM cooling on core and muscle temperature remain to be elucidated following exercise.

In order to induce reductions in local metabolic demand (Ho et al., 1995; Merrick, 2002; Schaser et al., 2006) and the inflammatory response that occurs after the acute structural trauma at the site of injury or muscle damage (Ciolek, 1985; Knight, 1985, 1995; Merrick et al., 1999; Merrick, 2002; Schaser et al., 2006; Swenson et al., 1996), intramuscular temperature must drop to sufficiently low levels (10-15°C; Sapega et al., 1988). Since the secondary injury response extends for several hours post-exercise, a single 15-minute CWI treatment may be an inadequate dose to influence recovery. Furthermore, extending the duration of a single CWI treatment would not be well tolerated. As a result, previously the only way to sustain a reduction in intramuscular temperature without causing cold related injury to the skin was through repeat applications of traditional cryotherapy modalities at frequent intervals. However, this practice is not commonplace amongst athletes, as they are unlikely to comply with such a demanding treatment schedule because it would mean that athletes must remain on site for an extended period, and there are major logistical challenges to treating entire
teams at one time. As a result, the duration for which muscle temperature is reduced during traditional cryotherapy treatment is likely too short to elicit meaningful reductions in muscle temperature for durations long enough to be clinically relevant (10-15°C; Sapega et al., 1988). Although the intramuscular temperatures reported from 3 hours of 15°C PCM cooling are nowhere close to those necessary for reducing local muscle metabolism (26.0 ± 2.2°C at 1 cm and 28.2 ± 2.8°C at 3 cm of the vastus lateralis), the reduction was safely maintained for a prolonged and continuous duration in individuals at rest (Kwiecien et al., 2019). Furthermore, these temperatures were comparable to those occurring from 15 minutes of 15°C CWI even though two PCM packs (864 cm² area; 32.4 × 2 × 13.3 cm) were administered locally and fitted directly on the skin over the quadriceps of each leg, while CWI treatment involved whole body immersion up to the umbilicus (Kwiecien et al., 2019). Therefore, prolonging the treatment duration using 15°C PCM cooling affords athletes the opportunity to safely receive cryotherapy treatment for an extended duration with the ability to leave the training facility and resume their normal routines.

Recent studies examining the effects of prolonged 15°C PCM cooling on indices of recovery in untrained (Kwiecien et al., 2018) and trained (Kwiecien et al., 2020a) individuals found that six hours of PCM cooling not only accelerated recovery of soreness, but also accelerated recovery of strength loss on the days after isolated eccentric quadriceps exercise (Table 1). Importantly, the protection provided by the PCM cooling after an initial bout of eccentric exercise did not interfere with the repeated bout effect, whereby an initial bout confers an adaptive protective effect for a subsequent bout of damaging exercise (Kwiecien et al., 2020a). Similarly, three hours of 15°C PCM cooling has also been shown to accelerate recovery of quadriceps strength following soccer match play (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018; Table 1) and shoulder internal rotation strength and grip strength following baseball pitching (Mullaney et al., 2020; Table 1). Alleviating strength loss at a rate faster than normal could allow athletes to be better prepared for subsequent performance, giving them a competitive advantage over the opposition. The beneficial effects of PCM cooling on recovery of strength loss following exercise in these five studies are in contrast to the results of research utilizing CWI for recovery, which
has generally shown little or no benefit for recovery of strength loss following exercise (Ihsan et al., 2016; Leeder et al., 2012; White & Wells, 2013). Overall, these results suggest that prolonging the duration of cryotherapy might successfully reduce the proliferation of secondary muscle damage and decrease the magnitude of repair necessary to achieve pre-exercise functional integrity, thereby shortening the time required to attain full recovery of muscle strength.

*Table 1 Here*

While prolonged cooling using 15°C PCM was shown to be successful in accelerating recovery following eccentric exercise (Kwiecien et al., 2018; Kwiecien et al., 2020a), soccer (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018), and baseball pitching (Mullaney et al., 2020), no benefit of accelerated recovery from 15°C PCM cooling was found when administered for three hours in runners following a marathon (Kwiecien et al., 2020b; Table 1). It is possible that the efficacy of prolonged cooling using 15°C PCM is dependent on the mode of exercise stress resulting in muscle damage, i.e. mechanical versus metabolic stress. Alternatively, the lack of effect on recovery following a marathon could be due to the combination of the long duration of exercise and the delay in application of the PCM packs to the athletes. Marathon finish times averaged more than four hours, and it was more than an hour after race completion before the PCM cooling packs were applied to the quadriceps (Kwiecien et al., 2020b). Thus, treatment began more than five hours after the commencement of the exercise stress. By contrast, in the two soccer studies (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018), the PCM cooling packs were applied within 30 minutes of exercise cessation, which would correspond to approximately two hours after the commencement of exercise. Although evidence supports the delayed use of CWI (administered 3 hours post-exercise) for accelerating recovery of inflammation and improving next day performance (Brophy-Williams et al., 2011), the exercise session performed prior to the cryotherapy treatment lasted a total duration of only 24 minutes. Therefore, although the delayed cryotherapy modality was not administered immediately upon cessation of exercise, the exercise duration was of significantly shorter duration than a marathon run.
Furthermore, the inflammatory response in the control group only increased by 4.1% 24 hours following the high intensity interval exercise session performed by the trained athletes in Brophy-Williams's (2011) study while the marathon run induced the inflammatory response by 8.6% (Kwiecien et al., 2020b), indicating a greater magnitude of exercise stress.

Of the few studies that have shown accelerated recovery of strength with a post-exercise CWI treatment, some involved exercise in the heat; which results in increased thermal strain and possible central fatigue (Minett et al., 2014; Pointon et al., 2011). In the aforementioned studies, recovery of strength was concomitant with the acute amelioration of voluntary activation and core temperature. It has been suggested that CWI mediated recovery of strength loss following exercise in the heat might not solely reflect recovery from exercise-induced muscle damage but might also include recovery from central fatigue (Ihsan et al., 2016). Therefore, under a large thermal load, CWI might alleviate some of the exercise-induced cerebral perturbations through its ability to ameliorate both hyperthermia and the subsequent central nervous system mediated fatigue (Ihsan et al., 2016), via removal of the heat load alongside preservation of the peripheral physiological state (Minett & Duffield, 2014). However, the peripheral effect from cryotherapy on exercise-induced muscle damage occurring within the skeletal muscle might still be limited by the short cryotherapy duration that occurs during icing, CWI, or WBC. On the contrary, the local effects on skin and muscle temperature occurring during 15°C PCM cooling (Kwiecien et al., 2019) might be insufficient to influence core temperature when the magnitude and duration of thermal load is elevated, such as following a marathon (Deschenes et al., 1998; Mortensen et al., 2008). However, the prolonged duration of cooling possible when using PCM might be advantageous if the goal is to maximise the duration of tolerable decline in peripheral muscle temperature. Recovery with PCM cooling could give athletes an advantage particularly if the duration of cryotherapy application needs to be extended in a safe way.

**Future Directions**
To date, PCM cooling has only been studied in exercise recovery models with benefits shown for reducing strength loss and soreness after isolated eccentric exercise (Kwiecien et al., 2018; Kwiecien et al., 2020a), accelerating recovery in professional (Clifford et al., 2018) and semi-professional soccer players (Brownstein et al., 2019), and improving strength recovery in baseball pitchers (Mullaney et al., 2020). These studies have answered some fundamental questions and provide some good “proof of concept” that continuous and prolonged PCM cooling application can help recovery of muscle function, reduction in soreness, and does not seem to attenuate acute adaptive responses (Kwiecien et al., 2020a). Nevertheless, in the exercise recovery paradigm, many questions remain unanswered, and the application of prolonged PCM cooling for injury management warrants more research.

The pilot study, which established the efficacy of PCM cooling as an alternative cryotherapy intervention for recovery of strength and soreness in the quadriceps on the days following bilateral isolated eccentric exercise, implemented a unilateral treatment design (Kwiecien et al., 2018). Therefore, the study had three treatment groups: direct cooling to one leg, indirect cooling to the leg contralateral to the direct cooling leg, and control (no PCM cooling). The treatment effects for strength and soreness were not different between the direct and indirect cooling conditions. Consequently, the results indicated that a systemic effect might have occurred in the indirect cooling leg, which did not receive PCM cooling, from the leg that did receive direct cooling. These results support the previous evidence that implicates a systemic response from unilateral cryotherapy treatment (Allan et al., 2017). A central effect from local 15°C PCM cooling was since confirmed by the reduction in core temperature and increase in heart rate variability evident during PCM treatment in individuals at rest (Kwiecien et al., 2019). These findings suggested that prolonged PCM cooling delivered a systemic, and not just a local effect; and might explain, in part, the accelerated recovery evident from PCM cooling following eccentric exercise (Kwiecien et al., 2020a), soccer match play (Brownstein et al., 2019; Clifford et al., 2018) and baseball pitching (Mullaney et al., 2020). However, the central effect occurring from PCM cooling remains to be directly elucidated in individuals following exercise.
Since PCM cooling can provide prolonged cooling to soft tissues (Kwiecien et al., 2019), there are obvious clinical applications. PCM cooling has the potential to accelerate recovery following muscle injury but this effect has not been examined. In the first 24-48 hours after a muscle strain injury or a muscle contusion there is a proliferation of the tissue disruption around the site of the damaged muscle fibers (Järvinen et al., 2005). Immediate application of ice to a muscle tear or a contusion is standard treatment, with the goal being to reduce the proliferation of tissue degradation at the site of the injury (Järvinen et al., 2005). Evidence from animal models provides a strong rationale for the immediate post-injury application of cryotherapy to reduce the hematoma and inflammatory response, resulting in earlier regeneration of the injured tissue (Bleakley & Hopkins, 2010; Deal et al., 2002; Merrick et al., 1999; Puntel et al., 2011; Schaser et al., 2006, 2007). Further, evidence strongly supports the application of cryotherapy in the immediate stage following injury, as cryotherapy administered within the first 30 minutes of injury is a good window of opportunity for acute injury management (Merrick & McBrier, 2010). Surprisingly, although the clinical guidelines for post-injury ice application suggest application as soon as possible, several times a day for 15-20 minutes throughout the 72-hour recovery period; Michlovitz, 1990), there is no good clinical evidence in humans to support immediate icing or the clinically recommended rate of treatment. However, data from animal studies provide a strong rationale for immediate post-injury icing to reduce the hematoma and inflammation, resulting in earlier regeneration (Bleakley et al., 2004; Deal et al., 2002; Hubbard & Denegar, 2004; Hurme et al., 1993; Meeusen & Lievens, 1986).

The efficacy of both post-injury and post-exercise cryotherapy could be improved by delivering prolonged cooling to the damaged tissue within the first 24 hours. To maximize efficacy, athletes and practitioners might opt to combine cryotherapy treatments. In practice, athletes could receive a standard 20 to 30 minute ice treatment in the athletic training room or complete a CWI treatment in order to rapidly reduce both their intramuscular and core temperature, and follow this with the immediate application of PCM cooling packs over the muscle groups they wish to keep cool. Collectively, this approach will rapidly cool the tissue.
using more traditional techniques and would subsequently maintain the reduction of both peripheral and central temperatures for extended periods by administering 15°C PCM. This could allow the athlete to sustain the treatment effect from the acute cryotherapy for a longer duration in the immediate post-injury or post-exercise period and have a better chance to accelerate the recovery processes. The advantage of this approach is that the athlete can return to normal post-exercise activities (e.g. meal, relaxation, recreational activities) while receiving a cryotherapy dose with no risk of cold injury to the skin or other tissues.

Nevertheless, it would be remiss not to mention the growing trend throughout the literature recommending against icing injuries so as not to delay or impair the regeneration process or the natural healing response (Takagi et al., 2011; White & Wells, 2013). Most recently, the pioneer of the original rest, ice, compression, elevation (RICE) method originally proposed in 1978 (Mirkin & Hoffman, 1978), rescinded his recommendation of the practice because it may delay healing, instead of facilitating it (Mirkin, 2016). Mirkin proposed that the healing process requires an inflammatory response, which is impaired by the application of ice. Specifically, during the inflammatory phase of regeneration, the activity of insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) is upregulated as it moves into the damaged tissues (Shi & Garry, 2006; Yin et al., 2013). Research in animal models has demonstrated that cryotherapy decreased IGF-1 expression (Takagi et al., 2011; Vieira Ramos et al., 2016), which might be harmful for muscle regeneration. However, this effect has not been demonstrated in humans, and the degree of muscle cooling occurring in animal models is substantially greater than that which occurs in humans (even over the course of 3 hours of continuous PCM cooling; Kwiecien et al., 2019). There is no evidence in humans to support the notion that cryotherapy could delay recovery following both injury or exercise, and data from animal models should not be directly compared to what would happen in humans. There is some evidence suggesting that the therapeutic effects attributed to cryotherapy treatment might be due to a placebo effect (Broatch et al., 2014). This limitation is inherent in all cryotherapy-based research, due to the inability to rule out that beneficial results could be due to the participants preconceived belief about how cold exposure might benefit
their recovery. However, it is unlikely that the placebo effect would explain in full the beneficial effect from prolonged PCM cooling on recovery of strength loss.

**Conclusion**

The use of PCM cooling as a recovery modality offers a substitute to traditional cryotherapy modalities as it primarily allows for an increase in the duration of the cryotherapy dose in a safe way. The PCM cooling is well tolerated, safe, inexpensive, and delivers a prolonged cooling stimulus while allowing the athlete to continue with activities of daily living. Prolonging the duration of cryotherapy at physiologically comfortable temperatures might be the missing link for accelerating recovery through cryotherapy. Since CWI results in a more rapid initial decline in intramuscular temperature than PCM cooling (Kwiecien et al., 2019), a combination of CWI followed by PCM cooling could provide maximum cooling efficacy (rapid and prolonged). Future research should examine whether this combination further improves the recovery process and what the implications are for longer term athletic development. Future research should also establish evidence in support of prolonged cooling with 15°C PCM across various forms of exercise and whether a difference exists in the optimum duration of application. Gaining a greater understanding of the potential mechanisms by which 15°C PCM works for accelerating recovery will also advance the research, and potentially provide evidence in support of less noxious cooling in being considered a viable option as a treatment for recovery from exercise or soft tissue injury. On a similar note, establishing the potential benefits of prolonged cooling for acute injury management, post-operative inflammation and swelling and chronic disease such as rheumatological conditions, might lead to answers which could translate to the exercise recovery paradigm. Finally, understanding the implications on the adaptive responses to exercise training, particularly given the concerns over CWI and adaptation (for strength) would be of great value (Hyldahl & Peake, 2020).
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Figure 1: Sample melting pattern of PCM with a phase transition point of 15°C, or 0°C for comparison, and for material with only sensible heat properties (e.g. ice pack). Latent heat period (solid line) demonstrates a change in phase while maintaining a constant temperature. The latent heat phase is longer for 15°C PCM than it is for 0°C PCM (ice melting from solid to liquid). The 15°C PCM (ice) is compared with CWI that is kept at a constant temperature of 15°C for a 15-minute duration. Once the CWI temperature is no longer held at a constant, the water temperature will begin to equilibrate to room temperature (sensible heat). The 0°C PCM (ice) is compared with a gel pack that is initially frozen at -5°C but warms up to 20°C as it equilibrates with room temperature, so it does not change phase and experiences only sensible heat. Note: PCM melting duration is dependent on the temperature gradient between skin and PCM, the PCM phase transition point, the area covered by PCM and the volume of the PCM.
Figure 2: Two Glacier Tek 15°C PCM packs in the frozen state (left), and in their melted state (right).
Table 1: Summary of evidence available on PCM studies applying 15°C PCM cooling for post-exercise recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Exercise Type</th>
<th>Cooling Technique</th>
<th>Cooling Duration</th>
<th>Variables Reported</th>
<th>Main Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Kwiecien et al., 2018  | recreational athletes     | isolated eccentric      | 1) direct PCM cooling       | 6 hours on the   | \(\downarrow\) skin temperature       | • 6 hours of direct local PCM cooling was well tolerated  
• recovery of strength loss and soreness was accelerated  
• leg receiving indirect cooling was not statistically different from direct cooling indicating a potential systemic effect |
|                        |                           | exercise of the          | 2) indirect PCM cooling     | quadriceps       | \(\downarrow\) strength loss          |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           | quadriceps               | 3) control                  |                  | \(\downarrow\) soreness                |                                                                                                                                                    |
| Clifford et al., 2018  | professional athletes     | soccer match             | 1) direct PCM cooling       | 3 hours on the   | \(\downarrow\) strength loss          | • PCM cooling can provide a practical means of delivering prolonged post-exercise cooling to entire teams of athletes  
• PCM cooling can accelerate recovery in elite athletes |
|                        |                           |                          | 2) control                  | quadriceps       | \(\downarrow\) soreness                |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           |                          |                              |                  | \(\uparrow\) counter movement jump    |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           |                          |                              |                  | (results published in McHugh et al., 2019) |                                                                                                                                                    |
| Brownstein et al., 2019| semi-professional athletes| soccer match             | 1) direct PCM cooling       | 3 hours on the   | \(\downarrow\) strength loss          | • PCM cooling accelerated recovery of central nervous system function but not muscle contractile function  
• the lack of effect on measures of physical function or perceptual responses might have been due to the relatively small magnitude of change in most of the outcome measures studied, which could be related to the training status of the study participants |
<p>|                        |                           |                          | 2) control                  | quadriceps       | (\downarrow) voluntary activation    |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           |                          |                              |                  | (\times) soreness                    |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           |                          |                              |                  | (\times) counter movement jump      |                                                                                                                                                    |
|                        |                           |                          |                              |                  | (\times) reactive strength index     |                                                                                                                                                    |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Kwiecien et al., 2020a)</th>
<th>Type of athlete</th>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Duration of Cooling</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Variables Measured</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>regularly participating in team-sport or other forms of physical exercise but not eccentrically trained</td>
<td>isolated eccentric exercise of the quadriceps</td>
<td>3) direct PCM cooling control 4) control</td>
<td>6 hours on the quadriceps</td>
<td>↓ skin temperature  ↓ strength loss  ↓ soreness  × creatine kinase  × high sensitivity c-reactive protein</td>
<td>• recovery of strength loss and soreness was accelerated  • no effect on blood markers of muscle damage  • exercise was repeated but repeated bout effect was not hindered by initial cooling (no strength loss or soreness after second exercise bout)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recreational athletes</td>
<td>marathon run</td>
<td>1) direct PCM cooling control 2) control</td>
<td>3 hours on the quadriceps</td>
<td>× strength loss  × soreness  × counter movement jump  × creatine kinase  × high sensitivity c-reactive protein</td>
<td>• no effect on accelerating recovery of any variable  • might be related to either shorter duration of cooling or exacerbated damage response following marathon  • soreness was inversely correlated with number of prior marathons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mullaney et al., 2020</td>
<td>collegiate athletes</td>
<td>baseball pitching</td>
<td>1) direct PCM cooling control 2) control</td>
<td>3 hours on the shoulder and elbow</td>
<td>↓ strength loss  × soreness  × creatine kinase</td>
<td>• PCM cooling can be applied comfortably to the arm and accelerates recovery of muscle function in baseball pitchers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>