

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

1 Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and 2 Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements

3
4 Shahnawaz Anwer, Ph.D.¹; Heng Li, Ph.D.²; Waleed Umer, Ph.D.³; Maxwell Fordjour Antwi-Afari,
5 Ph.D.⁴; Imran Mehmood⁵; Yantao Yu, Ph.D.⁶; Carl Haas, Ph.D.⁷; and Arnold Yu Lok Wong, Ph.D.⁸

6
7 ¹Dr., Research Assistant Professor, Department of Building and Real Estate, Faculty of Construction and Environment, Hong
8 Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Email: [shah-
9 nawaz.anwer@polyu.edu.hk](mailto:shahnawaz.anwer@polyu.edu.hk)

10 ²Professor, Chair Professor, Department of Building and Real Estate, Faculty of Construction and Environment, Hong Kong
11 Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Email: heng.li@polyu.edu.hk

12 ³Dr., Senior Lecturer, Department of Construction Mechanical and Construction Engineering, Northumbria University,
13 United Kingdom. Email: waleed.umer@northumbria.ac.uk

14 ⁴Dr., Lecturer, Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Aston University,
15 Birmingham, B4 7ET, United Kingdom. Email: m.antwifari@aston.ac.uk

16 ⁵PhD Student, Department of Building and Real Estate, Faculty of Construction and Environment, Hong Kong Polytechnic
17 University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (corresponding author). Email:
18 imran.mehmood@connect.polyu.hk

19 ⁶Dr., Assistant Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hong Kong University of Science and
20 Technology, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Email: ceyantao@ust.hk

21 ⁷Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada; Email:
22 chaas@uwaterloo.ca

23 ⁸Dr., Associate Professor, Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom,
24 Kowloon, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. Email: arnold.wong@polyu.edu.hk

25 26 Abstract

27 Several studies have analyzed heart rate variability (HRV) using nonlinear methods, such as approximate
28 entropy, the largest Lyapunov exponent, and correlation dimension in patients with cardiovascular
29 disorders. However, few studies have used nonlinear methods to analyze HRV in order to determine the
30 level of physical fatigue experienced by construction workers. As a result, to identify and categorize
31 physical fatigue in construction workers, the current study examined the linear and nonlinear approaches
32 of HRV analysis. Fifteen healthy construction workers (mean age, 33.2 ± 6.9 years) were selected for this
33 study. A textile-based wearable sensor monitored each participant's HRV after they completed 60 minutes
34 of bar bending and fixing tasks. At baseline, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes into the task, participants were

35 given the Borg-20 to measure their subjective levels of physical fatigue. Nonlinear (e.g., RRI variability,
36 entropy, detrended fluctuation analysis) and linear (e.g., time- and frequency-domain) HRV parameters
37 were extracted. Five machine learning classifiers were used to identify and discern different physical
38 fatigue levels. The accuracy and validity of the classifier models were evaluated using 10-fold cross-
39 validation. The classification models were developed by either combining or individualized HRV features
40 derived from linear and nonlinear HRV analyses. In the individualized feature sets, time-domain features
41 had the highest classification accuracy (92%) based on the Random Forest (RF) classifier. The combined
42 features (i.e., the time-domain and nonlinear features) sets showed the highest classification accuracy
43 (93.5%) using the RF classifier. In conclusion, this study showed that both linear and nonlinear HRV
44 analyses could be used to detect and classify physical fatigue in construction workers. This research offers
45 important contributions to the industry by analyzing the variations in linear and nonlinear HRV parameters
46 in response to construction tasks. This study demonstrates that HRV values changed significantly in
47 response to physical work, indicating a change in the relative activity of cardiac autonomic functions as a
48 result of fatigue. Using the ways in which HRV parameters vary in response to increased workloads
49 provides a sensitive marker for contrasting construction workers with and without cardiovascular disease.
50 It also allows the site manager to track how quickly workers fatigue, so that they can switch up their
51 workload to reduce the likelihood that any one worker would get severely exhausted, or to suggest that
52 workers who are already severely fatigued take a break to prevent further injury.

53 **Keywords:** Fatigue; Ergonomics; Construction safety; Wearable sensors; Heart rate variability; Machine
54 learning

55 **1. Introduction**

56 Construction work is labor-intensive because it includes both repetitive and physically demanding tasks
57 (NG and Tang, 2010; Darbandy et al., 2020). It is estimated that over 40% of construction workers in the
58 United States have reported experiencing significant fatigue, which can have a negative influence on the
59 employees' safety, physical wellbeing, and overall productivity (Ricci et al., 2007; Rosa, 2017).

60 Furthermore, the US Bureau of Labor Statistics reported 31 fatalities in 2013 due to outdoor
61 environmental heat exposure, with the construction industry accounting for 45 percent of the fatalities
62 (BLS, 2013). Between 2007 and 2011, newspapers in Hong Kong reported 43 incidents involving heat
63 and stress on construction sites, including 11 fatalities (Chan, 2012). Furthermore, past studies have
64 suggested that extended working hours, inhospitable working conditions, and excessive workloads can
65 aggravate the harmful effects of fatigue (Sluiter, 2006; Hallowell, 2010), resulting in increasingly unsafe
66 human actions and errors (Sluiter, 2006). In addition, construction workers who are too tired may be more
67 likely to have work-related musculoskeletal disorders (Anwer et al., 2021a) and be absent from work
68 (Umer et al., 2018; Anwer et al., 2021a; Yu et al., 2021).

69 Fatigue is defined as a person's reduced ability to perform at an optimal level of function (Edwards,
70 1981). Fatigue can be classified as either mental or physical. Mental fatigue refers to a decrease in
71 cognitive and behavioral performance due to prolonged cognitive workload (Boksem and Tops, 2008;
72 Boksem et al., 2005), whereas physical fatigue refers to a reduced capacity and efficiency in performing
73 physical work due to prolonged and intense physical workload (Gawron et al., 2001; Frone and Tidwell,
74 2015). As a result of the extremely physically demanding nature of construction work, proper assessments,
75 and classifications of fatigue levels in construction workers are essential steps to minimize their risk of
76 physical fatigue.

77 Since occupational fatigue has a significant impact on wellness, safety, and efficiency in all sectors,
78 including construction, it has consistently been rated as one of the top five health-related risk factors over
79 the years (Lerman et al., 2012; Shortz et al., 2019). Workers in the construction industry are prone to
80 developing fatigue because they often perform physically intensive manual tasks in hot and humid outdoor
81 environments (Anwer et al., 2020, 2021a; Umer et al., 2020). However, it has been discovered that the
82 changes of physical work settings, such as the lowering of noise, optimization of lighting, and working in
83 an indoor environment, can mitigate the adverse effects of work-related fatigue (Kołodziej and Ligarski,
84 2017). The perception of fatigue may also be lessened at indoor work sites due to less extreme temperature

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

85 and humidity compared to outdoor settings (Umer et al., 2022). It is known that changes in high
86 environmental temperature increase physiological responses (such as HR) during exercise (Galloway and
87 Maughan 1997). Likewise, as high humidity is associated with increased heart rate (HR) during exercise
88 (Maughan et al., 2012), lower indoor humidity levels may reduce physical stress to the body. Further,
89 Hořínková (2021) suggested that most construction site accidents could have been avoided if work was
90 performed at an off-site factory. Modular construction is believed to reduce accidents by as much as 80
91 percent when compared to conventional construction practices (Hořínková, 2021). Becker et al. (2003)
92 also found that half of respondents in their survey held the view that modularization was safer than
93 conventional construction. However, no prior empirical study has quantified how off-site construction
94 affects construction workers' fatigue. Fatigue in the workplace is a multifaceted issue that affects workers'
95 productivity (Maman et al., 2017; Shortz et al., 2019). With rising concerns about workers' safety and
96 health, it is more important than ever to keep track of unnecessary physical workloads to avoid worker
97 fatigue, injuries, or accidents in physically challenging environments (Hwang et al., 2016). Therefore,
98 assessments and early detection of physical fatigue are vital to minimize its adverse effects on construction
99 workers (Umer et al., 2017).

100 There are a few different approaches that have been taken in order to evaluate the level of physical
101 fatigue experienced by construction workers (Anwer et al., 2020, 2021b; Umer, 2022). They can be broken
102 down into two major categories: subjective and objective evaluations. For subjective assessments, self-
103 reported measures (e.g., Fatigue Assessment Scale, Swedish Occupational Fatigue Inventory, etc.) are
104 used to assess physical fatigue. While this approach is cost-effective, it is interruptive and may be subject
105 to recall bias. As a result, many workers cannot recognize their level of exhaustion, as shown by fatigue-
106 related accidents (Gonzalez et al., 2017). Therefore, it is important to use non-invasive and non-
107 interruptive methods to measure fatigue in real time so that we can keep track of the presence or severity
108 of fatigue.

109 Wearable sensors offer objective assessments and remote monitoring of an extensive range of critical

110 signals, that can help give advanced warning for workers with significant health-related risks
111 (Ananthanarayan and Siek, 2010; Shortz et al., 2019). Professional sports, transportation, and mining
112 industries have been adopting wearable sensors to evaluate fatigue in athletes, drivers, and mining workers,
113 respectively (Ananthanarayan and Siek, 2010; Mardonova and Choi, 2018; Seshadri et al., 2019).
114 However, in the construction industry, the application of wearable sensors to assess fatigue is still in its
115 infancy (Anwer et al., 2021a). Only a few studies have used wearable sensors to measure several
116 physiological metrics, such as HR and heart rate variability (HRV) of workers to uninterruptedly evaluate
117 physical fatigue during construction tasks (Aryal et al., 2017; Umer et al., 2020; Anwer et al., 2021b;
118 Umer, 2022). In another approach, Zhang et al. (2019) attempted to monitor fatigue using wearable inertial
119 motion units to process “jerk” signals related to masons’ body motions.

120 The rate of heartbeat is the most often employed physiological indicator for evaluating fatigue
121 (Kumar et al., 2007). Some researchers examined the association between physical or mental fatigue and
122 HRV. For example, Richter et al. (1998) recruited drivers on rural routes to see if their heart rates reflected
123 how much they experienced physical or mental fatigue. Their results demonstrated that HR and HRV
124 accurately reflect the workload demands placed on individuals and could be used to assess their
125 dependability. Similarly, Mulder et al. (1973) and Veltma et al. (2002) revealed that a higher fatigue level
126 is associated with a higher HR and a lower HRV. Thus, HRV can be a helpful indicator of fatigue levels.
127 It is possible to extract and analyze the HRV signal to use it as an index to evaluate the functioning of the
128 autonomic nervous system (ANS) (Zhu et al., 2019). The HRV signal contains information regarding the
129 regulation of the cardiovascular system. Analytical approaches for HRV indices can be divided into three
130 major groups, namely the time-domain, the frequency-domain, and the nonlinear indices (Bhardwaj and
131 Balasubramanian, 2019). Time-domain analysis and frequency-domain analysis are two linear analysis
132 methods for analyzing the HRV (Chen et al., 2020). Because HRV is a non-stationary signal derived from
133 an electrocardiogram (ECG) signal, it fluctuates in both the time and frequency domains (Elhaj et al.,
134 2016). These approaches can also be used to quantitatively examine the regulatory action of the

135 sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and the parasympathetic nervous system (PNS). Fatigue and workload
136 evaluation using HRV signals was performed by Isler et al. (2007), Xu et al. (2015), and Cinaz et al.
137 (2013). All of these studies chose both time- and frequency-domain analysis indices. However, typical
138 cardiac action has an unsteady dynamic law (Goldberger, 1992). It has been established that the HRV
139 signal is nonlinear (Hao et al., 2022). Since HRV is nonlinear, it cannot be evaluated by time-domain or
140 frequency-domain analyses. The heartbeat is governed by several factors and is prone to alterations.
141 Therefore, a nonlinear approach, as opposed to a linear one, may more accurately portray the global impact
142 of the heart's own autonomic nerve regulation (Karrakchou et al., 1996).

143 As a result, nonlinear methods have been proposed as a potential solution to overcome the
144 shortcomings of linear approaches. Recently, Chen et al. (2020) analyzed the HRV signals using linear
145 and nonlinear dynamics to determine physical fatigue in miners. Based on their results, they suggested
146 that both linear and nonlinear HRV indices can be used effectively and reliably to identify physical fatigue
147 in mining workers. However, the application of nonlinear indices of HRV analysis for fatigue assessment
148 in construction workers has not been studied. Therefore, the current study aimed to use both linear and
149 nonlinear methods to analyze HRV to identify and classify physical fatigue in construction workers. It
150 was expected that the incorporation of linear and nonlinear variables into HRV analysis would result in a
151 more accurate prediction and classification of physical fatigue in construction workers.

152 The remaining sections of this paper are structured as follows. Relevant literature on the linear and
153 nonlinear HRV analyses is presented in section 2. Section 3 describes the research materials and
154 methodology, which includes multiple subsections describing participants' characteristics, experimental
155 procedures, a description of the wearable sensing device utilized in the current investigation, an overview
156 of the HRV parameters, an explanation of feature selection, application of machine learning classifiers,
157 and evaluation of models. The findings of the suggested technique and algorithm are illustrated in section
158 4. Section 5 discusses the findings about the use of linear and nonlinear HRV analysis to automatically
159 identify and classify physical fatigue in construction workers, as well as its implications, practical

160 contributions, limitations, and future directions for research. Finally, conclusions of the study are
161 elaborated in section 6.

162 **2. Related research background**

163 The analysis of HRV from ECG signals is considered a promising method to indirectly measure the ANS
164 (Meeusen et al., 2013). The heart is mainly controlled by the vagal nerve, which is a part of the PNS. It is
165 also controlled by the SNS. It is the interplay between these two systems that controls HRV at rest and
166 during activity (**Figure 1**). The resting HR is primarily controlled by parasympathetic activity, and during
167 exercise or stressful activities, the sympathetic system is activated in a reciprocal fashion to increase the
168 HR to accommodate whatever need there may be (Balzarotti et al., 2017). Therefore, HRV can be a
169 surrogate to evaluate whether someone is undergoing physical training or activity at the moment or
170 whether they are recovering from vigorous activity. For example, previous research used HRV to assess
171 physical fatigue or physical responses to training loads among athletes (Schmitt et al., 2015). A previous
172 review indicated that HRV analysis showed promise in detecting both cognitive and physical fatigue
173 (Gonzalez et al., 2017). HRV refers to the variability of the intervals between two heartbeats, which is
174 known as the interbeat interval (IBI) (Shaffer et al., 2014). While an increased HR indicates higher levels
175 of physical activity, a decreased HRV value suggests a higher intensity of physical activity (De Waard and
176 Brookhuis, 1991; Mulder, 1992). Wearable optical heart rate monitors can be used to monitor the
177 myoelectrical activity and HRV of the heart (Schmalhuber et al., 2018). Analysis of HRV is regarded as a
178 noninvasive and objective method for analyzing autonomic dysfunction in persons who have chronic
179 fatigue syndrome (Escorihuela et al., 2020). Analysis of HRV can reveal the dynamic shifts in cardiac
180 autonomic function that occur in a matter of minutes (Escorihuela et al., 2020). HRV can be measured
181 using linear and nonlinear analysis. Time-domain recordings can last up to five minutes (short) or more
182 than five minutes (long). Recordings lasting longer than 5 minutes can yield reliable HRV data (Shaffer
183 and Ginsberg, 2017). In the current study, we estimated HRV based on 60 minutes of recording. When
184 fatigue is present, the autonomic nervous system demonstrates sympathetic hyperactivity while the

185 parasympathetic system becomes less active. A shift in the central command pathomechanisms could be
186 the cause of such an imbalance in the ANS (Escorihuela et al., 2020).

187 **2.1. HRV analysis**

188 HRV is a key indicator of neural cardiac function, with high HRV values indicating successful ANS
189 adaptation and identifying a healthy individual, whereas low HRV values indicate abnormal ANS
190 adaptation and are associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (Appelhans and Luecken,
191 2006; Karavirta et al., 2009). High HRV scores are associated with stress/fatigue management and the
192 ability of a person to cope with stress/fatigue (Appelhans and Luecken, 2006).

193 *2.1.1. Linear analysis: time-domain component*

194 HRV can be analyzed by many methods. Of these, time-domain analysis is the simplest one. Specifically,
195 the time-domain approaches are utilized in order to compute the HR at any given time or the gaps that
196 exist between the occurrences of subsequent normal Q-, R-, and S-wave (often known as QRS complex)
197 in an ECG waveform (Shaffer and Ginsberg, 2017). After the initial dip of the P wave, the first upward
198 deflection of the S wave is the R wave. In physiology, the R wave indicates the beginning of ventricular
199 depolarization. Each R-wave is identified on a continuous ECG record as shown in **Figure 2**. Calculations
200 are made to determine either the instantaneous heart rate or the normal-to-normal (NN) intervals (Shaffer
201 and Ginsberg, 2017). The beat-to-beat interval was expressed in seconds by noting the times of two
202 consecutive peaks and then subtracting the second peak from the first one. Then the instantaneous heart
203 rate in beats per minute was calculated by dividing this number by 60 (Shaffer and Ginsberg, 2017). The
204 NN interval was determined by measuring the time difference between two successive QRS waves. After
205 modifying the RR interval to eliminate outliers, the NN interval was determined. An additional exclusion
206 was an RR interval that exceeded 150 ms different from the average of the 5 preceding intervals (Shaffer
207 and Ginsberg, 2017). The mean HR, the mean NN interval, the difference between the shortest and longest
208 NN interval, as well as other basic time-domain variables can be measured. More intricate analytical time-
209 domain components can be extracted from a series of instantaneous heartbeats, especially those observed

210 over longer periods, typically 24 hours, as shown in supplementary file **Table S1**.

211 *2.1.2. Linear analysis: Frequency-domain component*

212 HRV spectral analysis converts the ECG signal from the time-domain to the frequency-domain (Tarvainen
213 et al., 2014) (supplementary file **Table S1**). The power range of heart rate is divided into three distinct
214 bands. The power spectrum has three distinct peaks: one at very low frequency (VLF), which occurs below
215 0.05 Hz; one at low frequency (LF), which occurs between 0.06 Hz and 0.15 Hz; and one at high frequency
216 (HF), which occurs between 0.15 Hz and 0.4 Hz (Tarvainen et al., 2014). The VLF is associated with
217 vasomotor and thermoregulatory functions (Kamath and Fallen, 1993). The LF is linked to HR regulation
218 and reflects sympathetic behavior. A parasympathetic response is indicated by the presence of respiratory
219 sinus arrhythmia, which is related with HF (Heathers, 2014). The LF, HF, and LF/HF ratio are three
220 popular frequency-domain characteristics that are used to quantify levels of physical activity (Schmalhub
221 et al., 2018). The LF band can show SNS activity in response to physical activity or stress (Schmalhub
222 et al., 2018). The LF and HF bands indicate the levels of sympathetic and parasympathetic activity,
223 respectively (Heathers, 2014; Quintana and Heathers, 2014). LF fluctuation is caused by both vagal and
224 sympathetic activity, while HF variability is primarily caused by vagal (parasympathetic) activity. In
225 addition, the LF/HF ratio could be a sign of sympathetic or parasympathetic activity, and it's a measure of
226 how well the sympathetic and vagal systems are working together (Schmalhub et al., 2018).

227 *2.1.3. Nonlinear analysis*

228 The nonlinear dynamics properties that characterize complex systems are captured by nonlinear analysis
229 techniques and metrics (Supplementary file **Table S1**). Nonlinear metrics were developed to characterize
230 autosimilarity, fractal time behavior, and time series complexity (Delliaux et al., 2019). For example, RRI
231 time series in HRV are made up of an autonomous mechanism that is part of the human body called the
232 ANS and various environmental factors (Goldberger, 2002). Nonlinear analysis of HRV appears to be
233 more sensitive and accurate than linear analysis in describing cardiac and clinical status and predicting
234 the prognosis of various cardiovascular diseases (Huikuri et al., 2009). In past studies, the nonlinear

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

235 Poincaré plot analysis was found to be valid, responsive, and reliable (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Gergelyfi
236 et al., 2015). The most commonly used nonlinear metrics are SD1 (the variance of the instantaneous beat-
237 to-beat RRI calculated as the standard deviation; this variable is the minor axis of the fitted ellipse), SD2
238 (the principal axis of the fitted ellipse, which represents the standard deviation of the continuous long-
239 term RRI variability), SD1/SD2 ratio (the axis ratio), detrended fluctuation analysis (DFA) α_1 and α_2
240 coefficients, Approximate Entropy (ApEn), and Sample Entropy (SampEn) (Hoshi et al., 2013; Delliaux
241 et al., 2019).

242 **3. Materials and Methods**

243 **3.1. Participants, Instrumentation, and Experiment**

244 A convenient sampling approach was used to recruit 15 healthy construction workers aged 18 years or
245 older from a construction site. People who had a history of disorders affecting their musculoskeletal
246 system, neurological system, or cardiovascular system were not included. The principles outlined in the
247 Declaration of Helsinki were adhered to throughout the research, and the ethical committee at the
248 institution gave its final approval to the protocol (Reference Number: HSEARS20190824004). Before the
249 data collection, participants signed a written informed consent document.

250 **Figure 3** depicts the methodologic framework of the research process. After receiving written
251 consent, participants were given a self-reported questionnaire to complete in order to collect information
252 on their demographics and medical history. After that, participants were given the instruction to wear the
253 EQ02 system (Equivital Lifemonitor system, Hidalgo, UK) to assess HRV parameters while doing a
254 manual bar bending and fixing task for one hour. The EQ02 is a body-worn device made of textiles that
255 has various sensors that gather and send physiological data (ECG, respiration frequency, and skin
256 temperature). These data are used to display the user's cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory condition.
257 The EQ02 device is made up of three different parts: (a) a sensor electronic module that is housed inside
258 of a specially constructed vest (four different sizes are available); (b) software called Equivital Manager
259 that is used to manage the sensor electronic module; and (c) an application that is based on smartphones

260 (Figure 4). The sensor electronic module, which is coupled to the textile-based sensors, detects, records,
261 and transmits data through Bluetooth to a laptop or smartphone for the Equivital manager software to be
262 able to remotely monitor those physiological outcomes in real time. To ensure the conduction of
263 bioelectric signals to the textile-embedded electrode, the ECG electrodes were moistened with water. It
264 was recently discovered, through experimental studies, that a textile-based multi-sensor body-worn device
265 (i.e., EQ02) is an accurate and valid tool for measuring physiological parameters while working on a
266 construction site (Anwer et al., 2021b; Umer, 2022).

267 The bar bending and fixing tasks were performed by participants on a construction site (Figure 5).
268 These tasks were chosen because they represent one of the most strenuous activities in the construction
269 industry in terms of physical exertion, number of hours spent working, and complexity (Wong et al., 2014).
270 Bar bending entails adjusting the length and shape of reinforcement bars by cutting and bending them.
271 Meanwhile, bar fixing entails precisely positioning and layering and spacing the reinforcement bars that
272 have been individually designed for the project. When compared to other construction tasks such as form
273 works, bar bending, and fixing are considerably more physically demanding jobs given the weight of the
274 rebars. To stabilize participants' physiological parameters prior to the data collection, they were asked to
275 sit in a chair for 10 minutes. The baseline (T0) HRV data was measured by the EQ02 system, while the
276 subjective fatigue level was documented by the Borg-20 scale (Borg, 1982). The Borg-20 is a widely used
277 subjective scale to assess the rating of perceived exertion during and after physical activity. It is a 6-to-
278 20-point scale, where 6 indicates "No physical exertion at all" and 20 indicates "Maximal exertion". After
279 the initial assessments were completed (T0), it was requested that each participant carry out one hour of
280 their usual bar bending and fixing tasks (Figure 5). Subjective levels of fatigue were assessed using the
281 Borg-20 scale at 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes of the construction task, and these intervals were designated
282 as T1, T2, T3, and T4, respectively. For features selection, the HRV parameters of ECG signals during the
283 last 5 minutes before each time point (i.e., 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes of task) as measured by the EQ02
284 system were used to evaluate fatigue at T1, T2, T3, and T4, respectively. The corresponding linear and

285 nonlinear HRV features of ECG signals were analyzed. The sampling frequency of the ECG signal in
286 EQ02 was 256 Hz.

288 **3.2. Data Analysis and Signal Processing**

289 The raw HRV data was exported from the EQ02 system as a text file, and then it was imported into an
290 HRV analysis software program (Kubios HRV, 2.1, Biosignal Analysis and Medical Imaging Group,
291 Kuopio, Finland) for the purpose of analyzing several HRV parameters, including (1) time domain, (2)
292 frequency domain, and (3) nonlinear dynamics. The HRV was analyzed using the standards that were
293 determined to be acceptable by consensus (Rawenwaaij et al., 1993; Electrophysiology task force, 1996).
294 The R-R intervals series was detrended using the smoothness prior approach with an alpha of 500, and
295 the sampling frequency was set to 300 Hz. To perform an HRV analysis (Alcantara et al., 2020; Tarvainen
296 et al., 2014), we ensured that the following conditions were met: (i) the R-R intervals and the HR
297 distribution graphs were Gaussians; (ii) there were no substantial R-R interval outliers; and (iii) the R-R
298 intervals were equally spaced. Further, we applied all the available Kubios threshold-based artefact
299 correction levels (thereinafter called Kubios filters). Five different Kubios filters were used: a very low
300 (0.45 s), a low (0.35 s), a medium (0.25 s), a strong (0.15 s), and a very strong (0.05 s) (Alcantara et al.,
301 2020; Tarvainen et al., 2014). Notably, HRV parameters were calculated with all the Kubios filters. For
302 instance, the very low Kubios filter was used to obtain HRV values using the same threshold (0.45 s). This
303 study used other Kubios filters (thresholds: low [0.35 s], medium [0.25 s], strong [0.15 s], and very strong
304 [0.05 s]) followed the same logic. The final step was to fix the highlighted artifacts by interpolating
305 between them with a cubic spline (Alcantara et al., 2020). If the R-R interval was not a multiple of 0.45
306 (very low), 0.35 (low), 0.25 (medium), 0.15 (strong), or 0.05 (very strong) seconds, the Kubios program
307 automatically interpolated it. The HRV was then automatically analyzed in both linear (frequency and
308 time domains) and nonlinear parameters after the IBIs were imported into the Kubios computer program.

309 *3.2.1. Time-domain HRV analysis*

310 Time-domain data were detrended with Smooth priors (λ : 500), and the average of five beats was
311 used to calculate the average of the Min/Max HR. The threshold for NNxx/pNNxx was set at 50 ms for
312 NNxx/pNNxx. Many time-domain parameters were utilized in order to measure the overall variability,
313 which was shown to originate from both regular and random sources. These include the mean RRI and
314 the mean HR in addition to the standard deviation RRI and the standard deviation HR, the RMSSD, NN50,
315 pNN50, the RRI triangular index, and TINN (details are available in supplementary file **Table S1**)
316 (Billman, 2011).

317 *3.2.2. Frequency domain HRV analysis*

318 In order to determine the periodic oscillations of the examined time series, we used a number of different
319 frequency domain metrics. These metrics were chosen based on the estimated power spectrum that was
320 produced by the Fast Fourier transform and Welch's periodogram technique. These metrics contained the
321 centroid frequency (expressed in Hz) and power (expressed in ms^2) in three frequency bands that were of
322 interest: low frequency (LF, 0.04 – 0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF, 0.15 – 0.4 Hz). The interpolation
323 rate, points in frequency domain, window width, and window overlap were 4 Hz , 300 points/ Hz , 300 s,
324 and 50%, respectively. In addition to this, the power of the LF/HF ratio as well as the total power (TP)
325 were computed. The LF and HF powers were also reported as a percentage of TP (LFperc and HFperc,
326 respectively), as well as in normalized units (LFnu and HFnu, respectively). This was done in order to
327 more accurately depict the sympatho-vagal components of the HRV and were specified as $LFnu =$
328 $LFpw / (TP - VLFpw) * 100$; $HFnu = HFpw / (TP - VLFpw) * 100$. These factors have been
329 used as a way to measure the total variability of the heart rate. These include the parasympathetic
330 component of the ANS (HFnu), the sympathetic and parasympathetic components of the ANS (LFnu),
331 temperature, and other hormonal influences on the heart rate (VLFpw), as well as the balance between the
332 sympathetic and parasympathetic components of the ANS (LF/HF).

333 *3.2.3. Nonlinear HRV analysis*

334 Four methods were used to examine the nonlinear properties of HRV: (1) Poincaré plot (Brennan et al.,
335 2001; Melillo et al., 2011); (2) Approximate entropy (ApEn) (Richman and Moorman, 2000); (3) Sample
336 entropy (SampEn) (Richman and Moorman, 2000); and (4) Detrended fluctuation analysis (Peng et al.,
337 1995; Penzel et al., 2003). We calculated the SD1 (the variance of the instantaneous beat-to-beat RRI
338 calculated as the standard deviation; this variable is the minor axis of the fitted ellipse), SD2 (the principal
339 axis of the fitted ellipse, which represents the standard deviation of the continuous long-term RRI
340 variability), and the SD1/SD2 of the RRI of rank $n + 1$ plotted as a function of the RRI of rank n in a lag
341 1 Poincaré plot (Hoshi et al., 2013). We calculated the detrended fluctuation analysis coefficients a_1 and
342 a_2 with segment lengths of $n \in (4,12)$ and $n \in (13,64)$, respectively. SampEn and ApEn estimates of
343 each RRI time series were calculated with r (filtering level) and m (embedding dimension) set to 0.2 SD
344 and 2 of the RRI time series, respectively.

345 3.3. Features selection

346 The filter method and the wrapper method are both utilized in the feature selection process. Wrapper
347 methods evaluate the usefulness of a subset of features by training a model on those features, whereas
348 filter methods evaluate the significance of features based on their correlation with the variable that is being
349 evaluated (Chandrashekar and Sahin, 2014). Since filter methods do not need the models to be trained,
350 they can complete the process much more quickly than wrapper techniques. Filter methods evaluate a
351 subset of characteristics using statistical methods, whereas wrapper techniques employ cross validation
352 (Preece et al., 2009). The filter approach that was employed in this study selected several cardiovascular
353 features (i.e., time-domain, frequency-domain, and nonlinear-domain indices) that were significantly
354 affected by the level of physical fatigue experienced among construction workers using repeated-measures
355 analysis of variance (ANOVA). The wrapper technique used sequential selection algorithms (i.e., forward
356 selection methods) that began with an empty set and gradually added features to the model, and the
357 performance of the classifier is evaluated regarding each feature. The best performing feature is chosen
358 from among all the features.

3.4. Classification of physical fatigue using Machine learning classifiers

To enable accurate classification of physical fatigue based on the linear and nonlinear HRV analyses, five types of supervised machine learning classifiers are used: (1) K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN); (2) Decision Tree (DT); (3) Random Forest (RF); (4) Support Vector Machine (SVM); and (5) Artificial Neural Network (ANN). Details of these techniques are available elsewhere (Lai et al, 2010; Umer et al., 2020; Karvekar et al., 2021; Antwi-Afari et al., 2020). Although a number of algorithms could be used for our purpose, we decided to utilize these five instead because previous research has proven that they are effective in monitoring physical fatigue. For example, Umer et al. (2022) used several supervised machine learning classifiers including KNN, RF, DT, and ANN for developing a model to monitor physical fatigue. Additionally, Hu and Min (2018) have compared a variety of machine learning classifiers, such as DT, KNN, SVM, and ANN for detecting driver's fatigue. Therefore, in the current study, these supervised machine learning classifiers were chosen to identify the best model parameters to be used for training a specific dataset.

For features selection, HRV parameters of ECG signals collected in the 5 minutes preceding each time point (i.e., baseline, 15, 30, 45, and 60 minutes of task) were used to evaluate fatigue at T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4, respectively. Further machine learning-based fatigue monitoring was performed using a sliding window segmentation approach with a window size of 30 s and 50% data overlap between adjacent windows. This method resulted in a dataset containing 1425 labelled examples for 15 participants. Measured subjective Borg-20 scores at baseline (T0) and at T1, T2, T3, and T4 were evaluated with the corresponding HRV data during 60 minutes of work. After that, the data were divided at random into three separate groups. To be more specific, 70% (999 datasets) of the data was set aside for training, 15% (213

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

380 datasets) was used for validation, and the remaining 15% (213 datasets) was used for testing.

381 **3.5. Model Assessments and Validation**

382 There were seven classification models developed using individualized and combined HRV features
383 derived from linear and nonlinear analyses. Specifically, models 1, 2, and 3 used only time-domain,
384 frequency-domain, and HRV parameters obtained from nonlinear analysis, respectively. Models 4 to 6
385 used different combinations of HRV features obtained from linear and nonlinear HRV analysis. Model 7
386 used all HRV features to identify and classify physical fatigue during the construction task. As a reference,
387 Borg-20 scores were used to classify physical fatigue into four levels: no fatigue (score ≤ 6), mild fatigue
388 (score 7 – 11), moderate fatigue (score 12 – 16), and severe fatigue (score 17 or higher) (Aryal et al, 2017;
389 Karvekar et al, 2021). Additionally, the accuracy and validity of the classifier models were evaluated using
390 10-fold cross-validation (Antwi-afari et al., 2018). Although there is no universal rule, 5 or 10 is a common
391 choice for k when performing a cross-validation. The size gap between the training set and the resampling
392 subsets decreases as the value of k increases (Esbensen and Geladi, 2010). The bias (the discrepancy
393 between the predicted and observed results) of the method becomes smaller with decreasing difference
394 (i.e., the bias is smaller for k = 10 than for k = 5). Therefore, a 10-fold cross-validation was used in the
395 current study.

396 This study used the Orange data mining tool (Version 3.27.1, Bioinformatics Lab, the University of
397 Ljubljana, Slovenia), which is an open source data mining software based on Python programming to
398 compare and evaluate the classification algorithms (Demsar et al., 2013; Kukasvadiya and Devecha, 2017).
399 The process of data preprocessing and classification analysis was done using the Orange data mining tool
400 (supplementary file **Figure S1**). The canvas interface of Orange software allows users to create data

analysis workflows by dragging and dropping widgets into place. Reading data, displaying a data table, choosing features, training predictors, contrasting learning methods, and visualizing data items are some of the fundamental functions of a widget. The user can interact with the programme to look at visuals and put parts of them into other widgets (Kukavadiya and Devecha, 2017).

4. Results

Table 1 presents demographic details of the participants. The fifteen male construction workers (mean age, 33.2 ± 6.9 years) had an average sleep duration of 7.4 hours the prior night. Most of the participants had no physical fatigue at baseline as measured by the Borg-20 rating of perceived scale. Participants reported a gradual increase in their fatigue levels from baseline over the course of a 1-hour construction task. Average fatigue levels at T0, T1, T2, T3, and T4 were 6.1, 9.3, 11.9, 14.4, and 17.8, respectively.

The outcomes of the analyses performed in the time domain, frequency domain, and nonlinear HRV are presented (supplementary file **Figures S2, S3, and S4**, respectively). RRI was significantly reduced from 0.89s at baseline to 0.61s at T4 (**Figure S2**). The ratio of LF and HF power was increased from 0.63 at baseline to 5.99 at T4 (**Figure S3**). While short-term variability (SD1) significantly decreased from 38.60 ms at baseline to 12.38 ms at T4, long-term variability (SD2) non-significantly decreased from 49.11 ms at baseline to 35.91 ms at T4 (**Figure S4**).

A total of 34 linear (time-and frequency-domain) and nonlinear HRV features were extracted. **Table 2** details the effects of physical fatigue on HRV parameters during the construction task. Based on the repeated measure ANOVA results, 25 statistically significant linear and nonlinear HRV features were selected in the final classification model. Ten, 10, and five features were selected for the time domain, frequency domain, and nonlinear sets, respectively.

For the classification assessments, three different feature sets and their combinations and five machine learning classifiers were used to identify the best feature set and classifier combination to accurately classify physical fatigue in construction workers. **Table 3** presents the evaluation parameters of each model using different classifiers to classify physical fatigue in construction workers. For the

426 comparisons of machine learning classifiers' accuracy, the RF classifier demonstrated the highest accuracy
427 (93.5%) for time-domain and nonlinear features, followed by ANN (92.6%) for time and frequency-
428 domain features, and SVM for time-domain features (88.9%). In general, the RF classifier is the best
429 machine learning model to classify physical fatigue using both individualized and combined feature sets.
430 For the comparisons of the individualized feature sets, the time domain features had the highest accuracy
431 (92%), followed by nonlinear (77.3%), and frequency domain features (74%). Similarly, for the
432 comparisons of the combined feature sets, the time and nonlinear features set showed the highest accuracy
433 (93.5%), followed by the time and frequency domain features set (92.6%), all linear and nonlinear feature
434 sets (91.9%), and frequency and nonlinear features sets (75.8%). Figures 6 and 7 show the confusion
435 matrices for the individualized and combined feature sets using the best classifier. When comparing the
436 accuracy levels of individualized feature sets (i.e., Models 1, 2, and 3), model 1 that included time-domain
437 features showed the highest classification accuracy (**Figure 6**). The comparison of the combined feature
438 set showed that the highest accuracy was noted for model 5 (time-domain and nonlinear domain features),
439 followed by model 4 (combined time-domain and frequency-domain features) (**Figure 7**).

440 **5. Discussion**

441 This study used linear and nonlinear HRV analysis to automatically identify and classify physical fatigue
442 in construction workers. In addition, this study compared linear and nonlinear approaches in analyzing
443 HRV among construction workers in order to detect and classify physical fatigue. The current study
444 discovered that several time-domain HRV measures (including RRI, SDNN, RMSDD, NN50, pNN50,
445 RR triangular index, and TINN) were significantly decreased during the 60-minute construction task.
446 Similarly, various frequency-domain HRV parameters (such as peak, power, log, and percentage of HF
447 band) were sharply reduced during the construction task, indicating that the presence of fatigue affected
448 these features. Conversely, the peak of the LF band, the percentage of VLF and LF bands, and the ratio of
449 LF/HF power all increased substantially during the construction task.

450 The current findings revealed that various HRV components were sensitive to physical exhaustion,
451 suggesting that HRV measures could be used to predict physical fatigue in construction workers. The
452 HR variations associated with the respiratory cycle are reflected in the HF band, which is also known as
453 the respiratory band (Vuksanovic and Gal, 2007). The HF band is reflective of parasympathetic activity
454 (Vuksanovic and Gal, 2007), and it is characterized by respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA) (Kamath and
455 Fallen, 1993). This is most likely what occurred throughout the construction task. Due to the
456 stooping/squatting postures and repeated lifting tasks, oxygen demands increase, which results in an
457 increase in respiratory rate, which reduces the HF component during these tasks (Vuksanovic and Gal,
458 2007). According to Kamath and Fallen (1993), changes in posture significantly increase the power of the
459 LF band. Similarly, another study found that when physical effort increased, the power of LF bands also
460 increased (Parotala, 2009). Likewise, research revealed that following high-intensity exercise, the LF/HF
461 ratio increased from baseline (Perini and Veicsteinas, 2003; Parotala, 2009; Collins et al., 2005). Collins
462 et al., (2005) found that the LF/HF ratio (a measure of sympathetic activity) was greatly increased, but the
463 HF power (a measure of parasympathetic activity) was significantly reduced during high workload
464 physical activity. These findings supported our observations that the LF/HF ratio increased while the HF
465 power decreased during the construction task. During activities of moderate to high intensity, some authors
466 hypothesized that there was a shift in autonomic interaction toward sympathetic dominance. This was
467 inferred from the observation that HF power decreased, while LF power increased during these activities.
468 As a consequence of this, there was an increase in the ratio of LF to HF (Parotala, 2009).

469 In the current study, nonlinear HRV parameters (e.g., short-term variability (SD_1) and sample entropy)
470 were reduced significantly from baseline, whereas the ratio of SD_2/SD_1 , short-term fluctuations (α_1), and
471 long-term fluctuations (α_2) steadily increased during the construction task. In contrast to SD_1 , which is a
472 nonlinear measurement of parasympathetic sinus node control, SD_2 is a nonlinear index of sinus node
473 control that includes both sympathetic and parasympathetic control (De Vito et al., 2002; Mourrot et al.,
474 2004; Delliaux et al., 2019). Although no previous studies have used nonlinear HRV analysis to assess

475 physical fatigue, some researchers have reported adequate sensitivity and reliability of nonlinear HRV
476 analysis in assessing mental fatigue (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Trutschel et al., 2012; Gergelyfi et al., 2015;
477 Delliaux et al., 2019). Therefore, future research is warranted to validate whether nonlinear HRV
478 parameters can be used to evaluate physical fatigue-related cardiac changes. Previous research found that
479 high SD1 and SD2 were reported at the start of the work, but these measurements dropped down during
480 the computerised switching task (Delliaux et al., 2019).

481 **5.1. Classification performance**

482 Because HRV components were found to be responsive to physical fatigue, it is possible that HRV
483 assessments could be used to detect and classify physical fatigue using machine learning classifiers in
484 construction workers. As a result, the linear and nonlinear HRV features were used in this study to identify
485 and categorize the levels of physical fatigue experienced by construction workers. Research has recently
486 used sensor informatics for proactive monitoring of physical exertion among construction workers. While
487 previous research used diverse off-body and on-body sensors, the current study employed a novel and
488 simple strategy to monitor exertion by analyzing HRV features collected from a single ECG sensor. To
489 obtain the best classification performance, machine learning algorithms were adopted in two steps. First,
490 the separate time, frequency, and nonlinear feature sets were used to find the best classifier and ideal
491 selected features for detecting physical fatigue. Second, the combined time, frequency, and nonlinear
492 feature sets were used to find the best classifier and the best features to use for detecting physical fatigue.

493 The RF classifier demonstrated the highest accuracy (93.5%) for time-domain and nonlinear features.
494 The RF classifier is the best machine learning model to classify physical fatigue using both individualized
495 and combined feature sets. In the current study, the accuracy of using both linear and nonlinear HRV
496 features in classifying physical fatigue outperformed the accuracy of predicting fatigue based on the heart
497 rate during physical exertion (Aryal et al., 2017; Umer et al., 2020). However, these prior investigations
498 required multiple physiological assessments (e.g., skin temperature and breathing rate) in order to attain
499 an accuracy of approximately 82 % (Aryal et al., 2017). The higher classification accuracy of our novel

500 method may be attributed to the choice of physiological features in training the machine learning models.
501 Aryal et al. (2017) trained a model using a small number of features, mainly the time domain obtained
502 from heart rate data and skin temperature. However, our study extracted both linear and nonlinear data
503 from the HRV features. Further, the current study selected the most relevant data based on statistical tests
504 before entering these data into the five machine learning models for training to identify the best model for
505 classifying fatigue.

506 In the current study, the RF demonstrated the highest accuracy rate among all the tested classifiers
507 for classifying physical fatigue. The results could be attributed to RF's ability to deal with computational
508 complexity by tolerating certain classification errors on the training dataset (Ishaque et al., 2021). The RF
509 is a bagging method that, like Adaboost, uses an ensemble of decision trees to carry out its functionality
510 (Ishaque et al., 2021). Bagging algorithms reduce the amount of variation in a dataset, which both
511 improves accuracy and reduces the amount of overfitting that occurs. This contrasts with many strong
512 learners, which have a tendency to remember data and overfit the data. The performance of most models
513 is significantly improved using features (i.e., time- and frequency-domain) that have linear patterns. On
514 the other hand, the RF is a curve-based method that can adapt to nonlinear parameters in an effective
515 manner (Ishaque et al., 2021). A longer training period is required, as well as a significant amount of
516 computational power, to effectively manage the extensive use of decision trees (Ishaque et al., 2021). In
517 contrast, KNN demonstrated the lowest accuracy rate among all tested classifiers in the current study. This
518 could be ascribed to the inductive bias of KNN. The KNN inductive bias has a correlation with the
519 fundamental assumption of the KNN technique, which categorizes each instance data point I as the class
520 label of most of the other k surrounding instances by measuring the Euclidean distance. This fundamental
521 assumption classifies each instance data point I as the class label of most of the other k surrounding
522 instances. The KNN method determines the distance between two instances by considering all the
523 characteristics of each instance and giving equal weight to each of those characteristics. Because only a
524 very small portion of the entire feature set can be used for discrimination, this may pose a challenge for

525 certain data windows. In addition, the effectiveness of KNN is highly dependent on the characteristics of
526 the noise. Even though we have removed a lot of signal artifacts, it was impossible to remove all of them
527 from HR recordings and HR signals, which were always going to be noisy.

528 **5.2. Study implications and practical contributions**

529 HRV has been known to be a reliable indicator for detecting physiological and psychophysical illnesses.
530 In recent years, HRV has been employed to improve heart rate diagnostics in the general population,
531 including both working and nonworking people (Burns et al., 2016; Sessa et al., 2018). Assessing the
532 impact of work-related physical fatigue on the heart can help predict cardiac illnesses. As such, evaluating
533 HRV has become more important (Gamelin et al., 2006). In the past, accurate evaluations of HRV required
534 high-quality ECG signals. However, the complexity and cost of ECG equipment makes HRV analysis
535 very challenging, particularly in field studies (Kingsley et al., 2005). Wearable sensor development has
536 advanced to the point where HRV parameters can be reliably assessed in field settings (Hinde et al., 2021).
537 The current study adds novel contributions to the field by exploring how linear and nonlinear
538 cardiovascular kinetics vary in response to construction tasks (i.e., bar-bending and fixing). The current
539 study used the Borg-20 rating of perceived exertion scores to explore the relationship between changes in
540 self-perceived fatigue and the HRV parameters. Our findings showed that linear and nonlinear analysis of
541 HRV could quantify work-related changes in cardiac autonomic functions. We showed that physical
542 exertion caused significant changes in HRV parameters, indicating a shift in the relative activities of PNS
543 and SNS in response to physical fatigue. The potential changes in HRV parameters during heavy
544 workloads can be employed as sensitive markers to compare construction workers with and without
545 cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Additionally, it enables the site manager to monitor how easily a person
546 becomes fatigued and to request that people experiencing severe fatigue take a break to avoid injury or
547 accident. Finally, this algorithm could be integrated into a computer system or a mobile app, allowing the
548 site manager or even individual workers to monitor their level of fatigue and take appropriate breaks. It
549 has the potential to be a vital occupational safety and health (OSH) tool.

5.3. Limitations and future research directions

This study had a few limitations. The duration of the experiments in this study was not a typical half-day of work. Although it was originally planned to collect data continuously for a half or full day of construction work, workers' reluctance to participate forced us to cut the project down to just a 1-hour recording. While the majority of participants mentioned a busy work schedules and data privacy issues (e.g., demographic data) as their reason for declining to participate, others provided no plausible reason. Despite this limitation, our findings demonstrated that the algorithm was sensitive enough to detect even one hour of work-related fatigue. It is a sensitive approach to detect even mild fatigue in workers on a construction site. Future studies should use HRV parameters to continuously monitor fatigue during a whole day construction task. Furthermore, although bar-bending is one of the most physically demanding jobs in construction, construction work involves a wide range of tasks or activities. It is necessary to do additional research to verify the applicability of our findings to other groups of construction workers, such as manual laborers and form workers. Future research should also compare fatigue between workers performing repetitive and non-repetitive tasks. Such large-scale prospective studies can provide appropriate training data for the development of a comprehensive fatigue monitoring system on construction sites. Moreover, although this study lacked objective validation of fatigue (e.g., blood lactate level measurement to support the presence of fatigue), the Borg scale, a common scale for subjective assessment of perceived exertion, was used to determine self-perceived exertion. Although no participants complained about allergic reactions to wearing the textile-based wearable sensor system, prior research reported that some individuals felt irritation and discomfort while wearing this system (Umer et al., 2017). Future research should investigate new textile materials to improve the comfort of wearable physiological monitoring systems. Despite these limitations, the current study developed a reliable classification system that could be applied in future field research to assess construction workers' physical fatigue in real-time.

6. Conclusions

This is the first study to use linear and nonlinear analytic methods to extract various HRV parameters so

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

575 as to better categorize different extents of physical fatigue in bar-benders using various machine learning
576 algorithms. We discovered that variations in linear and nonlinear HRV parameters caused by fatigue may
577 be classified using supervised machine learning approaches. The study confirms that the random forest
578 classifier can better predict fatigue in construction workers based on linear and nonlinear HRV parameters.
579 Furthermore, the combined feature set of HRV measures is better than the individual HRV feature set in
580 assessing physical fatigue. Future research is warranted to validate the use of nonlinear HRV measures as
581 a biomarker for monitoring physical fatigue. This study makes unique contributions to the field by
582 examining the possible changes in HRV parameters during excessive workloads, which can be used as
583 sensitive indicators to distinguish construction workers both with and without cardiovascular disease. The
584 proposed method has the potential to reduce work-related musculoskeletal injuries and other fatigue-
585 related risks through enabling continuous monitoring of physical fatigue. Our findings may also be used
586 to develop a monitoring and warning system for severe physical fatigue and to help tailor-make optimal
587 work rest schedules for individual workers. It could facilitate it as ideal occupational safety and health
588 (OSH) technology.

589 **Data Availability Statement**

590 Upon request, the corresponding author of this study will provide access to any and all data that was
591 generated or analyzed in order to support the findings of the study.

592 **Acknowledgements**

593 The authors acknowledged the following two funding grants: 1. General Research Fund (GRF) Grant
594 (BRE/PolyU 152047/19E) entitled “In Search of a Suitable Tool for Proactive Physical Fatigue
595 Assessment: An Invasive to Non-invasive Approach”; and 2. General Research Fund (GRF) Grant
596 (BRE/PolyU 15210720) entitled “The development and validation of a non-invasive tool to monitor
597 mental and physical stress in construction workers”.

599 **Supplemental Materials**

600 Table S1 and Figs. S1–S4 are available online in the ASCE Library (www.ascelibrary.org).

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

REFERENCES

- Alcantara, J.M., Plaza-Florido, A., Amaro-Gahete, F.J., Acosta, F.M., Migueles, J.H., Molina-Garcia, P., Sacha, J., Sanchez-Delgado, G. and Martinez-Tellez, B., 2020. Impact of using different levels of threshold-based artefact correction on the quantification of heart rate variability in three independent human cohorts. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 9(2), p.325.
- ANANTHANARAYAN, S. & SIEK, K. A. Health sense: a gedanken experiment on persuasive wearable technology for health awareness. *Proceedings of the 1st ACM international health informatics symposium*, 2010. 400-404.
- ANTWI-AFARI, M. F., LI, H., SEO, J. & WONG, A. Y. L. 2018. Automated detection and classification of construction workers' loss of balance events using wearable insole pressure sensors. *Automation in Construction*, 96, 189-199.
- Antwi-Afari, M.F., Li, H., Umer, W., Yu, Y. and Xing, X., 2020. Construction activity recognition and ergonomic risk assessment using a wearable insole pressure system. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 146(7), p.04020077.
- ANWER, S., LI, H., ANTWI-AFARI, M. F., UMER, W. & WONG, A. Y. 2020. Cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory parameters are good surrogates for measuring physical fatigue during a simulated construction task. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17, 5418.
- ANWER, S., LI, H., ANTWI-AFARI, M. F., UMER, W. & WONG, A. Y. L. 2021a. Evaluation of Physiological Metrics as Real-Time Measurement of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers: State-of-the-Art Review. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 147, 03121001.
- Anwer, S., Li, H., Antwi-Afari, M.F., Umer, W., Mehmood, I., Al-Hussein, M. and Wong, A.Y.L., 2021b. Test-retest reliability, validity, and responsiveness of a textile-based wearable sensor for real-time assessment of physical fatigue in construction bar-benders. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 44, p.103348.
- APPELHANS, B. M. & LUECKEN, L. J. 2006. Heart rate variability as an index of regulated emotional responding. *Review of general psychology*, 10, 229-240.
- ARYAL, A., GHAHRAMANI, A. & BECERIK-GERBER, B. 2017. Monitoring fatigue in construction workers using physiological measurements. *Automation in Construction*, 82, 154-165.
- Balzarotti, S., Biassoni, F., Colombo, B. and Ciceri, M.R., 2017. Cardiac vagal control as a marker of emotion regulation in healthy adults: A review. *Biological psychology*, 130, pp.54-66.
- Becker, P.E., Fullen, M.D. and Takacs, B., 2003. *Safety hazards to workers in modular home construction*. Silver Spring. The Center to Protect Workers' Rights.
- Bhardwaj, R. and Balasubramanian, V., 2019. Viability of cardiac parameters measured unobtrusively using capacitive coupled electrocardiography (cECG) to estimate driver performance. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 19(11), pp.4321-4330.
- BILLMAN, G. E. 2011. Heart rate variability—a historical perspective. *Frontiers in physiology*, 2, 86.

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

- 639 BLS, U. 2013. Fatal occupational injuries by event or exposure for all fatal injuries and major private
640 industry sector, All US, 2011 (Table A-9). 4/25/2013.
- 641 BOKSEM, M. A. & TOPS, M. 2008. Mental fatigue: costs and benefits. *Brain research reviews*, 59, 125-
642 139.
- 643 BOKSEM, M. A., MEIJMAN, T. F. & LORIST, M. M. 2005. Effects of mental fatigue on attention: an
644 ERP study. *Cognitive brain research*, 25, 107-116.
- 645 BORG, G. 1982. Ratings of perceived exertion and heart rates during short-term cycle exercise and their
646 use in a new cycling strength test. *International journal of sports medicine*, 3, 153-158.
- 647 BRENNAN, M., PALANISWAMI, M. & KAMEN, P. 2001. Do existing measures of Poincare plot
648 geometry reflect nonlinear features of heart rate variability? *IEEE transactions on biomedical
649 engineering*, 48, 1342-1347.
- 650 BURNS, K. N., SUN, K., FOBIL, J. N. & NEITZEL, R. L. 2016. Heart rate, stress, and occupational
651 noise exposure among electronic waste recycling workers. *International journal of environmental
652 research and public health*, 13, 140.
- 653 Chan, P. C. 2012. From heat tolerance time to optimal recovery time-a heat stress model for construction
654 workers in Hong Kong. In *FCE Lecture Series*.
- 655 CHANDRASHEKAR, G. & SAHIN, F. 2014. A survey on feature selection methods. *Computers &
656 Electrical Engineering*, 40, 16-28.
- 657 Chen, S., Xu, K., Zheng, X., Li, J., Fan, B., Yao, X. and Li, Z., 2020. Linear and nonlinear analyses of
658 normal and fatigue heart rate variability signals for miners in high-altitude and cold areas. *Computer
659 Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, 196, p.105667.
- 660 Cinaz, B., Arnrich, B., La Marca, R. and Tröster, G., 2013. Monitoring of mental workload levels during
661 an everyday life office-work scenario. *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, 17(2), pp.229-239.
- 662 COLLINS, S. M., KARASEK, R. A. & COSTAS, K. 2005. Job strain and autonomic indices of
663 cardiovascular disease risk. *American journal of industrial medicine*, 48, 182-193.
- 664 DARBANDY, M. T., ROSTAMNEZHAD, M., HUSSAIN, S., KHOSRAVI, A., NAHAVANDI, S. &
665 SANI, Z. A. 2020. A new approach to detect the physical fatigue utilizing heart rate signals. *Research
666 in Cardiovascular Medicine*, 9, 23.
- 667 DE VITO, G., GALLOWAY, S., NIMMO, M. A., MAAS, P. & MCMURRAY, J. J. 2002. Effects of central
668 sympathetic inhibition on heart rate variability during steady-state exercise in healthy humans. *Clinical
669 physiology and functional imaging*, 22, 32-38.
- 670 DE WAARD, D. & BROOKHUIS, K. A. 1991. Assessing driver status: a demonstration experiment on
671 the road. *Accident analysis & prevention*, 23, 297-307.
- 672 DELLIAUX, S., DELAFORGE, A., DEHARO, J.-C. & CHAUMET, G. 2019. Mental workload alters
673 heart rate variability, lowering non-linear dynamics. *Frontiers in physiology*, 10, 565.
- 674 DEMŠAR, J., CURK, T., ERJAVEC, A., GORUP, Č., HOČEVAR, T., MILUTINOVIČ, M., MOŽINA,
675 M., POLAJNAR, M., TOPLAK, M. & STARIČ, A. 2013. Orange: data mining toolbox in Python. *the
676 Journal of machine Learning research*, 14, 2349-2353.

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

677 EDWARDS, R. H. Human muscle function and fatigue. Ciba Found Symp, 1981. Wiley Online Library,
678 1-18.

679 ELECTROPHYSIOLOGY, T. F. O. T. E. S. O. C. T. N. A. S. O. P. 1996. Heart rate variability: standards
680 of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. *Circulation*, 93, 1043-1065.

681 ELHAJ, F. A., SALIM, N., HARRIS, A. R., SWEE, T. T. & AHMED, T. 2016. Arrhythmia recognition
682 and classification using combined linear and nonlinear features of ECG signals. *Computer methods
683 and programs in biomedicine*, 127, 52-63.

684 Esbensen, K.H. and Geladi, P., 2010. Principles of proper validation: use and abuse of re-sampling for
685 validation. *Journal of Chemometrics*, 24(3-4), pp.168-187.

686 ESCORIHUELA, R. M., CAPDEVILA, L., CASTRO, J. R., ZARAGOZÀ, M. C., MAUREL, S.,
687 ALEGRE, J. & CASTRO-MARRERO, J. 2020. Reduced heart rate variability predicts fatigue severity
688 in individuals with chronic fatigue syndrome/myalgic encephalomyelitis. *Journal of translational
689 medicine*, 18, 1-12.

690 FRONE, M. R. & TIDWELL, M.-C. O. 2015. The meaning and measurement of work fatigue:
691 Development and evaluation of the Three-Dimensional Work Fatigue Inventory (3D-WFI). *Journal of
692 occupational health psychology*, 20, 273.

693 GAMELIN, F.-X., BERTHOIN, S. & BOSQUET, L. 2006. Validity of the polar S810 heart rate monitor
694 to measure RR intervals at rest. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 38, 887-93.

695 Gawron, V.J., French, J. and Funke, D., 2001. Stress, workload, and fatigue. An overview of fatigue,
696 pp.581-595.

697 Galloway SD, Maughan RJ. Effects of ambient temperature on the capacity to perform prolonged cycle
698 exercise in man. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 1997 Sep 1;29(9):1240-9.

699 GERGELYFI, M., JACOB, B., OLIVIER, E. & ZÉNON, A. 2015. Dissociation between mental fatigue
700 and motivational state during prolonged mental activity. *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience*, 9, 176.

701 Goldberger, A.L., 1992. Fractal mechanisms in the electrophysiology of the heart. *IEEE Engineering in
702 Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 11(2), pp.47-52.

703 Goldberger, A.L., 2002. Chronic fatigue syndrome and hidden happenings of the heartbeat. *Clinical
704 Autonomic Research*, 12(4), pp.228-230.

705 GONZALEZ, K., SASANGO HAR, F., MEHTA, R. K., LAWLEY, M. & ERRAGUNTLA, M., 2017
706 Measuring fatigue through Heart Rate Variability and activity recognition: A scoping literature review
707 of machine learning techniques. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual
708 Meeting*. SAGE Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA, 1748-1752.

709 HALLOWELL, M. R. 2010. Worker fatigue. *Professional safety*, 55, 18.

710 Hao, T., Zheng, X., Wang, H., Xu, K. and Chen, S., 2022. Linear and nonlinear analyses of heart rate
711 variability signals under mental load. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 77, p.103758.

712 HEATHERS, J. A. 2014. Everything Hertz: methodological issues in short-term frequency-domain HRV.
713 *Frontiers in physiology*, 5, 177.

714 HINDE, K., WHITE, G. & ARMSTRONG, N. 2021. Wearable Devices Suitable for Monitoring Twenty-

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

- 715 Four Hour Heart Rate Variability in Military Populations. *Sensors*, 21, 1061.
- 716 Hořínková, D., 2021, November. Advantages and Disadvantages of Modular Construction, including
717 Environmental Impacts. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 1203, No.
718 3, p. 032002). IOP Publishing.
- 719 HOSHI, R. A., PASTRE, C. M., VANDERLEI, L. C. M. & GODOY, M. F. 2013. Poincaré plot indexes
720 of heart rate variability: relationships with other nonlinear variables. *Autonomic Neuroscience*, 177,
721 271-274.
- 722 HUIKURI, H. V., RAATIKAINEN, M. P., MOERCH-JOERGENSEN, R., HARTIKAINEN, J.,
723 VIRTANEN, V., BOLAND, J., ANTONEN, O., HOEST, N., BOERSMA, L. V. & PLATOU, E. S.
724 2009. Prediction of fatal or near-fatal cardiac arrhythmia events in patients with depressed left
725 ventricular function after an acute myocardial infarction. *European heart journal*, 30, 689-698.
- 726 HWANG, S., SEO, J., JEBELLI, H. & LEE, S. 2016. Feasibility analysis of heart rate monitoring of
727 construction workers using a photoplethysmography (PPG) sensor embedded in a wristband-type
728 activity tracker. *Automation in construction*, 71, 372-381.
- 729 Hu, J. and Min, J., 2018. Automated detection of driver fatigue based on EEG signals using gradient
730 boosting decision tree model. *Cognitive neurodynamics*, 12(4), pp.431-440.
- 731 ISHAQUE, S., KHAN, N. & KRISHNAN, S. 2021. Trends in Heart-Rate Variability Signal Analysis.
732 *Frontiers in Digital Health*, 3, 13.
- 733 İşler, Y. and Kuntalp, M., 2007. Combining classical HRV indices with wavelet entropy measures
734 improves to performance in diagnosing congestive heart failure. *Computers in biology and medicine*,
735 37(10), pp.1502-1510.
- 736 KAMATH, M. V. & FALLEN, E. L. 1993. Power spectral analysis of heart rate variability: a noninvasive
737 signature of cardiac autonomic function. *Critical reviews in biomedical engineering*, 21, 245-311.
- 738 Karrakchou, M., Vibe-Rheymer, K., Vesin, J.M., Pruvot, E. and Kunt, M., 1996. Improving cardiovascular
739 monitoring through modern techniques. *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Magazine*, 15(5),
740 pp.68-78.
- 741 KARAVIRTA, L., TULPPO, M. P., LAAKSONEN, D. E., NYMAN, K., LAUKKANEN, R. T.,
742 KINNUNEN, H., HÄKKINEN, A. & HÄKKINEN, K. 2009. Heart rate dynamics after combined
743 endurance and strength training in older men. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*, 41, 1436-
744 1443.
- 745 Karvekar, S., Abdollahi, M. and Rashedi, E., 2021. Smartphone-based human fatigue level detection using
746 machine learning approaches. *Ergonomics*, 64(5), pp.600-612.
- 747 KINGSLEY, M., LEWIS, M. J. & MARSON, R. 2005. Comparison of polar 810 s and an ambulatory
748 ECG system for RR interval measurement during progressive exercise. *International journal of sports
749 medicine*, 26, 39-44.
- 750 Kołodziej, S. and Ligarski, M.J., 2017. The influence of physical fatigue on work on a production line.
751 *Acta Technologica Agriculturae*, 20(3), pp.63-68.
- 752 Kumar, M., Weippert, M., Vilbrandt, R., Kreuzfeld, S. and Stoll, R., 2007. Fuzzy evaluation of heart rate

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

- 753 signals for mental stress assessment. *IEEE Transactions on fuzzy systems*, 15(5), pp.791-808.
- 754 KUKASVADIYA, M. S. & DIVECHA, N. 2017. Analysis of data using data mining tool orange.
- 755 *International Journal of Engineering Development and Research*, 5, 1836-1840.
- 756 LAI, C.-F., CHANG, S.-Y., CHAO, H.-C. & HUANG, Y.-M. 2010. Detection of cognitive injured body
- 757 region using multiple triaxial accelerometers for elderly falling. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 11, 763-770.
- 758 LERMAN, S. E., ESKIN, E., FLOWER, D. J., GEORGE, E. C., GERSON, B., HARTENBAUM, N.,
- 759 HURSH, S. R. & MOORE-EDE, M. 2012. Fatigue risk management in the workplace. *Journal of*
- 760 *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 54, 231-258.
- 761 MAMAN, Z. S., YAZDI, M. A. A., CAVUOTO, L. A. & MEGAHED, F. M. 2017. A data-driven approach
- 762 to modeling physical fatigue in the workplace using wearable sensors. *Applied ergonomics*, 65, 515-
- 763 529.
- 764 MARDONOVA, M. & CHOI, Y. 2018. Review of wearable device technology and its applications to the
- 765 mining industry. *Energies*, 11, 547.
- 766 Maughan, R.J., Otani, H. and Watson, P., 2012. Influence of relative humidity on prolonged exercise
- 767 capacity in a warm environment. *European journal of applied physiology*, 112(6), pp.2313-2321.
- 768 MEEUSEN, R., DUCLOS, M., FOSTER, C., FRY, A., GLEESON, M., NIEMAN, D., RAGLIN, J.,
- 769 RIETJENS, G., STEINACKER, J. & URHAUSEN, A. 2013. Prevention, diagnosis and treatment of
- 770 the overtraining syndrome: Joint consensus statement of the European College of Sport Science (ECSS)
- 771 and the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). *European Journal of Sport Science*, 13, 1-24.
- 772 MELILLO, P., FUSCO, R., SANSONE, M., BRACALE, M. & PECCHIA, L. 2011. Discrimination power
- 773 of long-term heart rate variability measures for chronic heart failure detection. *Medical & biological*
- 774 *engineering & computing*, 49, 67-74.
- 775 MOUROT, L., BOUHADDI, M., PERREY, S., CAPPELLE, S., HENRIET, M. T., WOLF, J. P.,
- 776 ROUILLON, J. D. & REGNARD, J. 2004. Decrease in heart rate variability with overtraining:
- 777 assessment by the Poincare plot analysis. *Clinical physiology and functional imaging*, 24, 10-18.
- 778 MUKHERJEE, S., YADAV, R., YUNG, I., ZAJDEL, D. P. & OKEN, B. S. 2011. Sensitivity to mental
- 779 effort and test-retest reliability of heart rate variability measures in healthy seniors. *Clinical*
- 780 *Neurophysiology*, 122, 2059-2066.
- 781 Mulder, G. and Mulder—Hajonides van der Meulen, W.R.E.H., 1973. Mental load and the measurement
- 782 of heart rate variability. *Ergonomics*, 16(1), pp.69-83.
- 783 MULDER, L. J. 1992. Measurement and analysis methods of heart rate and respiration for use in applied
- 784 environments. *Biological psychology*, 34, 205-236.
- 785 NG, S. T. & TANG, Z. 2010. Labour-intensive construction sub-contractors: Their critical success factors.
- 786 *International Journal of Project Management*, 28, 732-740.
- 787 PARITALA, S. A. 2009. Effects of physical and mental tasks on heart rate variability.
- 788 PENG, C. K., HAVLIN, S., STANLEY, H. E. & GOLDBERGER, A. L. 1995. Quantification of scaling
- 789 exponents and crossover phenomena in nonstationary heartbeat time series. *Chaos: an interdisciplinary*
- 790 *journal of nonlinear science*, 5, 82-87.

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

- 791 PENZEL, T., KANTELHARDT, J. W., GROTE, L., PETER, J.-H. & BUNDE, A. 2003. Comparison of
792 detrended fluctuation analysis and spectral analysis for heart rate variability in sleep and sleep apnea.
793 *IEEE Transactions on biomedical engineering*, 50, 1143-1151.
- 794 PERINI, R. & VEICSTEINAS, A. 2003. Heart rate variability and autonomic activity at rest and during
795 exercise in various physiological conditions. *European journal of applied physiology*, 90, 317-325.
- 796 PREECE, S. J., GOULERMAS, J. Y., KENNEY, L. P., HOWARD, D., MEIJER, K. & CROMPTON, R.
797 2009. Activity identification using body-mounted sensors—a review of classification techniques.
798 *Physiological measurement*, 30, R1.
- 799 QUINTANA, D. S. & HEATHERS, J. A. 2014. Considerations in the assessment of heart rate variability
800 in biobehavioral research. *Frontiers in psychology*, 5, 805.
- 801 RAWENWAAIJ-ARTS, C., KALLEE, L. & HOPMAN, J. 1993. Task Force of the European Society of
802 Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability.
803 Standards of measurement, physiologic interpretation, and clinical use. *Circulation* 1996; 93: 1043-
804 1065. *Intern. Med*, 118, 436-447.
- 805 RICCI, J. A., CHEE, E., LORANDEAU, A. L. & BERGER, J. 2007. Fatigue in the US workforce:
806 prevalence and implications for lost productive work time. *Journal of occupational and environmental*
807 *medicine*, 49, 1-10.
- 808 Richter, P., Wagner, T., Heger, R. and Weise, G., 1998. Psychophysiological analysis of mental load during
809 driving on rural roads—a quasi-experimental field study. *Ergonomics*, 41(5), pp.593-609.
- 810 RICHMAN, J. S. & MOORMAN, J. R. 2000. Physiological time-series analysis using approximate
811 entropy and sample entropy. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*.
- 812 ROSA, R. R. 2017. Long work hours, fatigue, safety, and health. *The Handbook of Operator Fatigue*. CRC
813 Press.
- 814 SCHMALFUß, F., MACH, S., KLÜBER, K., HABELT, B., BEGGIATO, M., KÖRNER, A. & KREMS,
815 J. F. 2018. Potential of wearable devices for mental workload detection in different physiological
816 activity conditions. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Europe*, 179-191.
- 817 SCHMITT, L., REGNARD, J. & MILLET, G. P. 2015. Monitoring fatigue status with HRV measures in
818 elite athletes: an avenue beyond RMSSD? *Frontiers in physiology*, 6, 343.
- 819 SESHADRI, D. R., LI, R. T., VOOS, J. E., ROWBOTTOM, J. R., ALFES, C. M., ZORMAN, C. A. &
820 DRUMMOND, C. K. 2019. Wearable sensors for monitoring the physiological and biochemical profile
821 of the athlete. *NPJ digital medicine*, 2, 1-16.
- 822 SESSA, F., ANNA, V., MESSINA, G., CIBELLI, G., MONDA, V., MARSALA, G., RUBERTO, M.,
823 BIONDI, A., CASCIO, O. & BERTOZZI, G. 2018. Heart rate variability as predictive factor for sudden
824 cardiac death. *Aging (Albany NY)*, 10, 166.
- 825 SHAFFER, F. & GINSBERG, J. 2017. An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. *Frontiers*
826 *in public health*, 5, 258.
- 827 SHAFFER, F., MCCRATY, R. & ZERR, C. L. 2014. A healthy heart is not a metronome: an integrative
828 review of the heart's anatomy and heart rate variability. *Frontiers in psychology*, 5, 1040.

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

829 SHORTZ, A. E., MEHTA, R. K., PERES, S. C., BENDEN, M. E. & ZHENG, Q. 2019. Development of
830 the fatigue risk assessment and management in high-risk environments (FRAME) survey: A
831 participatory approach. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16, 522.

832 SLUITER, J. K. 2006. High-demand jobs: age-related diversity in work ability? *Applied ergonomics*, 37,
833 429-440.

834 TARVAINEN, M. P., NISKANEN, J.-P., LIPPONEN, J. A., RANTA-AHO, P. O. & KARJALAINEN, P.
835 A. 2014. Kubios HRV–heart rate variability analysis software. *Computer methods and programs in*
836 *biomedicine*, 113, 210-220.

837 TRUTSCHEL, U., HEINZE, C., SIROIS, B., GOLZ, M., SOMMER, D. & EDWARDS, D. Heart rate
838 measures reflect the interaction of low mental workload and fatigue during driving simulation.
839 *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Automotive User Interfaces and Interactive*
840 *Vehicular Applications*, 2012. 261-264.

841 Umer, W., 2022. Simultaneous monitoring of physical and mental stress for construction tasks using
842 physiological measures. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 46, p.103777.

843 Umer, W., Yu, Y. and Antwi Afari, M.F., 2022. Quantifying the effect of mental stress on physical stress
844 for construction tasks. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, 148(3).

845 UMER, W., ANTWI-AFARI, M. F., LI, H., SZETO, G. P. & WONG, A. Y. 2018. The prevalence of
846 musculoskeletal symptoms in the construction industry: a systematic review and meta-analysis.
847 *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, 91, 125-144.

848 UMER, W., LI, H., SZETO, G. P. Y. & WONG, A. Y. L. 2017. Identification of biomechanical risk factors
849 for the development of lower-back disorders during manual rebar tying. *Journal of Construction*
850 *Engineering and Management*, 143, 04016080.

851 UMER, W., LI, H., YANTAO, Y., ANTWI-AFARI, M. F., ANWER, S. & LUO, X. 2020. Physical exertion
852 modeling for construction tasks using combined cardiorespiratory and thermoregulatory measures.
853 *Automation in Construction*, 112, 103079.

854 Veltman, J.A., 2002. A comparative study of psychophysiological reactions during simulator and real
855 flight. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 12(1), pp.33-48.

856 Virgile, A. Heart Rate Variability (HRV) in Sport: A Review of the Research.
857 <https://adamvirgile.com/2018/06/03/heart-rate-variability-hrv-in-sport-a-review-of-the-research/>.

858 [Accessed on 7th April 7, 2023].

859 VUKSANOVIĆ, V. & GAL, V. 2007. Heart rate variability in mental stress aloud. *Medical engineering*
860 *& physics*, 29, 344-349.

861 Wong, D.P.L., Chung, J.W.Y., Chan, A.P.C., Wong, F.K.W. and Yi, W., 2014. Comparing the physiological
862 and perceptual responses of construction workers (bar benders and bar fixers) in a hot environment.
863 *Applied ergonomics*, 45(6), pp.1705-1711.

864 Xu, Q., Nwe, T.L. and Guan, C., 2015. Cluster-based analysis for personalized stress evaluation using
865 physiological signals. *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, 19(1), pp.275-281.

866 YU, Y., UMER, W., YANG, X. & ANTWI-AFARI, M. F. 2021. Posture-related data collection methods


Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

867 for construction workers: A review. *Automation in Construction*, 124, 103538.


868 ZHANG, L., DIRANEYYA, M. M., RYU, J., HAAS, C. T. & ABDEL-RAHMAN, E. M. 2019. Jerk as
869 an indicator of physical exertion and fatigue. *Automation in construction*, 104, 120-128.

870 Zhu, J., Ji, L. and Liu, C., 2019. Heart rate variability monitoring for emotion and disorders of emotion.
871 *Physiological measurement*, 40(6), p.064004.

872



873
874 Figure 1. Influence of autonomic nervous system on heart rate variability (HRV) during exercise and
875 rest (Reproduced with permission (Virgile, 2023))



876
877 Figure 2. HRV analysis from electrocardiogram (ECG) signals




Figure 3. Methodological framework (Part of this figure is reproduced with permission (Anwer et al., 2021b))



Figure 4. EQ02 Life monitor system



Figure 5. Bar bending and fixing tasks

		No Fatigue	Mild Fatigue	Moderate Fatigue	Severe Fatigue		
Actual	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 1	
	Mild Fatigue	0.7%	93.9%	5.4%	0.0%		
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	4.5%	89.6%	6.0%		
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%	91.2%		
	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 2	
	Mild Fatigue	0.0%	76.2%	23.1%	0.7%		
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	27.3%	57.0%	15.7%		
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	1.0%	13.4%	85.6%		
	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 3	
	Mild Fatigue	0.0%	85.1%	14.9%	0.0%		
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	28.9%	59.5%	11.6%		
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	3.9%	7.8%	88.3%		
		Predicted					

Figure 6. Comparisons of classification accuracy based on the individualized features datasets using the RF classifier. Note: Model 1 (Time-domain features); Model 2 (Frequency-domain features); Model 3 (Nonlinear features)

		No Fatigue	Mild Fatigue	Moderate Fatigue	Severe Fatigue	
Actual	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 4
	Mild Fatigue	0.7%	94.8%	4.5%	0.0%	
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	2.4%	92.1%	5.5%	
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	0.0%	7.4%	92.6%	
	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 5
	Mild Fatigue	0.7%	95.9%	3.4%	0.0%	
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	3.9%	93.9%	2.2%	
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	0.0%	6.5%	93.5%	
	No Fatigue	100%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Model 6
	Mild Fatigue	0.0%	79.0%	20.3%	0.7%	
	Moderate Fatigue	0.0%	26.1%	60.5%	13.4%	
	Severe Fatigue	0.0%	2.0%	13.1%	84.9%	
		Predicted				

Figure 7. Comparisons of classification accuracy based on the combined features datasets using the RF classifier. Note: Model 4 (Time-and frequency-domain features); Model 5 (time-domain and nonlinear features); Model 6 (frequency-domain and nonlinear features)

Table 1. Descriptive statistics

Variables	Mean	SD
Age (years)	33.2	6.9
Weight (kg)	72.7	12.1
Height (m)	1.7	0.1
Sleep (h)	7.4	0.7
Borg-20 (6 – 20)		
Baseline	6.1	0.4
15-minute	9.3	1.4
30-minute	11.9	1.6
45-minute	14.4	1.4
60-minute	17.8	1.3

908

909 **Table 2.** Effects of physical fatigue on heart rate variability parameters during the construction task

Variables	Baseline	15 min	30 min	45 min	60 min	ANOVA		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	F	*p	Partial Eta Squared
Time domain								
RRI (ms)	893.15 (80.73)	660.58 (124.86)†	586.14 (58.05)†	572.76 (60.61)†	596.20 (67.97)†	66.02	0.001*	0.86
SDNN (ms)	43.90 (14.66)	30.33 (16.12)	27.72 (16.97)	26.91 (15.53)†	26.95 (16.58)†	9.33	0.002*	0.46
HR (beats/min)	66.70 (10.36)	93.62 (16.34)†	103.33 (10.68)†	105.92 (12.06)†	101.85 (11.67)†	48.43	0.001*	0.82
SD HR (beats/min)	3.80 (1.99)	4.04 (1.65)	4.61 (2.34)	4.72 (2.21)	4.57 (2.51)	1.09	0.351	0.09
Minimum HR (beats/min)	61.14 (7.91)	77.42 (15.14)†	85.52 (14.51)†	85.51 (12.74)†	84.17 (13.95)†	18.65	0.001*	0.629
Maximum HR (beats/min)	83.10 (9.62)	113.73 (13.81)†	121.93 (8.73)†	124.88 (15.49)†	121.84 (15.01)†	35.21	0.001*	0.762
RMSSD (ms)	54.18 (15.16)	24.01 (15.92)†	18.67 (12.89)†	16.47 (10.34)†	17.48 (9.62)†	62.34	0.001*	0.850
NN50 (count)	40.50 (16.99)	7.50 (5.87)†	6.25 (6.70)†	6.08 (7.06)†	3.75 (3.74)†	115.51	0.001*	0.913
pNN50 (%)	44.22 (11.36)	7.83 (12.44)†	3.25 (4.75)†	2.91 (4.45)†	2.59 (3.61)†	146.39	0.001*	0.930
RRI triangular index	12.53 (3.72)	7.43 (3.45)†	6.17 (2.93)†	5.96 (2.55)†	6.13 (2.82)†	34.76	0.001*	0.760
TINN (ms)	214.58 (90.64)	174.83 (78.09)	155.08 (90.51)	158.00 (81.94)	155.17 (86.70)	3.772	0.010*	0.255
Frequency domain								
VLF (Hz)	0.04 (0.01)	0.03 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	0.04 (0.01)	1.809	0.192	0.141
LF (Hz)	0.05 (0.02)	0.06 (0.02)	0.06 (0.01)	0.06 (0.01)	0.07 (0.02)	2.729	0.041*	0.199
HF (Hz)	0.38 (0.04)	0.21 (0.08)†	0.17 (0.03)†	0.16 (0.01)†	0.21 (0.06)†	36.739	0.001*	0.770
VLFpw (ms ²)	74.60 (65.02)	147.34 (153.10)	133.43 (222.44)	123.09 (106.32)	83.14 (91.29)	1.224	0.314	0.100

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

LFpw (ms ²)	490.62 (221.05)	615.41 (817.97)	726.71 (945.19)	689.27 (983.91)	639.50 (1020.29)	0.340	0.850	0.030
HFpw (ms ²)	778.79 (390.07)	217.61 (298.38)†	184.39 (357.36)†	122.39 (169.82)†	117.08 (146.39)†	33.129	0.001*	0.751
Total power (ms ²)	1350.56 (228.57)	980.50 (1099.67)	1044.70 (1420.24)	935.04 (1198.39)	839.94 (1236.51)	0.985	0.394	0.082
VLF (log)	4.31 (1.01)	4.41 (1.21)	4.18 (1.23)	4.41 (1.01)	3.83 (1.19)	1.044	0.395	0.087
LF (log)	6.21 (2.03)	5.82 (1.16)	5.81 (1.36)	5.82 (1.26)	5.49 (1.48)	1.307	0.282	0.106
HF (log)	6.66 (1.02)	4.42 (1.59)†	3.97 (1.57)†	3.86 (1.53)†	3.95 (1.47)†	24.344	0.001*	0.689
VLFperc (%)	5.52 (3.11)	17.23 (10.73)†	16.79 (11.48)	19.49 (10.49)†	14.43 (7.23)†	6.727	0.001*	0.379
LFperc (%)	36.33 (6.21)	63.69 (14.73)†	63.28 (7.55)†	68.90 (12.25)†	68.12 (9.02)†	27.007	0.001*	0.711
HFperc (%)	57.67 (10.31)	19.05 (13.19)†	14.14 (7.93)†	11.58 (7.93)†	17.39 (10.52)†	81.822	0.001*	0.881
LFnu (n.u.)	38.45 (8.05)	77.25 (15.33)†	84.14 (7.93)†	85.59 (9.69)†	80.02 (11.24)†	64.321	0.001*	0.854
HFnu (n.u.)	61.04 (9.04)	22.72 (15.33)†	15.84 (7.93)†	14.37 (9.67)†	19.91 (11.18)†	63.172	0.001*	0.852
LF/HF ratio	0.63 (0.05)	5.34 (3.61)†	9.28 (12.58)	8.91 (6.26)†	5.99 (4.44)†	3.941	0.046*	0.264
Nonlinear dynamics								
SD ₁ (ms)	38.60 (0.01)	17.01 (11.28)†	13.22 (9.12)†	11.66 (7.32)†	12.38 (6.81)†	65.080	0.001*	0.855
SD ₂ (ms)	49.11 (0.01)	39.11 (20.40)	36.79 (22.46)	36.08 (20.91)	35.91 (22.67)	3.079	0.073	0.219
SD ₂ /SD ₁ ratio	1.27 (0.01)	2.64 (0.82)†	3.03 (0.97)†	3.28 (0.92)†	2.99 (0.77)†	19.385	0.001*	0.638
ApEn	1.19 (0.01)	1.14 (0.06)	1.12 (0.16)	1.09 (0.16)	1.11 (0.16)	1.766	0.153	0.138
SampEn	1.84 (0.01)	1.40 (0.29)†	1.23 (0.31)†	1.19 (0.27)†	1.27 (0.33)†	15.550	0.001*	0.586
alpha 1	0.70 (0.01)	1.34 (0.26)†	1.36 (0.17)†	1.46 (0.18)†	1.41 (0.24)†	40.325	0.001*	0.786
alpha 2	0.31 (0.01)	0.68 (0.22)	0.73 (0.21)	0.74 (0.16)	0.69 (0.23)	21.958	0.001*	0.666

910 **Note:** The linear and nonlinear dynamics of the cardiovascular parameters during the construction task are presented as the mean (SD) throughout
911 the experiment, i.e., at the baseline and during the 5 min recording at the first, second, third, and fourth temporal quartiles (i.e., 15, 30, 45, and 60
912 minutes, respectively). RRI: RR Intervals; SDNN: the standard deviation of NN intervals; HR: heart rate; SD HR: standard deviation of HR;
913 RMSSD: root mean square of successive differences; NN50: number of successive RRI that differ more than 50 ms; pNN50: percentage of

914 successive RRI that differ more than 50 ms; TINN: triangular interpolation of RRI histogram; VLF: very low frequency; LF: low frequency; HF:
 915 high frequency; pw: power; perc: percentage; nu: normalized units; SD₁: standard deviation of the instantaneous beat-to-beat inter-beat interval
 916 variability (semi-minor axis length of Poincaré plot ellipse fitting); SD₂: standard deviation of the long term beat-to-beat inter-beat interval
 917 variability (semi-major axis length of Poincaré plot ellipse fitting); alpha 1: short-range scaling exponent; alpha 2: long-range scaling exponent;
 918 ApEn: approximate entropy; SamEn: sample entropy; †: significant difference from baseline (adjustment for multiple comparisons, Bonferroni);
 919 *Statistically significant at p<0.05.

920

921

922 **Table 3.** Comparison of linear and nonlinear heart rate variability parameters used to classify physical fatigue using supervised machine learning

923 classifiers

Models	Classifiers	Performance indicators (%)				
		AUC	CA	F1	Precision	Recall
1. Time-domain features*	KNN	96.5	87.8	87.8	87.9	87.8
	DT	90.0	87.6	87.4	87.5	87.6
	SVM	98.0	88.9	88.9	89.3	88.9
	RF	97.8	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0
	ANN	98.0	89.9	89.9	89.9	89.9
2. Frequency-domain features**	KNN	82.0	63.0	62.7	62.9	63.0
	DT	78.7	66.8	67.0	67.5	66.8
	SVM	86.6	68.1	68.3	68.8	68.1
	RF	88.4	74.0	73.9	73.8	74.0
	ANN	87.2	69.7	69.5	69.5	69.7

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

3. Nonlinear dynamics features***	KNN	82.6	65.6	65.5	65.6	65.6
	DT	82.4	72.1	71.8	72.0	72.1
	SVM	88.7	72.4	72.6	73.3	72.4
	RF	90.6	77.3	77.0	77.0	77.3
	ANN	88.9	75.5	75.3	75.4	75.5
4. Time- and frequency-domain features	KNN	95.7	84.8	84.8	85.0	84.8
	DT	92.2	90.0	89.9	89.9	90.0
	SVM	97.9	89.7	89.8	89.9	89.7
	RF	98.8	92.6	92.6	92.7	92.6
	ANN	98.8	92.0	92.0	92.0	92.0
5. Time-domain and Nonlinear dynamics features	KNN	95.8	83.8	83.9	84.4	83.8
	DT	93.9	91.0	91.0	91.0	91.0
	SVM	98.0	89.2	89.3	90.0	89.2
	RF	98.4	93.5	93.5	93.6	93.5
	ANN	97.9	88.7	88.7	88.9	88.7
6. Frequency-domain and Nonlinear dynamics features	KNN	83.5	63.9	63.9	64.2	63.9
	DT	79.3	70.6	70.2	70.1	70.6
	SVM	89.9	72.4	72.6	72.8	72.4
	RF	90.8	75.8	75.7	75.6	75.8
	ANN	90.1	74.2	74.2	74.2	74.2
7. All features	KNN	93.2	81.1	81.3	82.1	81.1
	DT	94.8	93.6	93.6	93.7	93.6
	SVM	97.8	88.5	88.6	88.7	88.5

Anwer, S., Li, H., Umer, W., Antwi-Afari, M. F., Mehmood, I., Yu, Y., Haas, C., & Wong, A. Y. L. Identification and Classification of Physical Fatigue in Construction Workers Using Linear and Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability Measurements. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. Accepted Version.

	RF	98.2	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9
	ANN	98.2	90.1	90.3	90.3	90.1

924 **Note:** *(RRI: RR Intervals; SDNN: the standard deviation of NN intervals; HR: heart rate; RMSSD: root mean square of successive differences;
 925 NN50: number of successive RRI that differ more than 50 ms; pNN50: percentage of successive RRI that differ more than 50 ms; TINN: triangular
 926 interpolation of RRI histogram); ***(LF: low frequency; HF: high frequency; pw: power; perc: percentage; nu: normalized units); ***(SD₁: standard
 927 deviation of the instantaneous beat-to-beat inter-beat interval variability (semi-minor axis length of Poincaré plot ellipse fitting); SD₂: standard
 928 deviation of the long term beat-to-beat inter-beat interval variability (semi-major axis length of Poincaré plot ellipse fitting); alpha 1: short-range
 929 scaling exponent; alpha 2: long-range scaling exponent; SamEn: sample entropy); AUC (Area under curve); CA (Classification accuracy); F score
 930 (weighted average of Precision and Recall); KNN (K-nearest neighbor); DT (Decision tree); SVM (Support vector machine); RF (Random forest);
 931 ANN (Artificial neural networks).

932

933

934

935