Impact of extended Elexacaftor/Tezacaftor/Ivacaftor therapy on the gut microbiome in cystic fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Background: There is a paucity of knowledge on the longer-term effects of CF transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) modulator therapies upon the gut microbiome and associated outcomes. In a pilot study, we investigated longitudinal Elexacaftor/Tezacaftor/Ivacaftor (ETI) therapy on the gut microbiota, metabolomic functioning, and clinical outcomes in people with CF (pwCF).

Study design: Faecal samples from 20 pwCF were acquired before and then following 3, 6, and 17 + months of ETI therapy. Samples were subjected to microbiota sequencing and targeted metabolomics to profile and quantify short-chain fatty acid composition. Ten healthy matched controls were included for comparison. Clinical data, including markers of intestinal function were integrated to investigate relationships.

Results: Extended ETI therapy increased core microbiota diversity and composition, which translated to gradual shifts in whole microbiota composition towards that observed in healthy controls. Despite becoming more similar over time, CF microbiota and functional metabolite compositions remained significantly different to healthy controls. Antibiotic treatment for pulmonary infection significantly explained a relatively large degree of variation within the whole microbiota and rarer satellite taxa. Clinical outcomes were not significantly different following ETI.

Conclusions: Whilst differences persisted, a positive trajectory towards the microbiota observed in healthy controls was found. We posit that progression was predominately impeded by pulmonary antibiotics administration. We recommend future studies use integrated omics approaches within a combination of long-term longitudinal patient studies and model experimental systems. This will deepen our understanding of the impacts of CFTR modulator therapy and respiratory antibiotic interventions upon the gut microbiome and gastrointestinal pathophysiology in CF.

1. Introduction

Alongside the classical respiratory complications of cystic fibrosis (CF) are also the gastrointestinal abnormalities of disease. People with CF (pwCF) suffer from persistent intestinal symptoms and abnormalities that impact both morbidity and mortality [1]. This has continued despite the transition of treatment for CF entering the phase of highly effective CFTR modulator therapies, which correct the underlying defect of chloride and bicarbonate transport across epithelial surfaces of the body [2]. Although the majority of pwCF are now receiving CFTR modulator-based therapy [3], the need to better understand the relationships between intestinal symptoms and abnormalities persists, as
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determined by pwCF, carers, and clinicians [4,5]. It is understood that intestinal dysbiosis, that is the disruption of the microbial communities inhabiting the intestinal tract, is frequent in CF and leads to compositions of bacteria distinctly different from healthy controls from birth and throughout adulthood [6–8]. Whilst this could be further compounded by the CF-associated lifestyle, such as dietary habits and frequent antibiotic usage [9,10], disruption of CFTR activity alone is seemingly sufficient to elicit structural changes to the microbiota [11]. Furthermore, the altered gut microbiota in pwCF has previously been associated with various manifestations of the GI tract [12–14]. Given this evidence, it is possible that CFTR modulators may alter the gut microbiota composition to resemble that of healthy individuals more closely, alongside improving other common intestinal abnormalities and symptoms in pwCF.

Previous studies investigating CFTR modulators and microbiota within the gastrointestinal tract have been mostly limited to Ivacaftor [15–18] and a couple of dual-modulator studies [17], including our previous work investigating the impact of Tezacaftor/Ivacaftor [19]. With respect to the microbiota structure, previous findings vary across studies incorporating different modulators, patient demographics, and treatment lengths [15–18]. Results for the frequently observed inflammation in the gut following modulator treatment are also contrasting. Some studies observed a reduction in faecal calprotectin following modulator usage [15,20,21], whilst others [16] and our own group [19], did not measure any notable reduction.

Following the approval of Elexacaftor/Tezacaftor/Ivacaftor (ETI) triple modulator therapy for pwCF from NHS England, over 60 % of all pwCF in the United Kingdom are now registered users [3]. ETI therapy demonstrates increased clinical efficacy as compared to previous dual or mono-therapy approaches [22], yet little information is available on intestinal outcomes and the impact upon the gut microbiota. Given the scarcity of current evidence, the aim of this pilot study was to therefore assess the impact of ETI therapy in pwCF upon the intestinal microbiota. We characterised the respective gut microbiota of pancreatic insufficient pwCF and during ETI therapy of up to 23 months duration. Furthermore, we used microbiota data with targeted metabolomics of quantified faecal short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs), patient clinical data, and markers of intestinal function as measured by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [23]. Patient symptoms were also measured before and after initiating ETI therapy. Samples and clinical data from 10 matched healthy controls were available for analysis. We hypothesised that ETI therapy would increase gut microbiota diversity, reshape community structure, and potentially lead to functional changes to resemble outcomes measured in healthy controls.

2. Methods

2.1. Study participants and design

Study approval was obtained from the UK National Research Ethics Committee (20/PR/0508). Twenty-four pwCF were initially recruited from Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, with 20 participants ultimately providing at least one faecal sample following ETI therapy to form the cohort for our observational study. Participants attended clinic at baseline, 3, and 6 months following the initiation of ETI. A subset of pwCF (n = 7) also provided samples following extended ETI therapy of 19.8 ± 2.0 months (mean ± SD), with a minimum time of 17 months additional treatment. Additional faecal samples and metadata for 10 age and sex-matched healthy controls from our previous study were also available for microbiota and metabolomic comparison [24]. During study visits, participants provided faecal samples and completed magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans following the consumption of a standardised meal plan to detail their gut function as conducted previously [24], alongside specifying gut symptoms. This included the measurement of oro-caecal transit time (OCTT), in which a variety of scanning protocols (echo sequences) were employed to determine when consumed meals were first detectable in the caecum of the participant [23]. Images for small bowel water content (SBWC) were captured using a strongly T2-weighted turbo spin echo sequence. SBWC was analysed using in-house software as previously described [25], with calculations of corrected SBWC attained by dividing area under the curve (AUC) across the total scan time by participant body surface area (using Mosteller’s formula) [26].

Additionally to assess gut symptoms, the validated PAC-SYM questionnaire was completed by participants (further information in the Supplementary Methods & Results) [27]. Participant antibiotic usage was recorded by the clinical team, including any recurrent therapies ongoing at the time of sampling alongside any current additional interventions. The full study design, including protocols, methods, and other results are described in the Supplementary Methods & Results. An overview of participant clinical characteristics is detailed in Table 1, with comprehensive participant information and MRI data detailed in Tables S1 and S2, respectively. Access to the MRI data (unpublished) was kindly granted by the University of Nottingham MRI research team at the Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre. Written informed consent, or parental consent and assent for paediatric participants, was obtained from all participants. All faecal samples obtained were immediately stored at −80 °C prior to processing for microbiota sequencing and metabolomics to reduce changes before downstream community analysis [28].

2.2. Targeted amplicon sequencing

DNA from dead or damaged cells, as well as extracellular DNA was excluded from analysis via cross-linking with propidium monoazide (PMA) prior to DNA extraction, as previously described [29]. Cellular pellets resuspended in PBS were loaded into the ZYMO Quick-DNA Fecal/Soil Microbe Miniprep Kit (Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Dual mechanical-chemical sample disruption was performed using the FastPrep-24 5 G instrument (MP Biomedicals, California, USA). Following DNA extraction, approximately 20 ng of template DNA was then amplified using Q5 high-fidelity DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) using a paired-end sequencing approach targeting the bacterial 16S rRNA gene region (V4-V5) as previously described [24]. Pooled barcoded amplicon libraries were sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform (V3 Chemistry). Extended methodology, primers and PCR conditions can be found in the Supplementary Methods & Results.

2.3. Sequence processing and analysis

Sequence processing and data analysis were then carried out in R (Version 4.2.1), utilising the packages DADA2 [30] and decontam [31]. The full protocol is detailed in the Supplementary Methods & Results. Raw sequence data reported in this study has been deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive under the study accession number PRJEB61286.

Table 1: Overall clinical characteristics of controls and pwCF at baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>pwCF</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Age, Mean ± SD</td>
<td>21.0 ± 8.6</td>
<td>21.4 ± 7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td>5 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline BMI, Mean ± SD</td>
<td>21.1 ± 3.4</td>
<td>22.9 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F508del/F508del, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline FEV1 %, Mean ± SD</td>
<td>79.1 ± 20.5</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatic insufficient, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (100)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cystic fibrosis-related diabetes, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics during study, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4. Gas-chromatography mass-spectrometry (GC–MS) of faecal samples to investigate SCFA levels

GC–MS analysis was carried out using an Agilent 7890B/5977 Single Quadrupole Mass Selective Detector (MSD) (Agilent Technologies) equipped with a non-polar HP-5 ms Ultra Inert capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 µm) (Agilent Technologies). Faecal sample processing to obtain, and then to derivatise SCFAs prior to GC–MS analysis, was carried out as previously described [19]. Following derivatisation, samples were loaded onto the GC–MS and injected using an Agilent 7693 Autosampler. MS grade water processed in parallel was used as a blank sample to correct the background. Selected ion monitoring (SIM) mode was used for subsequent analyses; all confirmation and target ions lists are summarised in Table S3. Agilent MassHunter workstation version B.07.00 programs were used to perform post-run analyses. A 13C-short chain fatty acids stool mixture (Merck Life Science, Poole, UK) was used as the internal standard to normalise all spectra obtained prior to analyses. A volatile fatty acid mixture (Merck Life Science, UK) was used to construct calibration curves for the quantification of target metabolites. Extended information surrounding sample processing, SCFA extraction, derivatisation, and GC–MS parameters can be found in the Supplementary Methods & Results.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Regression analysis, including calculated coefficients of determination ($R^2$), degrees of freedom (df), F-statistic and significance values (P) were utilised for microbial partitioning into common core and rarer satellite groups, and were calculated using XLSTAT v2021.1.1 (Addinsoft, Paris, France). Fisher’s alpha index of diversity and the Bray–Curtis index of similarity were calculated using PAST v3.21 [32]. Tests for significant differences in microbiota diversity and SCFAs were performed using Kruskal–Wallis in XLSTAT. Student’s t-tests used to determine differences in metadata were also performed in XLSTAT. Analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) with Bonferroni correction was used to test for significance in microbiota and SCFA composition and was performed in PAST. Similarity of percentages (SIMPER) analysis, to determine which constituents drove compositional differences between groups, and was performed in PAST. Redundancy analysis (RDA), was performed in CANOCO v5 [33], utilising a forward selection approach. Statistical significance for all tests was deemed at the $P \leq 0.05$ level.

3. Results

3.1. Changes to gut microbiota structure following ETI treatment and comparisons to controls

Following sequence processing and taxa assignment, the microbiota data across each pwCF treatment period from baseline, and the healthy control participants was partitioned for further sub-analysis. Microbiota data were partitioned into common abundant core taxa, and rarer less frequent satellite taxa following the establishment of significant distribution abundance relationships of the taxa across all treatment duration groups and the healthy control participants (Figure S1). The core taxa (Table S4) constituted 70.1% of the total abundance for the healthy controls, whilst across pwCF they averaged 30.0% ± 6.1 (Mean ± SD).

Diversity of the whole microbiota, core taxa, and satellite taxa was plotted across the increasing ETI treatment periods in pwCF (Fig. 1A). Overall, the diversity changes across all microbiota partitions included a gradual decline until 6 months of ETI treatment, highlighted by the significant reduction in diversity between baseline and 6 months for the whole microbiota ($P = 0.001$), core taxa ($P = 0.003$), and satellite taxa

Fig. 1. Microbiota diversity compared across groups utilising Fisher’s alpha index of diversity. Black plots indicate the whole microbiota, whilst orange and grey denote the core and satellite taxa respectively. (A) Diversity across pwCF at baseline or following ETI treatment. Black circles denote individual patient participant data. Error bars represent 1.5 times the inter-quartile range (IQR). Blue line indicates trend and direction of travel through the median of each treatment time point. Asterisks denote significant differences in diversity between treatment periods (sequential and to baseline) following Kruskal-Wallis testing (Table S5). (B) Diversity of pwCF and also healthy controls for comparison. Black circles denote individual patient participant data. Error bars represent 1.5 times the inter-quartile range (IQR). Asterisks denote significant differences in diversity between pwCF treatment periods and healthy controls following Kruskal-Wallis testing (Table S6). Abbreviations: 0.3 ± 6 – Sampling time point (months), 17+ – Extended (17+ months) sampling period, HC – Healthy control participants. $F$ values; **; $P \leq 0.001$, *; $P \leq 0.003$, *; $P \leq 0.0001$, **; $P \leq 0.001$, ***; $P \leq 0.05$. 
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(P = 0.001) (Table S5). An increase in diversity followed extended ETI treatment, as compared to the 6-month treatment period. The most pronounced change was the core taxa, for which diversity greatly increased following extended ETI treatment relative to all other time points, reflected by significant increases in diversity compared to both baseline (P = 0.001) and the 6-month time period (P < 0.001) (Table S5). Despite the positive trajectory of diversity in pwCF following extended ETI therapy, there remained distinct differences when compared to healthy controls (Fig. 1B, Table S6), whereby all partitions of the microbiota were significantly more diverse as compared to pwCF (P = 0.001).

When analysing microbiota composition throughout ETI treatment, similarity of the whole microbiota composition increased in pwCF as ETI treatment progressed, with a mean similarity (± SD) of 0.32 ± 0.09 following extended ETI (Fig. 2A). Within-group similarity did however remain larger in the healthy controls, with a mean similarity of 0.44 ± 0.06. Within-group similarity for the satellite taxa initially increased but was relatively consistent throughout ETI treatment and was comparable to healthy controls following extended ETI (0.19 ± 0.04 and 0.19 ± 0.07, respectively). The core taxa similarity, however, increased in pwCF following extended ETI, surpassing that of healthy control participants (0.60 ± 0.12 and 0.57 ± 0.06, respectively). When comparing the composition of the microbiota between sequential ETI treatment periods (Fig. 2B), the largest similarity across the whole microbiota and core taxa could be observed between 6 months and the extended ETI period, whilst the satellite taxa had no clear direction of travel. There were, however, significant differences between both the core (P < 0.001) and satellite (P = 0.035) taxa between months 3 and 6 of ETI treatment (Table S7). When comparisons were extended to include healthy controls (Fig. 2C), all partitions of the microbiota in pwCF illustrated the highest resemblance to healthy controls following the extended ETI treatment period. Regardless of this increased similarity, microbiota composition of the healthy controls remained highly distinct and significantly different (P < 0.001) from pwCF throughout the study period (Fig. 2C, Table S8).

3.2. Differences in bacterial taxa between controls and pwCF following ETI treatment

To understand which taxa were driving the dissimilarity maintained between healthy control participants and pwCF following extended ETI therapy, SIMPER analysis was conducted (Table 2). The top drivers of dissimilarity between the groups were Blautia sp. (OTU 1), Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Bacteroides dorei, Eubacterium rectale, and Anaerostipes hadrus. Overall, 24 taxa comprised over 50 % of the dissimilarity between the groups and this consisted of many prominent SCFA producing bacteria from other genera, including Faecalibacterium, Ruminococcus, and Collinsella. Interestingly, some of these taxa were increased in the pwCF group compared to the healthy controls. Additional SIMPER analysis between baseline and following extended ETI therapy revealed that similar taxa were also drivers of the change seen across the ETI treatment period in pwCF (Table S9).

3.3. Relationships between the microbiota and clinical parameters

To investigate the relationships between participant clinical variables and microbiota composition, redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed. Within comparisons between pwCF following extended ETI and the healthy control participants (Table 3), whole microbiota variability was explained by (in ascending order) the presence of CF disease, antibiotic usage, and age. For the core taxa analysis, CF disease was the primary driver of variation, with sex also contributing. CF disease was also the main contributor of variation in the satellite taxa, followed by antibiotic usage and patient small bowel water content (SBWC). When relating variability of the microbiota solely within pwCF (from baseline to extended ETI) (Table S10), multiple variables significantly explained
the variation in the whole microbiota, including disease severity (FEV1 %), BMI, antibiotic usage, sex, and SBWC. Excluding BMI, these variables also significantly explained variation across the core taxa. For the satellite taxa analysis, Age and ETI treatment length also significantly explained some of the variation. Species RDA biplots were then plotted to visualise how the taxa driving differences across pwCF and controls related to significant clinical variables identified from the RDA approach. Between the healthy controls and pwCF on extended ETI

### Table 2

Similarity of percentage (SIMPER) analysis of microbiota dissimilarity (Bray-Curtis) between healthy control and pwCF samples following 17+ months (extended) treatment with ETI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>% Relative abundance</th>
<th>17+ Months</th>
<th>Healthy Controls</th>
<th>Av. Dissimilarity</th>
<th>% Contribution</th>
<th>Cumulative (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blautia 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>4.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium adolescentis</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>7.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides dorei</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>10.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eubacterium rectale</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>13.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerostipes hadrus</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>16.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusobacterium nucleatum saccharovorans</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>19.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus bromii</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>21.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gemmiger formicilis</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>24.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blautia luti</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>26.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides vulgatus</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>28.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collinsella aerofaciens</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>31.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorea longicatena</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>33.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae hallii</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>35.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>36.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blautia obeum</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>38.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus gnavus</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>39.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroides uniformis</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>41.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdemanella biformis</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>43.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blautia faecis</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>44.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecalibacterium duncaniae</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>45.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterococcus 26</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>47.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecalibacterium longum</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>48.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruminococcus faecis</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>49.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecalibacterium prausnitizii</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>51.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Taxa identified as core are highlighted in orange, with satellite taxa highlighted in grey. The mean relative abundance (%) across both groups is given, alongside the percentage contribution which is the mean dissimilarity of taxa divided by the mean dissimilarity (71.26 %) across samples. Cumulative percent does not equal 100 % as the list is not exhaustive, rather the taxa that make up 50 % of dissimilarity between groups. Given the length of the 16S gene regions sequenced, taxon identification should be considered putative.

### Table 3

Redundancy analysis to explain percent variation across whole microbiota, core, and satellite taxa of the significant clinical variables across healthy control participants and pwCF receiving 17+ months (extended) ETI therapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbiota</th>
<th>Core taxa</th>
<th>Satellite taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age: Var. Exp (%)</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics: Var. Exp (%)</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF Disease: Var. Exp (%)</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: Var. Exp (%)</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: Var. Exp (%)</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3. Redundancy analysis species biplots for the whole microbiota. The 24 taxa contributing most to the dissimilarity (cumulatively > 50%) between healthy control and pwCF samples following extended ETI therapy from the SIMPER analysis (Table 2) are shown independently of the total number of ASVs identified (531). Orange points represent taxa that were identified as core for the pwCF group following extended ETI therapy, grey points are satellite, and the white (black stroke) points represent taxa that were absent. Biplot lines depict clinical variables that significantly account for total variation in taxa relative abundance within whole microbiota analysis at the p ≤ 0.05 level (Table 3). Species plots depict the strength of explanation provided by the given clinical variables, with taxa shown in the same direction of a particular clinical variable considered to have a higher value than those that are not. 'Abx' – Antibiotics during sampling period, 'SBWC' – Small bowel water content corrected for body surface area. The percentage of microbiota variation explained by each axis is given in parentheses.

(Fig. 3), a group of taxa containing Faecalibacterium and Bacteroides members collectively clustered strongly away from CF disease and the usage of antibiotics, whilst taxa such as Blautia sp. (OTU 1), Ruminococcus gnavus, Enterococcus sp. (OTU 26), and Eubacterium hallii demonstrated the opposite trend. Some taxa were explained primarily by both participant age and sex. This included Blautia lut, Dorea longicaeta, Holdemanna biformis, Bacteroides dorei, Escherichia coli, and Bifidobacterium adolescentis. In terms of SBWC, this explained the variation across a group of taxa including Fusicatenibacter saccharivorans and Gemmiger formicilis. Within pwCF exclusively (baseline to extended ETI), different taxa and variables comprised the RDA biplot due to outcomes from the previous SIMPER and RDA analyses (Figure S2). Some similar trends were observed however, including the strong association of Enterococcus sp. (OTU 24) with antibiotic usage and Blautia sp. (OTU 1) away from more mild CF disease.

3.4. Changes in faecal SCFAs and comparisons to controls following ETI treatment

Both the composition and absolute quantification of SCFAs from pwCF and healthy control samples were also investigated (Figure S3). Combined, the relative abundance of acetic, propionic, and butyric acid accounted for the vast majority of SCFAs across both the pwCF ETI treatment periods (94.0 % ± 4.5, Mean ± SD) and healthy controls (89.6 % ± 4.8, Mean ± SD). There was no significant differences from baseline, or subsequent sampling periods, in the absolute concentration or relative abundance of SCFAs across pwCF receiving ETI therapy (Figure S3, Tables S11–12). There were, however, significant differences between ETI samples and healthy controls (Figure S3, Table S13–14). This included significant increases in both absolute concentration and relative abundance of valeric (Figure S3 A&C) and heptanoic acid (Figure S3 B&D) within healthy control samples compared with all ETI sampling periods (P < 0.05 in all instances), with hexanoic acid also significantly increased compared to pwCF, except those on extended ETI (P = 0.143) (Figure S3 B&D). On the contrary, the only significantly increased SCFA in pwCF was butyric acid, with significantly higher concentrations measured during the extended ETI sampling period as compared to health controls (P = 0.015) (Figure S3A).

3.5. SCFA composition and relationships with the microbiota

The overall composition of SCFAs remained similar in pwCF throughout ETI therapy but remained significantly different from healthy controls during both the 6 months and extended ETI therapy periods (P < 0.05 in all instances) (Table S15). Over 50 % of this dissimilarity was driven by acetic and butyric acid in both cases (Table S16). Exploratory RDA revealed that only a few SCFAs, namely propionic, butyric, and valeric acid could significantly explain any variation across the microbiota composition across all samples, and to a minimal extent (Table S17). RDA biplots were constructed to visualise the relationships between SIMPER taxa and these SCFAs within both pwCF and healthy controls (Figure S4). Results were mixed, with high variability across particular genera, including Bacteroides, Blautia, Ruminococcus, whilst others such as Faecalibacterium and Eubacterium behaved similarly and were more closely clustered. Other taxa were strongly dissociated with the SCFAs from the RDA biplot model, including Blautia spp. (OTU 1) and Fusicatenibacter saccharivorans.

3.6. Changes to participant symptoms, intestinal function, and BMI

When comparing symptoms and gut function across pwCF and healthy controls, it was evident that ETI therapy did not significantly improve total PAC-SYM scores, or individual categories, irrespective of treatment length (P > 0.05 in all instances) (Table S18). In terms of functionality, no significant differences in oro-caecal transit time (OCTT) (P = 0.842) or SBWC (P = 0.064) between pwCF in this cohort undergoing microbiota analyses and healthy controls occurred, however the latter was markedly increased (Table S19). Finally, ETI therapy increased BMI over time (Table S20), with significant increases as compared to baseline for all time points (P < 0.05 in all instances).

4. Discussion

As the efficacy of CFTR modulators continues to increase in alleviating respiratory complications of CF, there is need to clarify whether patient improvements further translate at the site of the intestinal tract. This includes both GI abnormalities and patient symptoms following CFTR modulator treatment, including the effects upon the gut microbiota, for which data remain scarce and to the best of our knowledge has not been characterised in pwCF following ETI therapy. Here we examined the impact of ETI on the gut microbiota and their associated metabolites, utilising 16S rRNA sequencing and integrated targeted metabolomics to profile and quantify faecal levels of short-chain fatty acids. Overall, our results indicate that ETI therapy does impact upon microbiota structure in pwCF, but this remains distinctly different to that of healthy control participants as observed previously [8,34,35].

With respect to microbiota diversity, we observed decreases across pwCF following ETI treatment using 16S rRNA sequencing, reflecting possible selection pressures within the bacterial community secondary to physiological changes from initial ETI treatment. However, as treatment progresses, we demonstrate a significant shift in the core taxa diversity of pwCF following extended ETI therapy, which translated into a positive trajectory of whole microbiota diversity by the end of the study period. Despite this, diversity was not significantly different following ETI, as shown previously with less efficacious CFTR modulator treatments encompassing shorter administration periods [15–17,19]. Interestingly, Kristensen et al. did observe significant increases in faecal microbiota diversity [18], but only following extended (12 month) Ivacaftor therapy in a group of pwCF harbouring the S1251N mutation.
Unlike others [15–17,19], they also found that microbiota composition changed significantly over time, with samples obtained following extended Ivacaftor more tightly clustered [18]. In the current study, the core taxa and whole microbiota became more similar in pwCF as ETI progressed, also increasing in similarity to healthy controls. This likely reflects the proliferation and sustained establishment of a common set of bacterial taxa across upon CFTR functional rescue and enhanced fluidity at the site of the intestinal tract. There remained, however, a significant difference in microbiota composition to controls as previously observed throughout life in pwCF [7–9,24].

The significant difference in microbiota composition maintained between pwCF and controls following extended ETI was driven by taxa previously associated with SCFA production in the gut, including members of the genera Faecalibacterium, Eubacterium, and Blautia [36, 37]. Across pwCF specifically, Faecalibacterium remained a satellite taxa constituent and did not substantially contribute to any changes in the community from baseline, whilst relative abundances of Eubacterium were generally increased, and Blautia species fluctuated over the treatment period in pwCF. This raises the possibility of increased fitness and subsequent proliferation of particular taxa following altered intestinal fluidity subsequent to CFTR modulation, alongside decreased susceptibility to additional CF-associated perturbations that typically impacts the rarer satellite taxa. When incorporating targeted metabolomics to understand any functional changes relating to the aforementioned taxa, we identified no key differences in pwCF following ETI treatment, further extending with healthy controls for acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric and isovaleric acid. These findings are in agreement with Baldwin-Hunter et al., who recently analysed colonic aspirates from adult pwCF and controls undergoing surveillance colonoscopy [38]. Collectively, this may support elements of functional redundancies surrounding major SCFA production in the distal colon in pwCF [39], and is further supported by the low microbial variation explained here by faecal SCFA levels when both pwCF and control samples were collated. In contrast, Vernocchi et al. did demonstrate significant reductions in major SCFAs across children with CF as compared to controls [40], which raises the possibility of age-dependent functional redundancy. Similar to Baldwin-Hunter et al. again [38], both valeric and hexanoic acid concentration and relative abundances were significantly larger in healthy control participants compared to pwCF. Furthermore, valeric acid did significantly explain variation in the microbiota across all samples collated for analysis, with those associated taxa typically satellite members of the microbiota in pwCF. Any potential implications of this remain to be clarified in CF as valeric and hexanoic acid have previously been shown to significantly decrease within intestinal pro-inflammatory environments as compared to healthy controls [41].

Furthihermore, our analyses to reveal any relationships between clinical data, gut function, and microbiota structure across participants, we observed a strong impact of CF disease, antibiotic exposure, and age upon microbiota composition similar to our previous work [24]. CF disease was the primary explainer of the core taxa, which is unsurprising given the strong associations between CFTR genotype alone and gut microbiota composition [11]. Alongside the whole microbiota, antibiotic usage was a principal explainer of satellite taxa composition across participants, again underpinning the relevance of this partitioned community towards wider microbiota organisation and structure. Within the exclusive analysis of pwCF, antibiotic usage was a prominent explainer of the bacterial community across all partitions, second only to age when the differential analysis was performed. This may suggest that ETI may not reduce CF disease severity and treatment length of ETI. Indeed, antibiotics have previously been shown to significantly impact community composition across pwCF also taking CFTR modulators, to which the latter had relatively little impact [17]. Given the speculated systemic impact of pulmonary antibiotics in-turn affecting the gut microbiota [8, 24,40,42,43], it still remains in question whether this will persist following sustained CFTR modulator therapy that commences earlier in life across pwCF [44]. Not all participants were administered antibiotic therapy across pwCF, including those on extended ETI. Those who were taking antibiotics did not reduce their intake with respect to dosage and frequency throughout the study period. Gut function also continues to explain the microbiota variance across pwCF and controls [24]. SBWC was not significantly altered following ETI treatment and remains elevated across pwCF [23], significantly explaining the satellite taxa composition across all participants in this study. The physiological mechanisms behind this increased SBWC are proposed to result from gastro-ileoal abnormalities in CF [45], including delayed ileal-emptying of small bowel content which might have consequences for downstream taxa in the large intestine. Interestingly, the OCIT was unchanged in our cohort of participants who underwent both faecal sampling and MRI analysis of gut function. GI dysmotility is common in CF and is often associated with small intestinal bacterial overgrowth alongside a range of intestinal symptoms in pwCF [46]. As for BMI, this explained the variance across the whole microbiota within pwCF exclusively. There is evidence of BMI increase across pwCF following ETI therapy, with the cause of this change yet to be fully elucidated [47].

Relating bacterial taxa from our SIMPER analysis with principal drivers of microbiota variation determined by the RDA approach revealed associations across species both typically identified as favourable and adverse to the host with CF disease and antibiotic usage. This included Blautia spp. and Eubacterium hallii which contain the functional capacity for anti-inflammatory butyrate synthesis from a range of substrates [48], but also Enterococcus spp. and Ruminococcus gnavus, which have both been shown to be increased in the CF gut and associate with intestinal inflammation [9,49,50], perhaps indicative of acquired resistance to recurrent antibiotic regimens in CF [51]. Within pwCF specifically, the relationships between disease severity (determined by FEV1 %) and intestinal microbial composition may suggest the need to further understand the putative lung-gut axis in CF [5,52,53]. The implications of any taxa change upon host immunity at the site of the intestinal tract remains to be clarified and moving forward will be aided by the thorough integration of faecal and local intestinal inflammatory data. Across all participants, age and sex were also explanators of the whole microbiota and core taxa respectively, associating with taxa such as E. coli and Bifidobacterium adolescentis. This may be explained in part by the ratio of males to females, alongside the wide age range of our participants, with the relative abundance of the aforementioned impacted by age across both CF and general life [42,54–56].

Alongside the microbiota analyses, it was evident that patient symptoms, as measured by overall PAC-SYM scores, were not improved upon treatment with ETI. This is consistent with our previous cohort undertaking Tezacafotor/ivacaftor therapy [19], yet Mainz et al. have recently described improvements within pwCF GI symptoms upon ETI therapy when utilising the tailored CFabd questionnaire [57]. Intestinal inflammation is a common occurrence in pwCF [46,58,59]. Unfortunately, we were unable to incorporate faecal calprotectin data into this study due to limited measurement across participants. Previous modulator studies have reported mixed results in the reduction of faecal calprotectin [16,20,21]. However, with ETI therapy specifically, there is evidence of a reduction following 6 months of administration [60].

Collectively, our microbiota findings indicate a positive impact of ETI therapy upon core taxa and whole microbiota structure in pwCF. The significant differences that persist between the CF and healthy control microbiota suggests changes are further required across the CF satellite taxa to bridge this gap, which make up a significant portion of the relative community composition [24], and are typically associated to random environmental perturbations or atypical habitats [61,62]. Based on this, the modulation of CFTR alone may not be sufficient to rescue a microbiota signature observed across controls within pwCF, whereby the modulation of such alternate clinical factors primarily explaining the satellite taxa composition may be also required for an enhanced response to CFTR modulator therapy. This encompasses pulmonary antibiotic usage, which was a key explainer across our multivariate analyses and of high prevalence across pwCF undertaking extended ETI.
Though not investigated in the current study, additional research into the ability of specific dietary intake and probiotic usage to shape the microbiota and patient outcomes is desirable [63–65], especially since resilience of the gut microbiota has been recently suggested following diet and exercise intervention in pwCF [66]. It could be that long-term substantial changes to such factors are necessary for subsequent beneficial changes to the intestinal microbiome in an era of modulator therapy.

Comprehensively understanding the impact of more efficacious CFTR modulator therapy itself remains a top research priority in CF, including the identification of novel compounds or biomarkers to enhance our understanding of disease management [67,68]. The latter is likely to be aided by continued integration of additional omics techniques to fully elucidate not only the genetic potential, but measurable functional alterations upon CFTR modulator usage and changes to the CF lifestyle. This should include the impact of pulmonary antibiotic towards CF intestinal metabolomic and/or metaproteomic signatures, given their common, persistent use in clinic and at home. This may extend further to the utilisation of model experimental systems [69], which with tighter experimental control will aid our future understanding of the aforementioned. Aside from the clear caveat of group size in our pwCF cohort receiving extended ETI therapy, we acknowledge the relatively large sampling gap between this period and the preceding sampling point of 6 months within this pilot study. Nonetheless, our extended sampling period highlights the value of further understanding alternate factors to the sole presence of disease in altering the CF microbiota, patient symptoms and intestinal abnormalities, as treatment with CFTR modulators alone may not be optimal to achieve this goal in CF.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that ETI therapy in pwCF does lead to change in microbiota characteristics towards that observed in healthy controls following extended administration, albeit with negligible difference upon SCFA levels and other clinical outcomes. Collectively the composition of the microbiota and functional metabolites remained significantly different from healthy controls following extended therapy. We posit that the trajectory of the CF gut microbiota towards that observed in the healthy controls was predominately impeded by antibiotics administered to combat respiratory infection. We recommend future work should employ a combination of frequent/prolonged patient cohort studies and experimental model system approaches to gain a deeper mechanistic understanding of the effects of both the CFTR modulator therapies and pulmonary antibiotics on the temporal dynamics of gut microbiota composition functioning. In turn this could then be related to alleviating and improving abnormalities of the intestinal tract and patient symptoms in CF.
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