Metalliferous mine dust: human health impacts and the potential determinants of disease in mining communities.

Jane A. Entwistle[a*], Andrew S. Hursthouse[b], Paula A. Marinho Reis[c] Alex G. Stewart[d,e]

[a] Department of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Northumbria University, Ellison Building, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 8ST, UK; jane.entwistle@northumbria.ac.uk

[b] School of Computing, Engineering & Physical Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, UK; andrew.hursthouse@uws.ac.uk; Orcid id:0000-0003-3690-2957

[c] Universidade do Minho, Departamento de Ciências da Terra, Escola de Ciências, Campus de Gualtar, 4710 - 057 Braga, Portugal; pmarinho@dct.uminho.pt

[d] College of Life and Environmental Science, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4RJ, UK

[e] Public Health Institute, Faculty of Education, Health and Community, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool L2 2QP, UK; dragonsteeth@doctors.org.uk; Oricd id: 0000-0002-4931-5340

*corresponding author Orcid id: 0000-0002-4375-4502

Keywords

exposome, bioaccessibility, biomonitoring, mining legacy, risk assessment

Abstract

Purpose of review

Many factors influence the health impact of exposure to metalliferous mine dusts and whilst the underpinning toxicology is pivotal, it is not the only driver of health outcomes following exposure. The purpose of this review is twofold: i) to highlight recent advances in our understanding of the hazard posed by metalliferous mine dust, and ii) to broaden an often narrowly framed health risk perspective to consider the wider aetiology of the potential determinants of disease.

Recent findings

The hazard posed by metalliferous dusts depends not only on their abundance and particle size, but other properties such as chemical composition, solubility, shape, and surface area, which all play a role in the associated health effects. Better understanding of the mechanisms that lead to toxicity, such as recent advances in our understanding of the role played by reactive oxygen species (ROS), can help in the development of improved *in vitro* models to support risk assessments, whilst biomonitoring studies have the potential to guide risk management decisions for mining communities.

Summary

Environmental exposures are complex; complex geochemically and complex geographically. Research linking the environment to human health is starting to mature, highlighting the subtlety of multiple exposures, mixtures of substances, and the cumulative legacy effects of life in disrupted and stressed environments. We are evolving more refined biomarkers to identify these responses, which enhances our appreciation of the burden of effects on society and also directs us to more sophisticated risk assessment approaches to adequately address evolving regulatory and societal needs.

Introduction

'*We have been mortgaging the health of future generations to realise economic and development gains in the present*' (Whitmee et al. *2015*).

Environmental pollution contributed to an estimated 9 million deaths and significant economic losses across the world in 2015 (Landrigan et al. 2018). Indeed, *The Lancet* Commission on pollution and health identifies pollution as the largest environmental cause of disease and premature death (Landrigan et al. 2018). Metalliferous mine dusts, and associated potentially toxic elements (PTEs) released into the environment through dust generating mining activities, is one such environmental pollution related cause of adverse health effects to humans. Despite occupational improvements within the mining industry, the release of metalliferous dusts into the environment remains a human health issue, especially in regions with poorly developed regulatory systems and where historic mining has left a significant legacy of exposed metaliferous mine wastes. The recovery of economically valuable metals present in historical waste materials also presents new technological and environmental challenges, whilst informal practices, such as those adopted in the artisanal mining of gold, also result in high levels of exposure to metals (Basu et al. 2015).

We review recent studies on the nature and hazard characterisation of metalliferous mine dusts (e.g. their main sources, composition and characterisation). Many factors influence the health effects of metalliferous mining dusts and understanding the exposure pathways is critical, as is the source, transport pathway, nature of the exposure (e.g. duration, activity) and exposure route (i.e. by inhalation, ingestion or dermal/topical absorption). The amount of any substance taken in to the body that ends up active within the body is also an important consideration in the assessment of risk. The dose taken in by inhalation, ingestion and touch may not be the same as that retained within the body as varying amounts can be excreted quickly by different routes, usually through the liver, kidney or gastrointestinal tract, but sometimes in sweat and skin cell and hair loss (Baker et al. 2012).

Whilst the underpinning toxicology is pivotal, it is not the only driver of health outcomes following exposure, and we broaden an often narrowly framed health perspective to consider other potential determinants of disease, such as the role played by genes, lifestyle choices, and the wider socio-economic context. Where we make reference to the underlying toxicological data and biological processes that underpin many of the related health disorders, we do not do so in detail. Readers are referred to the key public health and medical literature on which this review has drawn.

Consideration needs to be given to the fact that each location, and each population, are different, as are the variety of exposure scenarios (e.g. occupational exposure, neighbourhood exposure, population exposure), further complicated by differences in the management of the hazards. The complex nature of, and exposure to, metalliferous mine dusts requires approaches that take this complexity into account if we are to correctly apportion and mitigate the impacts on human health. There is a key role here for those working at the public-environmental health nexus to (i) develop a better understanding of the common predicators of ill health, (ii) to identify how to recognise which differences (be they individual, social, cultural, environmental) need special

attention, and iii) harness advances in laboratory sciences and technology to better utilise big data to support these needs. We highlight the challenges, as well as the opportunity, for new integrated environmental health tools to support future management.

Source and environmental pathways of metalliferous mine dusts

PTEs frequently associated with mined deposits, or as gangue minerals in ore deposits, include arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), nickel (Ni), uranium (U), and zinc (Zn), (Table 1). Whilst several of these PTEs (such as Cu and Zn) are essential for life, this paper only considers toxic or excess exposures. Due to their high toxicity, As, Cd, Hg, and Pb rank among the top 10 priority substances that are of public health concern (ATSDR 2011-2018). Other priority elements for human health concern, and of particular relevance when considering exposure to metalliferous mine dust, include U and the transition metals Co, Cu, Ni and Zn due to their ability to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in biological tissues via Fenton-type reactions (Chen et al. 2018; Harrington et al. 2015; Latvala et al. 2016). The Fenton reaction refers to the reaction between hydrogen peroxide and ferrous salts to produce a reactive species capable of oxidising a wide variety of organic substrates. In addition, we also include iron (Fe) as Fe-bearing minerals are commonly found in mining dust and Fe oxides found in dust are potential contributors to inflammation in the human lung (Guney et al. 2016). The common crystalline and amorphous phases of these PTEs found in ores or/and mine-related wastes, are listed in Table 1. Mining activities provide multiple pathways for both the generation and distribution of mineral dusts into the environment. Dusts are released from a range of mining related activities such as removal of the overburden, extraction and refining operations (e.g. smelter emissions; slag piles and tailings), and other ore-handling operations (Breshears et al. 2014), all creating large volumes of dust that are readily mobilised by aeolian (wind related) processes and can result in atmospheric transport over large distances (Kim et al. 2014). Dust generation also occurs when transporting wastes, both on haulage roads within, and around, the mines, but also over longer distances such as along train lines (Kristensen et al. 2015). Widespread contamination associated with airborne emissions from active and legacy mining and smelting operations is well documented in the literature, reported across a wide range of sampled media, including: attic dust (Balabanova et al, 2017; Boev et al. 2013); house dust (Middleton et al. 2017); atmospheric dust (Hettiarachchi et al. 2019); road dust (Tian et al. 2018); crop/vegetables (Wang et al. 2017); surface and groundwater (Souza et al. 2017), with mining-related particulates reported in soils adjacent to mines and across the towns of mining communities (Guney et al. 2017; Taylor et al. 2014). The unregulated informal recycling of the complex contaminant mixtures arising from Waste Electrical and Electronic Equipment (WEEE) also releases metalliferous dusts associated with precious metals and rare earth elements, mixed with organics and plastics found in such equipment (Cesaro et al. 2018).

Wherever mining has taken place then there is a potential legacy of exposed wastes; the literature attests to multiple on-going health risks for people and the wider environment associated with many abandoned mine sites and un-remediated tailings. Many legacy sites contain relatively high concentrations of metals and metalloids, often up to several percent by mass, and the economic value of these metals and their role as part of a circular economy, are becoming increasingly recognized (Crane et al. 2017). Where former mine sites and waste

4

deposits are the focus for the recovery of economically valuable metals, then the industrial activity can be a more complex issue (i.e. re-working spoil heaps/lagoons/leachates), (Deutz et al. 2017). In addition, the materials have been weathered and subjected to a wide range of environmental conditions that have modified the original ore and gangue materials. In such contexts, the dusts generated are potentially different in their reactivity and hazard from the originally mined sources. Parallels can be drawn with the coal mining industry where changes in specific dust characteristics have been invoked to explain resurgence in diseases such as black lung in the Appalachians (Arnold, 2016).

PTEs can be transferred from mine dust-impacted soil to the human body via unintentional ingestion of soil and the soil-plant-human food chain. Children are at particular risk of ingestion of contaminated soil due to their frequent hand-to-mouth activity. Children are also sensitive receptors since girls are born with all their eggs already in their ovaries: contamination of young girls affects their children and probably their grandchildren. Evidence also suggests that although sperm is generated daily after puberty, there is also transmission to the next generation of some early life exposures through epigenetic mechanisms, although the evidence is currently limited to obesity, stress, risk of diabetic death, cardiovascular diseases and the like (Fernandez-Twinn et al. 2015). It is possible that environmental metals may be added to known epigenetic toxins such as endocrine disruptors, but further work is awaited (Marsit 2015). Furthermore, there is a difference between intergenerational (or parental) and transgenerational effects. The former include effects such as the impact of *in utero* exposure to toxins on the developing embryo and its germline, while the latter refers to effects found in generations not exposed to the initial exposure, e.g. great-grandchildren (Fernandez-Twinn et al. 2015; Perez and Lehner 2019).

The relationship between outdoor mining-related metal contamination and the indoor residential environment remains poorly understood. Quantifying indoor concentrations of metals originating from mine waste is complex; however, house dust is an important route of exposure, particularly for children. Numerous studies highlight the increased risks for long-term residents of communities living close to active, re-activated and abandoned mine sites around the world (e.g. Zota et al. 2016).

Characterisation of the complex nature (hazard) of metalliferous dusts

Hazard, in this context, refers to the inherent properties of the mineral dust that have the potential to cause harm. Epidemiological and toxicological studies have indicated that the hazard posed by mineral dusts depends not only on their abundance and particle size, but other properties such as their chemical composition, solubility, shape, structure and surface area of the inhaled particles, which all play a role in the associated toxic, carcinogenic or other health effects (Schraufnagel et al. 2018a, b). Furthermore, whilst we hypothesise that organs such as the lungs where already damaged by disease processes will be more susceptible to the absorption of metals and other toxins, to the authors' knowledge little is known about how existing disease affects the outcome of exposure to metalliferous dusts.

In relation to dusts, size matters. Whilst most mining operations generate coarse dust (≥ 2.5 μm diameter), high temperature processes, such as smelting and coal combustion, are typically associated with the generation of

fine particulates ($\leqslant$2.5 μm) (Csavina et al. 2012). This fine fraction can travel long distances and an understanding of respiratory tract anatomy, physiology and clearance mechanisms are also important to understand the exposure and dose. The respiratory tract in humans is typically split into three regions (after Clippinger et al. 2018): (1) the extrathoracic region, which includes the naso-pharynx and airways to the larynx. There is also the potential for the direct transfer of particulate matter (PM) through the olfactory (smell) nerves from nose to brain (2) the tracheobronchial region, which consist of the large upper airways from the larynx down to before the terminal bronchiole, with the upward mucociliary transports, and (3) the pulmonary region, defined as the terminal bronchioles and the alveoli. Following inhalation, the larger inhaled particles become trapped in the mucus that lines the airways and are transported (by tracheobronchial cilia) and expectorated or swallowed into the gastro-intestinal pathway. Particles < 4 um are respirable (i.e. deposit in the alveoli). The lungs act as a continuous sampler of inhaled PM. Humans are oronasal breathers, and there is less filtering of PM inhaled through oral breathing compared to nasal breathing. With greater exertion, more oral breathing results, and thus ventilation rates and activity patterns need also to be considered in different modelling exposure scenarios.

Whilst a growing body of literature underlines the increasing concern for the impact of dust PM, especially the < $PM_{2.5, 1}$ and $_{0.1}$ fraction, on human health, to understand the nature of the hazard posed the mineral dust should be suitably characterised. Growing acceptance that the physicochemical nature of the PM influences the deposition, dissolution and distribution to other parts of the body, and ultimately the toxic effect, has seen an expansion in approaches to PM characterisation to explore the chemistry, oxidation states and material structure (Harrington et al. 2015). Synchrotron-based X-Ray Fluorescence and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) are increasingly being used to map the mineralogy, phase composition, elemental associations, and oxidation states of a range of elements contained within the PM, whilst the use of sequential chemical extractions remains a popular tool for solid-phase speciation (e.g. Song et al. 2015; Yin et al. 2016).

The need to utilise multiple techniques to reveal the complex nature of these dusts, and the complex nature of the interaction with health, is now widely recognised. The exposome is one such approach (DeBord et al. 2016): the total exposure of a person from conception to death and the resulting health impact. Although complex, with needs for identified biomarkers, new statistical and analytical approaches, and often based on large datasets, the exposome can also work with smaller samples (435 adolescents, 19 metals: Pino et al. 2017). Our classification of determinants of disease is similar to the current classification of the exposome: internal, specific external, general external (DeBord et al. 2016), but focussed on metalliferous dusts and mining (Figure 1).

A growing body of work highlights examples of *in vivo* reactions that can generate an overabundance of reactive oxygen species (ROS), reactive nitrogen species (RNS) and lipid peroxidation in the body. Reactive molecules are pivotal to control cellular responses focusing on inflammatory settings and associated with many pathological conditions such as chronic inflammation, atherosclerosis, diabetes, inflammatory bowel disease and autoimmune diseases (Predonzani et al. 2015). ROS are necessary intracellular signalling molecules which regulate a wide variety of physiology, however an overabundance of ROS can lead to oxidative stress within the body. Fe-rich particles may also contribute to increased ROS formation. Iron exhibits Fe^{3+} and/or Fe^{2+} forms in

crystalline oxides and inhalation into the human lung of Fe-containing particles has been associated with the release of free radicals (Lu et al. 2016). Magnetite has been reported to be a more effective catalyst for Fenton reactions and the production of OH radicals than goethite or hematite. The iron oxidation state of most natural iron oxide minerals such as goethite and hematite is 3+, whilst magnetite has a combination of Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} oxidation states; the reaction rate of H_2O_2 with Fe^{2+} sites is significantly higher than the rate with Fe^{3+} sites (Solis-Lopez et al., 2014). Oxidative stress can result in a range of negative outcomes including DNA damage, chronic inflammation in the lungs (Øvrevik et al. 2015) and cardiovascular disease (Kelly and Fussell 2017).

'Risk' is the possibility of *harm* arising from a particular exposure to a substance or substances, under specific conditions. In assessing risk to humans following exposure to a contaminant, it is the quantitative pattern of the deposition, and subsequent bioavailability (uptake), that influences the dose, and ultimately the toxic effects, rather than the exposure concentration (intake) per se (Clippinger et al. 2018). For the inhalation pathway, we need to understand the behaviour of inhaled particles; not just the initial dose but how the PM is cleared and redistributed within the body. Significant research has focussed on the development of *in vitro* non-animal testing and biomonitoring methodologies to provide human-relevant data for decision support in risk assessments and notable recent approaches are outlined below.

Physiologically-based *in vitro* lung bioaccessibility protocols

In-vitro bioaccessibility refers to that portion of the contaminant which can be extracted and released during passage through the human system being represented/modelled and is thus available for uptake. Several *in-vitro* protocols have been developed, such as the BARGE UBM and US EPA glycine method for oral bioaccessibility modelling (Juhasz et al. 2015). Despite the often complex dissolution kinetics, *in vitro* oral bioaccessibility protocols may be used as an indicator of *in vivo* bioavailability, with mathematical equations relating *in vitro* bioaccessibility to *in vivo* bioavailability for a range of soil types, sources and PTEs (Juhasz et al. 2015). Similar *in vitro – in vivo* validation for inhalation bioaccessibility protocols are still lacking. Boisa et al. (2014) highlight some of the many problems with using simulated lung fluids. Nonetheless, the last two decades has seen a proliferation of experiments using simulated lung fluids (Kastury et al. 2017). This research has predominantly focussed on two main type of fluids: those representative of neutral extracellular environment in the interstitium of the lungs (i.e. Gamble's Solution (GS) believed to mimic the interstitial conditions of the lungs; the interstitium is the space between cells, a matrix of collagen bundles interspersed with fluid), or the more acidic Artificial Lysosomal Fluid (ALF) which simulates the environment within the spaces of the pulmonary alveolae where cells engulf and metabolise particles. This research, whilst predominantly on air pollution (which contains combustion-related metals and hence of relevance), highlights that particles deposited in the lungs that are not readily solubilized by the epithelial lining fluids (i.e. the fluids covering the lung lining) will be subject to phagocytosis by the pulmonary alveolar macrophages. Complexation with biological components in the lung fluids can also influence dissolution rates (Boisa et al. 2014), and once dissolved can negatively impact the immune system (Vignal et al. 2017; Duffy et al. 2018).

Different solubilities and dissolution rates in different body fluids (Guney et al. 2017; Kastury et al. 2018a), interactions of complex chemical mixtures (Cipullo et al. 2018), and the role of enzymes, mucoproteins and

surfactants are increasingly being investigated (Bignasca et al. 2011; Li et al. 2013). The role of mineralogy and soil-phase speciation in the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of metals in PM is well documented (Etler et al. 2018). U-enriched aeolian dusts in the proximity of two U mines in New Mexico highlights the differential solubility of U minerals; uraninite and carnotite are more soluble in the GS compared to the more acidic ALF (Hettiarachchi et al. 2019). Whilst such extraction protocols still need *in vivo* validation, the authors demonstrate the extracts accord with a geochemical model (PHREEQC). Acknowledging the potential continuation of metal(loid) dissolution once the PM is cleared from the lungs and/or has passed through the gastrointestinal tract has rarely been addressed. Kastury et al. (2018b) recently proposed an inhalation-ingestion bioaccessibility assay, designed to be biologically relevant to a human inhalation scenario.

In vitro inhalation toxicity testing using cell cultures

Non-animal models of epithelial barriers (skin, intestinal and pulmonary context) play a critical role for *in vitro* to *in vivo* extrapolation. Immortalized human adenocarcinoma cell lines (such as Caco-2 or T84) help to study absorption mechanisms, as do immortalized cell lines (e.g., BEAS-2B cells) and primary epithelial cells (e.g., normal human bronchial epithelial cells). However, as adenocarcinoma cell lines are derived from tumours, which by their nature are less representative of typical conditions, the intestinal epithelial cells may potentially offer a more physiologically relevant cell-based approach (Gordon et al. 2015).

The inflammatory stress response (ISR, used as a measure of particle toxicity) was investigated in human lung epithelial cells following exposure to a range of metal-sulphide ore minerals: the role of Fenton and other heavy metals was highlighted, while copper-bearing ore minerals, containing ferrous-iron, produced the largest ISR (Harrington et al. 2015). An earlier study highlighted the role of pyrite in coal workers' pneumoconiosis with pyrite-free coal producing the same limited ISR as exposure to inert organic matter (Harrington et al. 2013).

The elevated presence of ROS can lead to oxidative damage of biomolecules, in turn linked to a range of diseases including cancer, respiratory, neurodegenerative and digestive disorders (Kelly and Fussell 2017; Liu et al. 2018). The body's stress response to ROS can also generate excess ROS in the lungs, engendering a vicious negative cycle. The oxidative potential of PM_{10} in urban air samples collected on filters across Lanzhou using plasmid scission assay (PSA), in tandem with total and water-soluble metal extraction, showed a negative relationship between TD_{20} (toxic dose of particles necessary to damage 20% of DNA) and all of the metals investigated, with the water-soluble Cu, Zn, As and Mn exhibiting relatively strong negative correlations (Xiao et al. 2014). The inference being that the water-soluble metals associated with PM_{10} were primarily responsible for the oxidative potential, and plasmid DNA damage. Better understanding of the mechanisms that lead to toxicity can help in developing better *in vitro* models.

Biomonitoring

Biomonitoring (the measurement of a chemical or its metabolites in body tissues and fluids) data can improve our understanding of exposure. It can contribute to a multiple lines of evidence approach and allow a better understanding of how our bodies interact with the environment. Furthermore, biomonitoring studies have the potential to guide risk management decisions for all legacy mining communities into the future. The case for

biomonitoring data in improved enforcement of health and safety legislation to protect both workers and the wider community against the hazards posed by mining activities is clear (Nemery et al. 2018).

Correlations between PTEs in household dust and children's hair, in two different environmental settings (mining district; suburban non-mining area) showed significantly higher indoor dust and hair concentrations of PTEs in the mining district (Barbieri et al. 2014); a significant exposure-biomarker association was found, particularly for Pb. Socio-economic determinants related to unpaved roads and the physical environment of the households increased the exposure to PTEs by promoting dust accumulation indoors. Furthermore, a child's behaviour may be a modulating factor in the exposure to PTEs, as the correlation between Pb in dust and Pb in hair was stronger for children who played with dirt (soil) in the mining neighbourhood. Dust particulates adhering to clothing and shoes can easily be carried by the children into their home environment (Barbieri et al. 2014). In villages surrounding the Huodehong lead-zinc mining, women showed significantly (p< 0.01) higher hair contents than men for the same PTEs, probably due to differences in individual exposure frequencies and exposure characteristics, metabolism, and physiology (Wang et al. 2017) (cf. Fig. 1).

Determinants of disease in mining communities

Mining, like any industry or exposure, has its share of diseases specifically related to the type of mine, the particular industrial processes and the workforce connected to each mine. The role of metalliferous mine dust in the aetiology of these diseases needs to be seen in relation to a broad view of possible determinants of disease: individual, social, economic and environmental factors which may interact as they impact people's health (Lewis et al. 2017). These determinants operate on scales ranging from the individual (e.g. sex, age, genetics) to the international (e.g. policies and regulations). For example, the development of pneumoconiosis among miners depends on the chemical composition of dust, fineness of dust, air concentration of dust, but also length of period of exposure and underlying health status of the exposed worker (Han et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2018). We use three broad classes of determinants (occupational, environmental, human and societal) to illustrate the complex interplay of considerations and issues that influence disease (Figure 1).

Occupationally related determinants

The mining industry is known for its highly risky and hazardous working environment. Occupational hazards relevant to disease associated with metalliferous mine dusts include:

Chemical: the unrestricted use of metals can lead to high levels of exposure to a range of PTEs. For example, the use of elemental mercury in small-scale artisanal gold mining leads to methyl-mercury pollution of the local environment and ingestion through the locally grown diet. Inhalation of elemental Hg by the children and other workers, often working in kitchens away from the actual mine, is an issue (Basu et al. 2015).

Environmental: adverse environmental conditions such as absence of natural light, fresh air, and high dust volumes contribute to mental stress and ill-health as well as diseases specific to the dust characteristics and metal content.

Social and managerial risks: Managers' attitudes to safety and miners' roles affect health outcomes (Zhang et al. 2016; Jacobs and Pienaar 2017). Psychological stress levels in Australian miners were higher than in non-mine workers, with alcohol use, work role (as managers), level of work satisfaction, financial factors and job

insecurity contributing factors. Added to this was a perception of lower workplace support for people with mental health problems (Considine et al. 2017).

Personal: The interaction of psychological stress with exposure to traffic PM is synergistic (Ailshire et al. 2017). It can be expected that mining dust acts similarly when added to psychological stress. Age and sex affect health, with children and women showing different vulnerabilities from men due to different biochemical and physiological characteristics. Miners in regulated industry are usually adults between school leaving age and retirement, with men more likely in many countries to be working at the mine face and women in less physically-demanding posts, each with differing exposures to toxins and other disease determinants. Older miners are likely to have longer exposures to dust and toxins. In artisanal and small-scale mining, children are found working directly in the mines, or more likely in the recovery processes; for example, exposed to Hg in the amalgamation process in gold extraction.

Environmental determinants

Wider environmental quality: Pollution of water, soil, or air by PM of the wider inhabited area around a mine can affect the local food sources and hence diet, with immediate and long-term effects (Abdullah et al. 2016)

Living conditions: Deprivation is a major determinant of ill health. Mining wastes, the poor and deprived, and the most vulnerable members of the community are often found in juxtaposition. Exposed communities commonly comprise historically marginalized ethnic groups living in informal settlements, state, or Government supported housing and retirement homes (e.g. Lewis et al. 2017). Living near a mine and spoil or a metal-emitting industry put people at risk of exposure to PM and associated metals ("neighbourhood exposure") (Dignam et al. 2019). Elderly people exposed to mining waste, which is often open to being spread widely by wind and rain, suffer a disproportionate burden of lung diseases (Nkosi et al. 2015). Family and friends can be exposed ("bystander exposure") to dust brought home on clothes, and develop related diseases, including Pb poisoning or lung cancer.

Human and societal determinants

Individual characteristics and inheritance (e.g. genetic): Gender, age and genetic inheritance contribute to vulnerability to the effects of exposure to environmental pollutants. Children's unique physiology, development and behaviour can influence the extent of their exposure (Baker et al. 2012). Children are not small adults; they differ from adults in their exposures and may differ in their susceptibility to hazardous chemicals, sometimes more, sometimes less. It is unlikely that a set of genes exist which give an easy molecular signature for toxicity to metals or other mined commodities. Nevertheless, genetic diversity clearly modifies the body's response to various exposures, even if the evidence is not detailed. Specific genes have been associated with coal workers' pneumoconiosis susceptibility (Liu et al, 2018), or protection (Han et al, 2015) in a Chinese population, and decreased lung function in smokers living in the vicinity of Indian coal mines (Dey et al, 2014). However, distinguishing between genes, epigenetic switches and socio-economic status ("nature and nurture") can be difficult and will take much more work: developing the exposome should help.

Personal and lifestyle choices: Smoking can increase susceptibility to silicosis in miners (Weng et al, 2015), whilst nutritional status enhances or reduces the absorption of metals following exposure. For example, poor nutrition increases Pb absorption and toxicity (Mason et al. 2014). Employment and housing in or near mines or

places affected by metalliferous dusts may reflect lifestyle choices or the lack of them, easily compounded by related deprivation and socio-economic issues.

Healthcare. The level of emergency medical services infrastructure and preparedness differs significantly between countries (Engström et al. 2018). Furthermore, non-emergency health care, where available, is typically focused on treatment, when a more fundamental need is prevention.

Social capital. Family and friends and the culture of the home community can put implicit or explicit pressure on workers to keep earning despite poor working conditions, and poor health, thus increasing exposure to dust and toxins, while adding to stress. In contrast, social mobilisation can drive positive changes and good social support is health protective (Mariotti, 2015).

Education. Education affects health in the long term, at the individual, community and wider society levels, through complex personal and social interactions (Zimmerman et al. 2015). Education can improve health through a multitude of channels: greater ability to access information and services, proficiency to navigate bureaucracies, greater political participation and voice (Kordas et al. 2018). Many miners suffer a lack of education, which limits their job prospects, pushing them into artisanal mining and trapping them in poverty (Wilson et al. 2015).

National & international context: In many ways, the global economy /trade has the biggest impact on health from mining given its influence on the 'safety climate' (Engström et al. 2018). Furthermore, national health and safety regulations may exist, but be poorly complied with, often due to (global) economics. Mines that followed the US Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) guidelines, were less likely to report lung disease (Yorio et al. 2014). The dynamics of human populations in mining communities also has a role to play, such as the length of time spent in the affected area, nature of community infrastructure i.e. old productive *versus* new and transient mining activity.

Health outcomes and response

Ill-health may be visualised as a pyramid (dimension (scale) of the disease burden, Figure 1 innermost circle) with decreasing numbers from those at risk of exposure to those who die. The number of people dying is easier to ascertain than the number of those exposed, although apportioning a cause of death to specific disorders and pathological processes can be tricky (Adeyinka and Bailey 2018).

There is increasing evidence of a link between environmental pollution and preventable diseases, especially in developing countries. A comprehensive review of published epidemiological literature investigating environmental chemical exposure in Thai children concluded that exposure to PTEs (including As, Pb, Cd) in industrial and mining areas is one of three main types of chemical exposure, together with pesticides and air pollution. Major health outcomes included detrimental effects on cognitive function and cancer risk. Furthermore, the authors pointed out increasing concern, but little acknowledgment, about the effects of chronic mining-related exposure to PTEs such as As (Sinitkul et al. 2018).

Exposure to PM can initiate or enhance disease in humans. With respect to cancers, then Cr, Ni and As are known carcinogens, while the evidence is less clear for Pb and Fe (cf. Table 2). The consensus on Pb is that

given its ubiquitous occurrence and many different forms it is hard to categorically evaluate its ability to cause cancer. The IARC list Pb as 'possibly carcinogenic to humans' (IARC group 2B), inorganic Pb compounds as 'probably carcinogenic to humans' (IARC group 2A) and organic Pb compounds as 'not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans' (IARC group 3), but may be metabolised to ionic, inorganic lead and so become 2A (Table 2). Mining however can lead to an increased risk of contracting other diseases: increased pulmonary tuberculosis has been recorded in underground Cu miners in Zambia (Ngosa and Naidoo 2016). A high prevalence of wheeze (a symptom of asthma) and rhino-conjunctivitis has been noted among the elderly in communities located near mine dumps (Nkosi et al. 2015). Significantly increased risk of larynx cancer has been observed for many production and transport professions, including miners (Bayer et al, 2016), possibly related to PM exposure.

Whilst an epidemiological association has been demonstrated between mortality and morbidity in lung cancer and cardiovascular diseases and exposure to PM the actual mechanisms of this relationship remain unclear. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a slowly progressing disease characterized by a gradual loss of lung function, causes 4% of overall global disease burden (GBD, 2017). The most important risk factor is active smoking, but other risk factors are occupational or environmental (including coal and hard-rock mining), and socio-economic depravation in childhood (Boschetto et al. 2006).

Asthma, an inflammatory respiratory condition, is a major cause of disability, health-care utilization and reduced quality of life and accounts for approximately 1% of global overall disease burden (GBD, 2017). Inhaled PM induces oxidative stress leading to inflammatory responses in the airways and bronchial hyper-reactivity and metal fumes are well-recognised causes of occupational asthma, but the contribution of metal dusts to non-occupational asthma is less obvious. High blood Pb is correlated with having asthma in children, but the meaning is unclear (Wu et al. 2018). Other diseases linked to metal exposures (with or without air pollution) include chronic neurological disorders such as Parkinsonism and Alzheimer's disease (including Al, Cu, Mn,) (Ascher and Costa 2017), renal and liver disorders (Table 2).

This multifactorial landscape generates a complex relationship between mining, metalliferous dusts and human health (Figure 1). Differences in health outcomes occur both temporally and spatially and are overlain by differences in management of the hazard and in the extent of, and behaviours towards, regulatory compliance. Risk assessment and health and safety management are important elements of most industries, including mining, and have a significant role to play in reducing incidences of mining-related ill-health. Risk management refers to all activities and procedures undertaken to manage the inherent risks of that particular industry. Risk management does not eliminate risk, but supports the identification of appropriate, and proportionate, strategies and control measures to manage them. Dust abatement strategies are the primary preventative measure and improved ventilation, more effective dust extraction systems, as well as water spraying systems have been linked to reduced incidences of mining related diseases (Johann-Essex et al. 2017). Regulatory limits are also important here, whilst safety organizations, workers' unions and senior managers also need to be active, not passive bystanders (Zhang et al. 2016).

Current risk assessment guidance still principally focuses on a chemical-by-chemical approach, and has not kept pace with research into the possible synergistic or antagonistic toxicological effects of complex environmental mixtures (Adebambo et al. 2015). A review of studies on the relationships between exposure to Pb, As and Cd and neurodevelopmental outcomes in prenatal and early childhood identified the synergistic effect of combined mixtures on health outcomes (Stackelberg et al. 2015). There is also a need to build non-chemical stressors into our risk assessment models. Parallels can be drawn with air pollution studies where combined exposure to psychosocial stress and particulate air pollution has been shown to be worse than exposure to either alone (Ailshire et al. 2017). However, we acknowledge that there are chemical and physical differences between metalliferous mine dusts and traffic sourced PMs and so we need to be cautious about what can be safely inferred from traffic PM-related research.

Exposure to metalliferous dusts remains a significant environmental health concern, especially in many low- and middle-income countries where dust emitting industries are often less well regulated (Kordas et al. 2018). Whilst occupational exposure to metalliferous dust has long been recognized as potentially hazardous to workers health, community-wide interventions to protect vulnerable communities, including integrating biomonitoring and surveillance (e.g. miners' lung function) into existing health programs and surveys, need to be promoted alongside local and national-level environmental control policies (Kordas et al, 2018). Arquette et al. (2002) describe a community defined risk assessment and risk management model, emphasising the important contribution Native people can bring in terms of traditional, cultural and ecologic knowledge of their environment. Semi-qualitative and qualitative research is also important for assessing and better targeting individuals' and at-risk subgroups risk perceptions and behaviours (Haas and Mattson, 2016).

Future risk assessment approaches should strive to identify and integrate the effects of exposure to complex chemical mixtures, non-chemical stressors (such as socio-economic and cultural drivers), alongside individual differences (e.g. genetic variability). We are still a long way from a regulatory environment that drives the development of risk assessment methods that consider the breadth of potential stressors. The complex interplay of advances in scientific and technological capability, with economic and political drivers, all play a part, but a fuller commentary on this is beyond the scope of this review.

Conclusions

Research linking environment to human health is starting to mature, highlighting the subtlety of multiple exposures, mixtures of substances and the cumulative legacy effects of life in disrupted and stressed environments. We are developing more refined biomarkers of these responses which enhances our appreciation of the burden of effects on society and also directs us to more sophisticated investigation of risk assessment processes. Yet the disparity of the global geography of disease burden remains a challenge. Combating environmental hazards has remained a major area of concern for the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs, United Nations, 2016). Despite ~25% of global disease burden being related to environmental factors (Prüss-Ustün et al. 2017), identifying health impacts from exposure to chronic/acute hazards from dust and understanding the complex relationship remains challenging. How we utilise and interact with our environment

adds another layer of complexity. Academics could do more to develop evidence-based solutions to promote relevant preventive activities to issues around the sustainable extraction and use of mineral resources, working in partnership with government, industry and communities, local, national and international (Kordas et al. 2018). The development of a shared understanding of the research gaps, information access, and the needs of stakeholder/user communities, together with the enablers and challenges, is vital to address local to global health and wellbeing challenges arising from environmental pollution, such as from mining and mine related metalliferous dusts. Research at the environment - health nexus has traditionally been viewed as too environmental for funding bodies in the geosciences, yet too environmentally focussed for health organisations and related charitable funders. We need to tackle this deficit if we are to embrace the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and achieve the UN SDG of good health and wellbeing.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Human and Animal Rights. This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:
• Of importance
•• Of major importance

References

1. • Abdullah NH, Mohamed N, Sulaiman LH, Zakaria TA, Rahim DA. Potential Health Impacts of Bauxite Mining in Kuantan. Malays J Med Sci 2016:23(3):1–8. PMID: 27418864 **Clearly illustrated review of the integration of mining processes, hazards, and impacts on environment, socio-economics and health.**
2. Adebambo, OA, Ray, PD, Shea, D, Fry, RC. Toxicological responses of environmental mixtures: Environmental metal mixtures display synergistic induction of metal-responsive and oxidative stress genes in placental cells. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 2015:289:534–541.

3. Adeyinka A, Bailey K. Death, Certification. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2018. Available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526015/

4. Ahn, JS, Park, YS, Kim, J, Kim K. Mineralogical and geochemical characterization of arsenic in an abandoned mine tailings of Korea. Env Geochem Heal 2005:27:147–157. Doi:10.1007/s10653-005-0121-8.

5. • Ailshire J, Karraker A, Clarke P. Neighbourhood social stressors, fine particulate matter air pollution, and cognitive function among older U.S. adults. Soc Sci Med. 2017:172:56-63. Doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2016. **This paper shows how particles interact with social stressors to produce a poorer health outcome.**

6. Alpers CN. Arsenic and mercury contamination related to historical goldmining in the Sierra Nevada, California. Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis 2017:17:92-100. Doi: 10.1144/geochem2016-018.

7. Antoszczyszyn T, Michalska A. The potential risk of environmental contamination by mercury contained in Polish coal mining waste. J Sustain Min 2016:15:191–196. Doi:10.1016/j.jsm.2017.04.002.

8. Arquette M, Cole M, Cook K, LaFrance, Peters M, Ransom J, Sargent E, Smoke V, Stairs A. Holistic risk-based environmental decision making: A Native perspective. Environ Health Perspect 2002:110:259–264.

9. Argyraki A. Garden soil and house dust as exposure media for lead uptake in the mining village of Stratoni, Greece. Env Geochem Heal 2014:36:677–692. Doi:10.1007/s10653-013-9589-9.

10. Arnold C. A scourge returns. Black lung in Appalachia. Environmental Health Perspectives 2016:124: A13-8.

11. Ascher M, Costa LG: Advances in Neurotoxicology; Environmental factors in neurodegenerative diseases. Cambridge, MA: Academic Press. 2017. **This book examines in detail environmental links to Parkinsonism, Alzheimer's Disease and other chronic brain diseases.**

12. ATSDR. Agency for toxic substances and disease registry. Toxic substances portal. Atlanta, GA, USA: ATSDR. 2011-2018. https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/indexAZ.asp#A Accessed 14 January 2019.

13. Baker D, Karalliede L, Murray V, Maynard R, Parkinson N. editors: Essentials of Toxicology for Health Protection: A Handbook for Field Professionals. 2nd ed. Oxford: Open University Press: 2012.

14. Basu N, Clarke E, Green A, Calys-Tagoe B, Chan L, Dzodzomenyo M, Fobil J, Long RN, Neitzel RL, Obiri S, Odei E, Ovadje L, Quansah R, Rajaee M, Wilson ML. Integrated assessment of artisanal and small-scale gold mining in Ghana - part 1: human health review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2015:12:5143-5176. Doi:10.3390/ijerph120505143.

15. Bayer O, Cámara R, Zeissig SR, Ressing M, Dietz A, Locati LD, Ramroth H, Singer S. Occupation and cancer of the larynx: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2016:273:9-20. Doi: 10.1007/s00405-014-3321-y.

16. Balabanova B, Stafilov T, Sajn R, Tanaselia C. Long-term geochemical evolution of lithogenic versus anthropogenic distribution of macro and trace elements in household attic dust. Biljana Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 2017:72:88–107.

17. Barbieri E, Fontúrbel FE, Herbas C, Barbieri FL, Gardon J. Indoor metallic pollution and children exposure in a mining city. Sci Total Environ 2014:487:13–19. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.03.136.

18. Beukes JP, Preez SP du, Zyl PG van, Paktunc D, Fabritius T, Paatalo M, Cramer, M. Review of Cr (VI) environmental practices in the chromite mining and smelting industry - Relevance to development of the Ring of Fire Canada. J Clean Prod 2017:165:874–889. Doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.07.176.

19. Bignasca A, Ianni C, Magi E, Rivaro P. Using proteolytic enzymes to assess metal bioaccessibility in marine sediments. Talanta 2011:86:305–315. Doi:10.1016/j.talanta.2011.09.018.

20. Boschetto P, Quintavalle S, Miotto D, Lo Cascio N, Zeni E, Mapp CE. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and occupational exposures. J Occup Med Toxicol 2006:1:1-11. Doi:10.1186/1745-6673-1-11.

21. Boev B, Stafilov T, Bačeva K, Šorša A, Boev I. Influence of a nickel smelter plant on the mineralogical composition of attic dust in the Tikveš Valley, Republic of Macedonia. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2013: 20:3781-3788. Doi:10.1007/s11356-012-1318-x.

22. Boisa N, Elom N, Dean JR, Deary M, Bird G, Entwistle JA. Development and application of an inhalation bioaccessibility method (IBM) for lead in the PM_{10} size fraction of soil. Environment International 2014:70:132–142. Doi:10.1016/j.envint.2014.05.021.

23. Breshears DD, Whicker JJ, Sáez AE, Field JP. Introduction to a special issue of aeolian research: Airborne mineral dust contaminants: Impacts on human health and the environment. Aeolian Research 2014:14:1-2.

24. Cameron DG: Use Guide for the BRITPITS GIS dataset. Open ReportOR/13/016. British Geological Survey. 2012.

25. • Cesaro A, Marra A, Kuchta K, Begiorno V, Van Hullebusch ED. WEEE management in a circular economy perspective: an overview. Global NEST Journal 2018:20:743-750. Doi:10.30955/gnj.002623. **Contains a useful inventory of metals and other contaminants.**

26. Chen Y, Luo X, Zhao Z, Chen Q, Wu D, Sun X, Wu L, Jin L. Summer – winter differences of $PM_{2.5}$ toxicity to human alveolar epithelial cells (A549) and the roles of transition metals. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 2018:165:505–509. Doi:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.09.034.

27. Cipullo S, Prpich G, Campo P, Coulon F. Assessing bioavailability of complex chemical mixtures in contaminated soils: Progress made and research needs. Sci Total Environ 2018:615:708–723. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.321. **This article offers valuable insights to move forward the integration of bioavailability into site-specific risk assessment**

28. •• Clippinger AJ, Allen D, Jarabek AM, Corvaro M, Gaça M, Gehen S, Hotchkiss JA, Patlewicz G, Melbourne J, Hinderliter P, Yoon M, Huh D, Lowit A, Buckley B, Bartels M, BéruBé K, Wilson DM, Indans I, Vinken M. Alternative approaches for acute inhalation toxicity testing to address global regulatory and non-regulatory data requirements: An international workshop report. Toxicology in Vitro 2018:48:53–70. **This paper summarizes the presentations and discussions that took place at the Alternative Approaches for Identifying Acute Systemic Toxicity: Moving from Research to Regulatory Testing workshop in 2015**

29. Clougherty JE, Rossi CA, Lawrence J, Long MS, Diaz EA, Lim RH, McEwen B, Koutrakis P, Godleski JJ. Chronic Social Stress and Susceptibility to Concentrated Ambient Fine Particles in Rats. Environ Health Perspect 2010:118:769-775. Doi:10.1289/ehp.0901631.

30. Cosgrove MP. Pulmonary fibrosis and exposure to steel welding fume. Occup Med (Lond) 2015:65:706-12. Doi:10.1093/occmed/kqv093.

31. •• Considine R, Tynan R, James C, Wiggers J, Lewin T, Inder K, Perkins D, Handley T, Kelly B. The contribution of individual, social and work characteristics to employee mental health in a coal mining industry population. PLoS One 2017:12:e0168445. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168445. **This paper offers a guide into the effects of personal, occupational and social on health in Australian miners.**

32. Crane RA, Sinnett DE, Cleall PJ, Sapsford DJ. Physicochemical composition of wastes and co-located environmental designations at legacy mine sites in the south west of England and Wales: Implications for their resource potential. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 2017:123:117-134.

33. Csavina J, Field J, Taylor M.P, Gao S, Landazuri A, Betterton EA, Saez, AE. A review on the importance of metals and metalloids in atmospheric dust and aerosol from mining operations. Sci. Total Environ 2012:433:58–73.

34. • DeBord DG, Carreón T, Lentz TJ, Middendorf PJ, Hoover MD, Schulte PA. Use of the "Exposome" in the practice of epidemiology: A primer on -omic technologies. American Journal of Epidemiology 2016:184:302–314. Doi:10.1093/aje/kwv325. **A helpful introduction to the exposome, the strengths, pitfalls, issues of study design, and interlinks with epidemiology and omic disciplines.**

35. Dellinger B, Pryor WA, Cueto R, Squadrito GL, Hegde V, Deutsch WA. Role of free radicals in the toxicity of airborne fine particulate matter. Chem Res Toxicol 2001:14:1371–1377. Doi:10.1021/tx010050x.

36. Dey T, Gogoi K, Unni BG, Kalita M, Bharadwaz M, Bhattacharjee M, Boruah PK, Bora T, Ozah D, Kalita M. Role of glutathione S transferase polymorphism in COPD with special reference to peoples living in the vicinity of the open cast coal mine of Assam. PLoS One 2014:9:e96739. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096739.

37. Deutz P, Baxter H, Gibbs D, Mayes WM, Gomes HI. Resource recovery and remediation of highly alkaline residues: A political industrial ecology approach to building a circular economy. Geoforum

2017:85:336-344.

38. Dignam T, Pomales A, Werner L, Newbern EC, Hodge J, Nielsen J, Grober A, Scruton K, Young R, Kelly J, Brown MJ. Assessment of child lead exposure in a Philadelphia community. Journal of Public Health Management and Practice 2019:25:53–61. Doi:10.1097/PHH.0000000000000711.

39. Duffy CM, Swanson J, Northrop W, Nixon JP, Butterick TA. Microglial immune response to low concentrations of combustion-generated nanoparticles: An in vitro model of brain health. Nanomaterials 2018:8:155. Doi:10.3390/nano8030155.

40. Engström KG, Angrén J, Björnstig U, Saveman BI. Mass casualty incidents in the underground mining industry: Applying the Haddon matrix on an integrative literature review. Disaster Med Public Health Prep 2018:12:138-146. Doi:10.1017/dmp.2017.31.

41. Ettler V, Polák L, Mihaljevi, M, Ratié G, Garnier J, Quantin C. Oral bioaccessibility of inorganic contaminants in waste dusts generated by laterite Ni ore smelting. Env Geochem Heal 2018:40:1699–1712. Doi:10.1007/s10653-016-9875-4.

42. • Fonseca-Nunes A, Jakszyn P, Agudo A. Iron and cancer risk - a systematic review and meta-analysis of the epidemiological evidence. Cancer Epidemiol Markers Prev 2014:23:12-31. Doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-13-0733. **This study evaluated the cancer risk from increasing heme (organic) iron intake, and gives direction for further work on the protective nature of biomarkers for iron stores.**

43. •• Fernandez-Twinn DS, Constância M, Ozanne SE. Intergenerational epigenetic inheritance in models of developmental programming of adult disease. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2015:43:85–95. **This paper offers a clear introduction to epigenetics, including maternal and paternal contributions to transgenerational inheritance.**

44. •• GBD 2015 Chronic Respiratory Disease Collaborators. Global, regional, and national deaths, prevalence, disability-adjusted life years, and years lived with disability for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. Lancet Respir Med 2017:5:691–706. Doi:10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30293-X. **A useful paper exploring the changing face of respiratory disease in time and space.**

45. •• Gordon S, Daneshian M. Bouwstra J, Caloni F, Constant S, Davies DE, Dandekar G, Guzman CA, Fabian E, Haltner E, Hartung T, Hasiwa N, Hayden P, Kandarova H, Khare S, Krug HF, Kneuer C, Leist M, Lian G, Marx U, Metzger M, Ott K, Prieto P, Roberts MS, Roggen EL, Tralau T, van den Braak C, Walles H, Lehr CM. Non-animal models of epithelial barriers (skin, intestine and lung) in research, industrial applications and regulatory toxicology. ALTEX 2015:32:327–378. **The article presents the current state of the art of non-animal models of the skin, intestinal and pulmonary barriers and discusses future directions for the development of new models and the refinement of existing test methods.**

46. Guney M, Chapuis RP, Zagury, GJ. Lung bioaccessibility of contaminants in particulate matter of geological origin. Environ Sci Pollut Res 2016:23:24422–24434. Doi:10.1007/s11356-016-6623-3.

47. Guney M, Bourges CMJ, Chapuis RP, Zagury GJ. Lung bioaccessibility of As, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn in fine fraction (< 20 μm) from contaminated soils and mine tailings. Sci Total Environ 2017:579: 378–386. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.086.

48. Haas EJ, Mattson M. A qualitative comparison of susceptibility and behavior in recreational and occupational risk environments: Implications for promoting health and safety. J Health Commun 2016:21:705-13. Doi: 10.1080/10810730.2016.1153765.

49. Han R, Ji X, Wu B, Wang T, Han L, Yang J, Zhu B, Ni C. Polymorphisms in interleukin 17A gene and coal workers' pneumoconiosis risk in a Chinese population. BMC Pulmonary Medicine. 2015:15:79. Doi: 10.1186/s12890-015-0076-1.

50. Harrington AD, Tsirka SE, Schoonen MA. Inflammatory stress response in A549 cells as a result of

exposure to coal: Evidence for the role of pyrite in coal workers' pneumoconiosis pathogenesis. Chemosphere 2013:93:1216–1221.

51. Harrington AD, Smirnov A, Tsirka SE, Schoonen MA. Metal-sulfide mineral ores, Fenton chemistry and disease – Particle induced inflammatory stress response in lung cells. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 2015:218:19–27.

52. • Hettiarachchi E, Paul S, Cadol D, Frey B, Rubasinghege G. Mineralogy controlled dissolution of uranium from airborne dust in simulated lung fluids (SLFs) and possible health implications. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2019:in press. Doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00557 **Highlights the differential solubility of U mineral in different in vitro lung fluids and demonstrates the extracts accord with a predictive geochemical model**

53. Hitzman, M.W., Bookstrom, A.A., Slack, J.F., and Zientek, M.L., 2017, Cobalt—Styles of deposits and the search for primary deposits: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2017–1155, 47p., https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr20171155.

54. •• IARC. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans. 2018. https://monographs.iarc.fr/agents-classified-by-the-iarc/ Accessed 14 January 2019. **This is the access page to every chemical that has been reviewed for carcinogenic potential by the IARC.**

55. Jacobs M, Pienaar J. Stress, coping and safety compliance in a multinational gold mining company. Int J Occup Saf Ergon 2017:23:152-161. Doi:10.1080/10803548.2016.1263476.

56. Jerrett M, Burnett R, Brook J, Kanaroglou P, Giovis C, Finkelstein N, Hutchison B. Do socioeconomic characteristics modify the short term association between air pollution and mortality? Evidence from a zonal time series in Hamilton, Canada. J Epidemiol Community Health 2004:58:31-40. Doi:10.1136/jech.58.1.31.

57. Jiang XQ, Mei XD, Feng D. Air pollution and chronic airway diseases: what should people know and do? J Thorac Dis. 2016:8:E31-40.

58. Johann-Essex V, Keles C, Rezaee M, Scaggs-Witte M, Sarver E. Respirable coal mine dust characteristics in samples collected in central and northern Appalachia International Journal of Coal Geology 2017:182:85–93.

59. • Juhasz AL, Herde P, Herde C, Boland J, Smith E. Predicting Arsenic Relative Bioavailability Using Multiple in Vitro Assays: Validation of in Vivo − in Vitro Correlations. Environ Sci Technol 2015:49:11167–75. Doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b02508. **This article provides a good comparison of several different protocols.**

60. Kasprzak KS, Waalkes MP. The role of calcium, magnesium, and zinc in carcinogenesis. Adv Exp Med Biol 1986:206:497-515.

61. • Kastury F, Smith E, Juhasz AL. A critical review of approaches and limitations of inhalation bioavailability and bioaccessibility of metal(loid)s from ambient particulate matter or dust. Science of the Total Environment 2017:574:1054–1074. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.056. **Detailed reviews of experiments with simulated lung fluids.**

62. Kastury F, Smith E, Karna RR, Scheckel KG, Juhasz AL. Methodological factors influencing inhalation bioaccessibility of metal(loid)s in PM2.5 using simulated lung fluid. Environ Pollut. 2018:241:930–7. Doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2018.05.094

63. •• Kelly FJ, Fussell JC. Role of oxidative stress in cardiovascular disease outcomes following exposure to ambient air pollution. Free Radic Biol Med 2017:110:345-367. Doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2017.06.019. **This paper offers a detailed and comprehensive review**

of the evidence from animals, humans and in vitro studies across a range of cardiovascular diseases.

64. Kim CS, Tyler A, Goldstein D, Rytuba JJ. Windborne transport and surface enrichment of arsenic in semi-arid mining regions: Examples from the Mojave Desert, California. Aeolian Research 2014:14:85-96.

65. • Kordas K, Ravenscroft J, Cao Y, McLean EV. Lead Exposure in Low and Middle-Income Countries: Perspectives and Lessons on Patterns, Injustices, Economics, and Politics. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2018:15:E2351. **The article takes a multi-disciplinary approach to examine the patterns of lead exposure in a range of countries**

66. Kristensen LJ, Taylor MP, Morrison AL. Lead and zinc dust depositions from ore trains characterised using lead isotopic compositions. Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts 2015:17:631-637.

67. •• Landrigan PJ, Fuller R, Acosta NJR, Adeyi O, Arnold R, Basu NN. et al. (2018). The Lancet Commission on pollution and health. The Lancet 3:391:462-512. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32345-0. **A landmark paper that reviews of the way pollution affects health.**

68. Latvala S, Hedberg J, Di Bucchianico S, Möller L, Odnevall Wallinder I, Elihn K, et al. Nickel release, ROS generation and toxicity of Ni and NiO micro- and nanoparticles. PLoS ONE 2016:11:e0159684. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159684.

69. •• Lewis J, Hoover J, MacKenzie D. Mining and environmental health disparities in Native American communities. Curr Envir Health Rpt 2017:4:130–141. Doi:10.1007/s40572-017-0140-5. **This article provides a helpful review of different aspects of legacy of U mining, deprivation, and social issues, with resulting health effects in Native American Indians due to proximity to mines, which is applicable in other communities.**

70. Li Y, Demisie W, Zhang M. The function of digestive enzymes on Cu, Zn, and Pb release from soil in in vitro digestion tests. Env Sci Pollut Res 2013:20:4993–5002. Doi: 10.1007/s11356-013-1472-9.

71. Liu Z, Ren Z, Zhang J, Chuang C-C, Kandaswamy E, Zhou T and Zuo L. Role of ROS and nutritional antioxidants in human diseases. Front Physiol 2018:9:477. Doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00477.

72. • Lu S, Hao X, Liu D, Wang Q, Zhang W, Liu P, et al. Mineralogical characterization of ambient fine/ultrafine particles emitted from Xuanwei C1 coal combustion. Atmos Res 2016:169:17–23. Doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2015.09.020. **This article describes with significant detail the mineralogy of ultrafine particles emitted by coal combustion and further considers the potential health risk associated with human exposure to nano-minerals in particulate matter.**

73. Lynch SR: Iron physiology. In: Caballero B, editor. Encyclopedia of Food Sciences and Nutrition, 2nd edition. 2003. Doi: 10.1016/B0-12-227055-X/00651-9.

74. Maier RM, Díaz-Barriga F, Field JA, Hopkins J, Klein B, Poulton MM. Socially responsible mining: the relationship between mining and poverty, human health and the environment. Rev Environ Health 2014:29:83-9.

75. Mariotti A. The effects of chronic stress on health: new insights into the molecular mechanisms of brain–body communication. Future Science OA 2015:1:FSO23. Doi:10.4155/fso.15.21.

76. Marsit CJ. Influence of environmental exposure on human epigenetic regulation. J Exp Biol 2015:218:71-79. Doi:10.1242/jeb.106971.

77. Martin R, Dowling K, Pearce D, Sillitoe J, Florentine S. Health Effects Associated with Inhalation of Airborne Arsenic Arising from Mining Operations. Geosciences 2014:4:128–75. Doi:10.3390/geosciences4030128.

78. Mason LH, Harp JP, Han DY. Pb neurotoxicity: Neuropsychological effects of lead toxicity. BioMed Res Int 2014:2014:ID840547. Doi: 10.1155/2014/840547.

79. Matschullat J. Arsenic in the geosphere—A review. Sci. Total Environ 2000:249:297–312.

80. **•** Mehta N, Cocerva T, Cipullo S, Padoan E, Antonella G, Ajmone-marsan F, et al. Linking oral bioaccessibility and solid phase distribution of potentially toxic elements in extractive waste and soil from an abandoned mine site: Case study in Campello Monti, NW Italy. Sci Total Environ 2019:651: 2799–810. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.115 **This article presents a comprehensive, up-to-date discussion of how bioaccessibility and solid phase distribution of chemical elements, as well as the mineralogy of mine wastes, are key factors to the distribution, behaviour, and fate of potentially toxic elements in the environment.**

81. Middleton DRS, Watts MJ, Beriro DJ, Hamilton EM, Leonardi GS, Fletcher T, Close M, Polya DA. Arsenic in residential soil and household dust in Cornwall, south west England: potential human exposure and the influence of historical mining. Environ Sci Process Impacts 2017:19:517–527. Doi:10.1039/C6EM00690F.

82. Ngosa K, Naidoo RN. The risk of pulmonary tuberculosis in underground copper miners in Zambia exposed to respirable silica: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2016:16:855. Doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3547-2.

83. **•** Nemery B, Banza C, Nkulu L. Assessing exposure to metals using biomonitoring: Achievements and challenges experienced through surveys in low- and middle-income countries. Toxicol Lett 2018:298:13–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.06.004. **The article highlights the role biomonitoring data can play for improved enforcement of legislation to protect workers and citizens against the hazards posed by the mining activities**

84. **•** Nkosi V, Wichmann J, Voyi K. Chronic respiratory disease among the elderly in South Africa: any association with proximity to mine dumps? Environmental Health 2015:14:33. Doi:10.1186/s12940-015-0018-7. **A study looking at possible confounders and independent correlates or health issues.**

85. NRC. National Research Council (US) Committee on Copper in Drinking Water. Copper in Drinking Water. Washington (DC): National Academies Press. 2000. 5, Health Effects of Excess Copper. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK225400/ Accessed 14 January 2019.

86. Oluwaseye FI, Iyakwari S, Idzi AA, Kehinde OH, Osu UH. Qualitative identification of copper bearing minerals using near infrared sensors. Physicochem Probl Miner Process. 2016; 52(2): 620–33.

87. Oomen AG, Rompelberg CJM, Bruil MA, Dobbe CJG, Pereboom DPKH, Sips AJAM. Development of an in vitro digestion model for estimating the bioaccessibility of soil contaminants. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 2003:44:281–287. Doi:10.1007/s00244-002-1278-0.

88. Øvrevik J, Refsnes M, Låg M, Holme JA, Schwarze PE. Activation of proinflammatory responses in cells of the airway mucosa by particulate matter: oxidant- and non-oxidant-mediated triggering mechanisms. Biomolecules 2015:5:1399–1440. Doi:10.3390/biom5031399.

89. **•** Perez MF, Lehner B. Intergenerational and transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in animals. Nature Cell Biology 2019. Doi:10.1038/s41556-018-0242-9. **Most up-to-date discussion on epigenetic inheritance available.**

90. **••** PHE. Public Health England. Chemical hazards compendium. London, UK: PHE. 2017. https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/chemical-hazards-compendium Accessed 14 January 2019. **A good source of simple toxicology of important metals and other toxins.**

91. **•** Pino A, Chiarotti F, Calamandrei G, Gotti A, Karakitsios S, Handakas E, Bocca B, Sarigiannis D, Alimonti A. Human biomonitoring data analysis for metals in an Italian adolescents cohort: An exposome approach. Environmental Research 2017:159:344-354. Doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.08.012. **A helpful, worked example of the exposome.**

92. Predonzani A, Calì B, Agnellini AHR, Molon B. Spotlights on immunological effects of reactive nitrogen species: When inflammation says nitric oxide. World J Exp Med. 2015:5:64–76. Doi: 10.5493/wjem.v5.i2.64.

93. Prüss-Ustün A, Wolf J, Corvalán C, Neville T, Bos R, Neira M. Diseases due to unhealthy environments: an updated estimate of the global burden of disease attributable to environmental determinants of health. Journal of Public Health 2017:39:464–475. Doi:10.1093/pubmed/fdw085.

94. Rytuba JJ. Mercury from mineral deposits and potential environmental impact. Environ Geol 2003:43:326–38. Doi:10.1007/s00254-002-0629-5

95. • Schraufnagel DE, Balmes JR, Cowl CT, De Matteis S, Jung SH, Mortimer K, Perez-Padilla R, Rice MB, Riojas-Rodriguez H, Sood A, Thurston GD, To T, Vanker A, Wuebbles DJ. Air Pollution and Noncommunicable Diseases: A Review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, Part 1: The Damaging Effects of Air Pollution. Chest. 2018:S0012-3692(18)32723-5. Doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2018.10.042. **This paper and the next offer up-to-date review of how and what air pollution contributes to health.**

96. • Schraufnagel DE, Balmes JR, Cowl CT, De Matteis S, Jung SH, Mortimer K, Perez-Padilla R, Rice MB, Riojas-Rodriguez H, Sood A, Thurston GD, To T, Vanker A, Wuebbles DJ. Air Pollution and Noncommunicable Diseases: A Review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, Part 2: Air Pollution and Organ Systems. Chest 2018:S0012-3692(18)32722-3. Doi:10.1016/j.chest.2018.10.041. **This paper and the previous one offer up-to-date review of how and what air pollution contributes to health.**

97. Shi T, Schins RPF, Knaapen AM, Kuhlbusch T, Pitz M, Heinrich J, Borm PJA. Hydroxyl radical generation by electron paramagnetic resonance as a new method to monitor ambient particulate matter composition. J. Environ. Monit 2003:5:550–556. Doi:10.1039/b303928p.

98. Sinitkul R, Wongrathanandha C, Sirirattanapruk S, Plitponkarnpim A, Maude RJ, Marczylo EL. Children's environmental health in Thailand: Past, present, and future. Annals of Global Health 2018: 84:306–329. Doi:10.29024/aogh.2301.

99. Solís-López M, Durán-Moreno A, Rigas F, Morales AA, Navarrete M: Assessment of Copper Slag as a Sustainable Fenton-Type Photocatalyst for Water Disinfection. In: Satinder A, editor. Water Reclamation and Sustainability. USA: Elsevier; 2014.

100. Song X, Shao L, Zheng Q, Yang S. 2015. Characterization of crystalline secondary particles and elemental composition in PM10 of North China. Environ Earth Sci 2015:74:5717–5727. Doi:10.1007/s12665-015-4589-y.

101. Souza E De, Texeira R, Cardoso da Costa H, Oliveira F. Melo L, Faial K, Fernandes A. Assessment of risk to human health from simultaneous exposure to multiple contaminants in an artisanal gold mine in Serra Pelada, Pará, Brazil Sci. Total Environ 2017:576:683–695. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.133.

102. Stackelberg K, Guzy E, Chu T, Henn B. Exposure to mixtures of metals and neurodevelopmental outcomes: A multidisciplinary review using an adverse outcome pathway framework. Risk Analysis 2015:35:971-1016. Doi:10.1111/risa.12425.

103. •• Stewart AG, Hursthouse AS. Environment and human health. The challenge of uncertainty in risk assessment. Geosciences 2018:8:24. Doi:10.3390/geosciences8010024. **A useful review of how public health professionals use and think about environmental determinants of disease. Well illustrated with figures and examples.**

104. Taylor MP, Mould SA, Kristensen LJ, Rouillon M. Environmental arsenic, cadmium and lead dust emissions from metal mine operations : Implications for environmental management, monitoring and human health. Environ Res 2014:135:296–303.

105. • Tian S, Liang T, Li K, Wang L. Source and path identification of metals pollution in a mining area by PMF and rare earth element patterns in road dust. Sci Total Environ 2018:633:958–66. Doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.227 **This article stands for a comprehensive study comprising investigation on pollution levels, source apportionment, and pollution paths. The proposed methodology provides a practical tool for human exposure and health risk assessment studies**

106. United Nations Sustainable development goals: 17 goals to transform our world. 2016. http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/development-agenda/

107. US EPA. US Environmental Protection Agency. Guidance for evaluating the oral bioavailability of metals in soils for use in human health risk assessment. Office of solid waste and emergency response. OSWER 9285.7 80, Washington, DC. 2007. Available from https://nepis.epa.gov/

108. Vignal C, Pichavant M, Alleman LY, Djouina M, Dingreville F, Perdrix E, Waxin C, Alami AO, Gower-Rousseau C, Desreumaux P, Body-Malapel M. Effects of urban coarse particles inhalation on oxidative and inflammatory parameters in the mouse lung and colon. Part Fibre Toxicol 2017:14:46. Doi:10.1186/s12989-017-0227-z.

109. Wang Y, Wang R, Fan L, Chen T, Bai Y, Yu Q, et al. Assessment of multiple exposure to chemical elements and health risks among residents near Huodehong lead-zinc mining area in Yunnan, Southwest China. Chemosphere 2017:174:613–27. Doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.01.055

110. Weng S, Wang L, Rong Y, Liu Y, Wang X, Guan H, Chen W. Effects of the Interactions between Dust Exposure and Genetic Polymorphisms in Nalp3, Caspase-1, and IL-1β on the Risk of Silicosis: A Case-Control Study. PLoS One 2015:10:e0140952. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0140952.

111. Whitmee S, Haines A, Beyrer C, Boltz F, Capon AG, de Souza Dias BF, Ezeh A, Frumkin H, Gong P., Head P, Horton R, Mace GM, Marten R, Myers SS, Nishtar S, Osofsky SA, Pattanayak SK, Pongsiri MJ, Romanelli C, Soucat A, Vega J, Yach D. Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation–Lancet Commission on planetary health. The Lancet 2015:386:1973-2028.

112. Wilson ML, Renne E, Roncoli C, Agyei-Baffour P, Tenkorang EY. Integrated assessment of artisanal and small-scale gold mining in Ghana - Part 3: Social sciences and economics. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2015:12:8133-56. Doi:10.3390/ijerph120708133.

113. WHO. World Health Organisation. Childhood Lead Poisoning. Switzerland 2010. http://www.who.int/ceh/publications/leadguidance.pdf. Accessed 14 December 2018.

114. Wragg J, Cave M, Basta N, Brandon E, Casteel S, Denys S, Gron C, Oomen A, Reimer K, Tack K, Van de Wiele T. An inter-laboratory trial of the unified BARGE bioaccessibility method for arsenic, cadmium and lead in soil. Sci. Total Environ 2011:409:4016–4030. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.019.

115. Wu K-G, Chang C-Y, Yen C-Y, Lai C-C. Associations between environmental heavy metal exposure and childhood asthma: A population-based study. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection 2018:in press. Doi:10.1016/j.jmii.2018.08.001.

116. Xiao Z, Shao L, Zhang N, Wang J, Chuang H-C, Deng Z, Wang Z, BéruBé K. A toxicological study of inhalable particulates in an industrial region of Lanzhou City, northwestern China: Results from plasmid scission assay. Aeolian Research 2014:14:25-23.

117. Yang M, Xiao W, Yang X, Zhang P. Processing Mineralogy Study on Lead and Zinc Oxide Ore in Sichuan. Metals (Basel) 2016:6:93. Doi:10.3390/met6040093.

118. Yin H, Tan N, Liu C, Wang J, Liang X, Qu M, et al. The associations of heavy metals with crystalline iron oxides in the polluted soils around the mining areas in Guangdong Province, China. Chemosphere 2016:161:181–9. Doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.07.018.

119. Yang K, Cattle SR. Bioaccessibility of lead in urban soil of Broken Hill, Australia: A study based on in vitro digestion and the IEUBK model. Sci Total Environ 2015:538:922–33. Doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.084.

120. Yorio PL, Willmer DR, Haight JM. Interpreting MSHA citations through the lens of occupational health and safety management systems: investigating their impact on mine injuries and illnesses 2003-2010. Risk Anal 2014:34:1538-53. Doi:10.1111/risa.12164.

121. •• Zimmerman EB, Woolf SH, Haley A: Understanding the relationship between education and health: A review of the evidence and an examination of community perspectives. In: Kaplan R, Spittel M, David D. editors. Population Health: Behavioral and Social Science Insights. AHRQ Publication No. 15-0002. Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality and Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research, National Institutes of Health. 2015. **Review article, with some US focus, of the interaction between health and education.**

122. Zhang J, Chen N, Fu G, Yan M, Kim YC. The safety attitudes of senior managers in the Chinese coal industry. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2016:13:E1147. Doi:10.3390/ijerph13111147.

123. • Zota AR, Riederer AM, Ettinger AS, Schaider LA, James P, Amarasiriwardena CJ, et al. Associations between metals in residential environmental media and exposure biomarkers over time in infants living near a mining-impacted site. J Expo Sci Env Epidemiol 2016:26:510–9. Doi:10.1038/jes.2015.76.Associations. **This article examines the associations between residential exposure and metal biomarkers in infants living near a mining-impacted site. The paper illustrates well how biomonitoring data can improve our understanding of exposure.**

Fig. 1 Mining related determinants of disease, associated diseases, and dimension (scale) of the disease burden (the 'disease pyramid'© is after Stewart and Hursthouse 2018).

Table 1 Potentially toxic elements (PTEs) in metalliferous mine dust and the common crystalline and amorphous bearing phases.

Table 2 Toxicology of common metal(oid)s in metalliferous mine dust and human carcinogenic status (IARC group).

DETERMINANTS

Environmental

Lifestyle

Personal characteristics

Environmental Quality

DISEASES

Neurological

Liver

Gastro-intestinal

DIMENSION

Respiratory

Kidney

Decreasing no. visible to health professionals

Dies

Increasing No. of individuals affected

Hospitalised

Visits doctor

Symptomatic

Non-Symptomatic; ill effects

Biomarker detectable, no-ill effects

Exposed to causative agents

At risk of exposure

Cardiovascular

Mental health

Occupational

Work related hazards (e.g. geochecmial, environmental))

Social Capital ● Religion and Culture ● Living conitions ● Education

Human & Societal

Table 1

PTE	Common bearing phases
As	Arsenopyrite (FeAsS),is the main As ore mineral and is often found in gold ores; scorodite and iron arsenate (Fe_3AsO_7) are secondary phases in tailings[1]; calcium arsenate on fly ash was found to be associated to the combustion of pyrite enriched coal; arsenic trioxide in particulate form was found in close proximity to smelters and roasters.[2]
Cd	The primary ore of cadmim is the zinc mineral sphalerite; other important Cd-bearing minerals are greenockite (CdS) and hawleyite (CdS); Fe-oxides such as pyrite usually contain important amount of Cd.[3]
Co	Cobalt is almost always a by- or co-product of mining for other base metals, chiefly nickel and copper; cobaltiferous iron sulphides include pyrite (FeS_2) and pyrrhotite $(Fe,Co)_{1-x}S$; other Co-bearing sulphides are cobaltite (CoAsS), erythrite ($Co_3(AsO_4)_2 \cdot 8H_2O$), carrollite $Cu(Co,Ni)_2S_4$, linnaeite Co_3S_4, pentlandite $(Fe,Ni,Co)_9S_8$ and siegenite $(Co, Ni)_3S_4.$[4]
Cr	Chromite is by far the most industrially important mineral for the production of metallic chromium; magnesiochromite ($MgCr2O4$) is a minor ore mineral.[5]
Cu	Chalcopyrite ($CuFeS_2$), chalcocite (Cu_2S), and bornite (Cu_5FeS_4) are important ores; siderite ($FeCO_3$), cuprite (Cu_2O), malachite $[Cu_2(CO_3)(OH)_2]$, azurite ($Cu_3(CO_3)_2(OH)_2$), are minor copper ores.[6]
Fe	Pyrite (FeS_2), hematite (Fe_2O_3), magnetite (Fe_3O_4), goethite [α-FeO(OH)], and limonite ($FeO(OH) \cdot n(H_2O)$) are important ores; pyrite Fe-oxides and Fe-Mn oxyhydroxides are a common hosts of PTEs such as As, Cu and Ni.[2,7]
Hg	Cinnabar (HgS) is the main ore mineral; others include elemental mercury, corderoite ($Hg_3S_2Cl_2$), schwartzite [$(HgCuFe)_{12}Sb_4S_{13}$], and livingstonite ($HgSb_4S_7$)[8]; coal mining wastes have high Hg contents[9]; loss of elemental Hg occurs during amalgamation processing of ore from lode and placer Au deposits.[10]
Ni	Pentlandite [$(Fe,Ni)_9S_8.$] is the most important nickel sulphide mineral and is often associated with nickel-containing pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite. Other nickel-bearing minerals include nickeliferous limonite, nickeliferous goethite, siegenite, and millerite; Ni–Fe alloys, glass and olivine were found in waste dusts (slags, fly ash) generated by laterite Ni ore smelting.[11]
Pb	Galena (PbS) is the main lead ore mineral; anglesite ($PbSO_4$), cerussite ($PbCO_3$), coronadite ($Pb_2Mn_8O_{16}$) and pyromorphite ($Pb_5(PO_4)_3Cl$) are common secondary phases.[12,13]
U	Uraninite (UO_2) is the most important ore of uranium; other U-bearing minerals include autunite [$Ca(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 10–12H_2O$], coffinite [$U(SiO_4)_{1-x}(OH)_{4x}$], carnotite ($K_2O \cdot 2UO_3 \cdot V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$), tyuyamunite ($CaO \cdot 2UO_3 \cdot V_2O_5 \cdot nH_2O$), schoepite [$(UO_2)_8O_2(OH)_{12} \cdot 12H_2O$], torbernite [$Cu(UO_2)_2(PO_4)_2 \cdot 8−12H_2O$], and uranophane [$Ca(UO_2)_2(HSiO_4)_2 \cdot 5H_2O$].[14]
Zn	Sphalerite (ZnS) is the main zinc ore mineral; Sphalerite (ZnS) is the main zinc ore mineral; other Zn-bearing minerals include hemimorphite [$Zn_4Si_2O_7(OH)_2.H_2O$], smithsonite ($ZnCO_3$), hydrozincite ($Zn_5(CO_3)_2(OH)_6$).[15]

[1]Ahn et al. 2005; [2]Martin et al. 2014; [3]Yin et al., 2016; [4]Hitzman et al. 2017; [5]Beukes, et al., 2017; [6]Oluwaseye et al. 2016; [7]Mehta, et al. 2019; [8]Rytuba 2003; [9]Alpers 2017; [10]Antoszczyszyn and Michalska 2016; [11] Ettler et

al. 2018; [12] Yang and Cattle 2015; [13]Argyraki 2014; [14] Hettiarachchi et al. 2018; [15] Yang et al. 2016

1   Table 2

Metal	Exposure route	Affected organs / systems	Health effects	Human carcinogenic status, IARC Group*	Source
Arsenic (As)	Inhalation, ingestion, skin contact.	Skin, digestive system, liver, nervous system, respiratory system (nose to lung).	Inorganic As: skin "warts" & skin cancer (from ingested As). May interfere with normal fetal development. Organic As: less toxic but little human information. Diarrhoea and urinary problems in animals.	Inorganic As known carcinogen (liver, bladder, lungs, skin) (Group 1).	ATSDR, IARC, PHE
Cadmium (Cd)	Absorption from inhalation more effective than ingestion: 5-50% inhaled Cd absorbed through lungs; 1-10% of food & water Cd ingested – Fe lack increases Cd absorption. Dermal absorption almost non-existent.	Digestive system + liver, nervous system, reproductive system, kidneys, cardiovascular system, upper respiratory tract. Developmental toxin (known in animals)?	High concentrations irritant to stomach: vomiting & diarrhoea, occasional death. Inhaling chronic high concentrations damages lungs & can kill. Chronic inhalation of lower concentrations damages kidneys; can lead to osteoporosis & osteomalacia.	Cd & compounds known carcinogen (Group 1).	ATSDR, IARC, PHE
Chromium (Cr)	Uptake depends on valency (III or VI) & solubility of Cr compound: ~0.5-1% Cr(III) in normal diet adsorbed in gastrointestinal tract. Cr(VI) more readily absorbed by both inhalation & ingestion. Insoluble inhaled particles remain in lung for long time.	Respiratory tract, cardiovascular system, gastrointestinal system, liver, kidneys, skin.	Cr(VI) more toxic than Cr(III), but Cr(VI) unstable in body, rapidly reduced to Cr(V), Cr(IV) & ultimately to Cr(III) by endogenous reducing agents. Acute ingestion of large amounts Cr(VI) can give severe respiratory, cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, hepatic, renal damage & may kill. Chronic inhalation of Cr(III) salts gives respiratory inflammation. Cr(VI) may cause occupational asthma, nasal septum ulceration & perforation, respiratory irritation, lung cancer & possible renal effects. Dermal contact in Cr-sensitised	Cr(VI) mutagenic; known carcinogen (Group 1); Cr(III) not classifiable.	ATSDR, IARC, PHE

28

Metal	Exposure route	Affected organs / systems	Health effects	Human carcinogenic status, IARC Group*	Source
			individuals can give allergic dermatitis; chronic dermal exposure can give deep skin ulcers.		
Cobalt (Co)	Inhalation: 50% inhaled dose absorbed for particles with geometric mean diameter 0.8 μm; ~75% for particles with geometric mean diameter of 1.7 μm. Co particles deposited in respiratory tract absorbed into blood after dissolution or transported back up to throat by cilia, then swallowed. Ingestion (probably <50% absorbed). Skin contact: absorption through intact skin <1%; through abraded skin almost 80% 3 hours after exposure. Radioactive Co (primarily ^{60}Co) acts through skin contact – beta or gamma producers. ^{60}Co gamma rays commonly used for human radiotherapy.	Respiratory, cardiovascular, hepatic, renal systems and thyroid. Almost ½ of original lung burden persisted 6 months after exposure; elimination half-time for Co in human lung increased with time after exposure. Health effects of ^{60}Co not specific to Co, but apply to any radionuclide delivering the same beta and gamma radiation dose at a comparable dose rate	Inhalation: The rare hard metal (lung) disease possible but evidence not conclusive. Respiratory effects (including asthma and fibrosis) depend on dose and chronicity. Heart, thyroid disease and decreased body weight. Ingestion: nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea. Increased number of red blood cells, possibly hæmoglobin. Allergic dermatitis possible. Skin contact: local allergic reaction to Co metal but not salts. Mutually enhances Ni skin sensitisation.	Co and Co compounds possibly carcinogenic (Group 2B). Cobalt metal with tungsten carbide probably carcinogenic (Group 2B) but not clear if Co or W or together.	ATSDR, IARC
Copper (Cu)	Inhalation, ingestion, skin contact	Lungs, gastrointestinal tract, kidneys, liver, blood, skin, eye.	Inhalation: metal fume fever (cough, sore throat, tight chest, headaches, fever, muscle pains) from intense inhalation, lasts 1-4 days with no long-lasting effects. Ingestion: stomach pain, nausea, vomiting diarrhoea. Skin contact: inflammation, burns. Fragments can cause severe eye injury. Chronic exposure usually limited to specific, susceptible people.	Not listed by IARC. Inadequate evidence for a direct role in the development of cancer in humans.	PHE, NRC 2000
Iron (Fe)	Ingestion. Healthy adults absorb 2-10% dietary load; if adult or child iron deficient, 80-90% absorbed through	Pituitary, thyroid, heart, liver, pancreas, gonads from ingestion. Lungs from	5 stages of acute poisoning (ingestion): 1 gastrointestinal effects, 30 minutes – 1 hour; 2	Organic (heme) Fe potential carcinogen but details unclear.	IARC, Lynch 2003, Fonseca-

Metal	Exposure route	Affected organs / systems	Health effects	Human carcinogenic status, IARC Group*	Source
	gut. Organic(heme) Fe more easily absorbed than inorganic (insoluble) salts. Inhalation.	inhalation.	quiescence, to 4 hours; 3 shock, multi-organ failure, 2-24 hours; 4 liver toxicity 12-24 hours; 5 gut obstruction 1-7 weeks. Death. Chronic poisoning: excess Fe in many organs (haemochromatosis), giving diabetes, cirrhosis, heart disease, impotence, female infertility, premature menopause, death. Inhalation gives "welder's lung" (siderosis) and lung cancer.	Occupational exposure classified as Group 1 carcinogen (iron, steel founding; haematite underground mining with exposure to radon). Ferric oxide and haematite Group 3.	Nunes et al. 2014, Cosgrove 2015
Lead (Pb)	Inhalation (occupational): 30-50% inhaled particles deposited in lungs. Ingestion (general public): adults absorb 5-15%; in fasting conditions up to 45% absorbed; children absorb up to 53%. Skin absorption usually low.	Brain, circulatory system, genitourinary system; stored in bone & soft tissues.	Very high acute dose: gut disturbances, encephalitis, other effects on central nervous system, renal function, & blood. Chronic exposure most important: cognitive impairment in children, elevated blood pressure, renal toxicity, anaemia, reduced fertility, adverse birth outcomes. No threshold to toxicity (no safe dose).	Inorganic Pb probably carcinogenic (Group 2A).	ATSDR, IARC, PHE, WHO
Mercury (Hg)	Inhalation: Hg vapour 80% absorbed; inorganic salts not absorbed well; organic salts easily absorbed. Ingestion: <0.01% elemental Hg absorbed; usually <10% inorganic salts absorbed (occasionally 40%). CH_3Hg well (95%) absorbed. Skin absorption least effective for metallic & inorganic Hg. $(CH_3)_2Hg$ rapidly absorbed through skin	Distributed to all tissues but mainly accumulates in the kidneys; elemental Hg readily crosses into brain	Acute exposure: inhalation (elemental Hg) may cause respiratory, central nervous system & cardiovascular effects, renal damage & gastrointestinal disturbances. Ingestion (inorganic Hg salts) may affect the digestive tract, & damage kidneys, cardiovascular system & skin/eye. Chronic exposure: inhalation of elemental Hg vapour may cause neurotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and affect mouth. Ingestion of inorganic	Metallic & inorganic Hg not classifiable as to carcinogenicity to humans; CH_3Hg possibly carcinogenic to humans.	ATSDR, IARC, PHE

Metal	Exposure route	Affected organs / systems	Health effects	Human carcinogenic status, IARC Group*	Source
			Hg compounds may cause neurotoxicity, digestive tract effects & renal failure.		
Nickel (Ni)	Inhalation & ingestion.	Digestive system, nose, genitourinary system.	Acute exposure: gut upset, headache. Nickel carbonyl most toxic nickel compound from acute inhalation. Chronic inhalation of Ni or compounds: rhinitis, sinusitis, anosmia (no smell); in extreme cases perforation of the nasal septum. Ni carbonyl & soluble salts considered reproductive toxicants.	Ni compounds known carcinogen (Group 1). Elemental Ni possibly carcinogenic (Group 2B).	ATSDR, IARC, PHE
Uranium (U)	Radiation (outside & inside body): percentage by radioactivity: ^{234}U 49, ^{235}U 2, ^{238}U 49; percentage by mass ^{234}U 0.006, ^{235}U 0.7, ^{238}U 99.3. Inhalation: particles largely remain in lungs (0.8-5% U absorbed). Ingested soluble particles only slightly absorbed (0.1-6%). Very little absorbed through skin.	10% into kidneys but quickly lost; by 3 months only 0.1% initial dose into blood; 15% into bone with slow release to ~1% at 25 years. Bone marrow.	65% excreted first day, another 10% by end of first week; continuing slow excretion (0.002% initial dose at 1 year). Renal failure due to heavy metal toxicity. Radiation leukaemia less common than chemical toxicity.	Radiation carcinogenic; risk generally assumed proportional to radiation dose. May or may not be threshold of effect. Limited human evidence for chemical carcinogenicity of mixed isotopes; sufficient animal evidence for carcinogenicity of ^{233}U, ^{234}U, ^{235}U, ^{238}U. Not classified by IARC.	ATSDR, IARC, PHE
Zinc (Zn)	Inhalation; ingestion: under normal physiological conditions, 20–30% of ingested zinc is absorbed; skin absorption occurs.	Respiratory system, gastro-intestinal tract, haematological system.	Toxicity low. Metal fume fever (cough, sore throat, tight chest, headaches, fever) from intense inhalation of ZnO (smelting; welding) lasts 1-4 days with no long-lasting effects. ZnCl in smoke bombs most irritating salt in lungs and on skin. ZnO not irritating to skin.	Not listed by IARC. Probably protective.	ATSDR, Kasprzak and Waalkes 1986

Metal	Exposure route	Affected organs / systems	Health effects	Human carcinogenic status, IARC Group*	Source
			Chronic ingestion may lead to anaemia.		

Notes: ATSDR = Agency for Toxic Substances & Disease Registry (USA); IARC = International Agency for Research into Cancer; PHE = Public Health England; WHO = World Health Organisation.

*IARC groups:

Group 1	*Carcinogenic to humans*	120 agents
Group 2A	*Probably carcinogenic to humans*	82
Group 2B	*Possibly carcinogenic to humans*	302
Group 3	*Not classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans*	501
Group 4	*Probably not carcinogenic to humans*	1