

Saccade frequency response to visual cues during gait in Parkinson's disease: the selective role of attention

Samuel Stuart *PhD*^{1,2}, Sue Lord *PhD*^{1,3}, Brook Galna *PhD*^{1,4}, and Lynn Rochester *PhD*^{1,5*}

¹Institute of Neuroscience/Newcastle University Institute of Ageing, Clinical Ageing Research Unit, Campus for Ageing and Vitality, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE4 5PL

²Department of Neurology, Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, Oregon, 97239

³School of Clinical Sciences, Auckland University of Technology, Private Bag 92006, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

⁴School of Biomedical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4HH

⁵Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS foundation trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE7 7DN

*Correspondence to:

Lynn Rochester

Professor of Human Movement Science

Institute of Neuroscience

Newcastle University Institute of Ageing

Newcastle University

Clinical Ageing Research Unit

Campus for Ageing and Vitality

Newcastle upon Tyne

NE4 5PL

Tel: +44191 208 1291

Email: lynn.rochester@newcastle.ac.uk

Running Head: Visual cue response in Parkinson's

Word Count: Abstract (250): 243

Article (Excluding abstract): 3992

Figures: 3

Tables: 3

Supplementary Tables: 2

Abstract

Gait impairment is a core feature of Parkinson's disease (PD) with implications for falls risk. Visual cues improve gait in PD but the underlying mechanisms are unclear. Evidence suggests that attention and vision play an important role however the relative contribution from each is unclear. Measurement of visual exploration (specifically saccade frequency) during gait allows for real-time measurement of attention and vision. Understanding how visual cues influence visual exploration may allow inferences of the underlying mechanisms to response which could help to develop effective therapeutics. This study aimed to examine saccade frequency during gait in response to a visual cue in PD and older adults, and investigate the roles of attention and vision in visual cue response in PD. A mobile eye-tracker measured saccade frequency during gait in 55 people with PD and 32 age-matched controls. Participants walked in a straight line with and without a visual cue (50cm transverse lines) presented under single and dual-task (concurrent digit span recall). Saccade frequency was reduced when walking in PD compared to controls, however visual cues ameliorated saccadic deficit. Visual cues significantly increased saccade frequency in both PD and controls under both single and dual-task. Attention rather than visual function was central to saccade frequency and gait response to visual cues in PD. In conclusion, this study highlights the impact of visual cues on visual exploration when walking and the important role of attention in PD. Understanding these complex features will help inform intervention development.

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, gait, saccades, visual cues, vision, attention

Introduction

Gait impairments, such as reduced speed, step length and increased double support time, are a common and early feature of Parkinson's disease (PD) (Lord *et al.*, 2014; Galna *et al.*, 2015) that are associated with reduced mobility and increased falls risk. Dopaminergic medication has limited effect on gait deficits in PD (Sethi, 2008) and despite recent cholinergic therapy showing promise (Henderson *et al.*, 2016), evidence for pharmacological intervention for gait impairment remains limited. Clinical implementation of non-pharmacological treatments such as cueing can help to ameliorate gait deficits and reduce falls risk (Canning *et al.*, 2014; Morris *et al.*, 2017). Visual cues (transverse lines to step over) are thought to exploit visual (Azulay *et al.*, 2006) and attentional (Yogev *et al.*, 2005) reliance for gait control in PD to overcome deficits (Keus *et al.*, 2014). Visual cues provide spatial stimuli related to the initiation or ongoing facilitation of gait (Nieuwboer *et al.*, 2007), which can improve gait characteristics (e.g. longer step length (Azulay *et al.*, 1999; Lewis *et al.*, 2000; Suteerawattananon *et al.*, 2004; Lee *et al.*, 2012) and better gait initiation (Jiang & Norman, 2006)). However, the mechanisms underlying cue response are poorly understood, which has led to reports of variable intervention response (Suteerawattananon *et al.*, 2004) and selective short-term gait characteristic improvement (Morris *et al.*, 2010).

Underlying attentional (Morris *et al.*, 1996) and visual (Graci *et al.*, 2010; Almeida & Bhatt, 2012) mechanisms have been implicated in visual cue response in PD (Vitorio *et al.*, 2014). Real-world environments are visually complex (i.e. full of visual distractions) and visual cues may alleviate the attentional burden of visual processing by focusing visual and attentional resources on task goals (i.e. stepping over the lines), which could facilitate gait. It is therefore likely that cue response relates to both attentional and visual processes, however previous studies have limited investigation to their independent contribution. Simultaneous measurement of visual and attentional processing is possible through the real-time monitoring

of saccadic (fast) eye-movements (Stuart *et al.*, 2016c). Saccades, particularly saccade frequency, form the basis of visual exploration and are influenced by both visual and attentional neural processes (Kimmig *et al.*, 2001), which provides a means to examine the mechanisms underlying response to visual cues. Our recent work has shown that people with PD make significantly fewer saccades when walking than age-matched older adults particularly under a dual-task (Galna *et al.*, 2012), with attentional mechanisms underpinning saccadic and gait impairment in PD (Stuart *et al.*, 2017b). A visual cue intervention may ameliorate deficits by prompting more frequent saccades, as recent studies have shown that cues increase visual exploration (fixation number) during gait in PD (Vitorio *et al.*, 2014; Beck *et al.*, 2015), but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Therefore, greater understanding of saccade frequency response to visual cues, as well as relationships between visual, attentional, saccadic and gait with cue application will help identify the mechanisms involved and allow for targeted intervention development.

This study aimed to: 1) examine the effect of visual cues on saccade frequency during gait in older adults and PD; and 2) evaluate the contribution of attention and visual function to visual cue response in PD. To do this we examined changes in saccade frequency in response to visual cues during gait in older adults and PD, evaluated the effect of attention on cued saccade frequency under dual-task conditions; and finally explored relationships between attention, visual function, saccades and gait (speed, step length and double support time) with a visual cue. We hypothesised that a visual cue would increase saccade frequency in both PD and older adults; that saccade frequency would be reduced in both groups under dual-task regardless of a visual cue; and changes in saccade frequency would be associated with cued gait and underpinned by attention rather than visual function. An *a priori* model from our previous literature review guided data analysis (Stuart *et al.*, 2016c).

Materials and Methods

Participants

This study was a part of the larger “Vision and gait in Parkinson’s” study and the methodology has been detailed within our published protocol; Stuart *et al.* (2016b) (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02610634). The study involved a convenience sample of 55 people with PD and 32 age-matched healthy older adult controls. Due to the exploratory nature of this study there were no specific previous cueing examples available to guide sample-size requirements, therefore previous eye-tracking research during walking was used to base our sample-size on (Galna *et al.*, 2012; Stuart *et al.*, 2014a; Stuart *et al.*, 2017b). The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ‘Newcastle and North Tyneside NHS Research Ethics Committee 1’ (Ref: 13/NE/0128). All participants provided signed informed consent prior to participation. PD participants were recruited if they had been diagnosed with PD by a movement disorders specialist using UK Brain Bank criteria. PD participants had to be non-demented (≥ 21 on MoCA) and healthy controls had to have cognitively intact (≥ 26 on MoCA) (Dalrymple-Alford *et al.*, 2010). All participants were aged ≥ 50 years old, with no diagnosed visual (i.e. patient report and medical records screened for history of glaucoma, macular degeneration, cataracts etc.) or mood or other neurological condition, and were able to walk independently without an aid. All testing took place while ‘ON’ medication, which was taken ~1 hour before.

Demographic and clinical assessments

Age, sex, height and weight were reported. Disease severity was measured using the Movement Disorder Society (MDS-revised) Parkinson’s disease rating scale (UPDRS) (Goetz *et al.*, 2008). Fear of falling was measured using the Falls Efficacy Scale (International version; FES-I), depression with the Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS-15), and retrospective falls from

patient interview and medical notes. Levodopa Equivalent Dose (LED) scores were calculated (Tomlinson *et al.*, 2010).

Cognitive and visual assessments

The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) and Addenbrookes cognitive examination (ACE-R) measured global cognition. Specific cognitive domains were also assessed which included attention, executive function, working memory and visuo-spatial ability. Attention was examined using the computerised Cognitive Drug Research (CDR) battery (Corani *et al.*, 2006; Molloy *et al.*, 2006), which included simple reaction-time, choice reaction-time and digit vigilance. From these tests we derived composite measures of power of attention (PoA) (calculated as the sum of the means from each test) and fluctuating attention (FA) (calculated as the sum the coefficient of variation (CV%) from each test) (Allcock *et al.*, 2009; Lord *et al.*, 2014). Executive function was assessed using clock drawing (Royall's CLOX 1) (Royall *et al.*, 1998). Working memory was assessed using forward digit span from the Wechsler adult intelligence scale (Wechsler, 1945). Visuo-spatial ability was measured using judgement of line orientation (JLO), clock copying (Royall's CLOX 2) and subsections of the visual, object and space perception (VOSP) battery; incomplete letters, dot counting and position discrimination. Visual functions (i.e. basic visual processes) of visual acuity (VA; LogMar) and contrast sensitivity (CS; Mars Perceptrix) were assessed using standardised charts.

Study design

Protocol

A brief summary of the protocol follows, as full details of the testing procedures have been described elsewhere (Stuart *et al.*, 2016b). All participants walked straight for ~7m at a self-selected pace under different walking conditions (Figure 1(A)). The conditions were designed

to manipulate visual and attentional complexity of real-time walking with visual cues and dual-tasking. Walking conditions included:

Single task:

- 1) Straight walk (WALK) (3 trials)
- 2) Straight walk with a visual cue (black tape lines, placed 50cm apart and transverse to the start point) (WALK-CUE) (3 trials)

Dual-task:

- 1) Dual-task (maximal forward digit span) and straight walk (DUAL) (3 trials)
- 2) Dual-task (maximal forward digit span) and straight walk with a visual cue (DUAL-CUE) (3 trials)

The straight walking conditions (WALK) were always performed first to ensure participants could achieve the walk safely and to provide an unbiased baseline, this was followed by the cued conditions (WALK-CUE). The visual cue consisted of five transverse lines to step over, placed apart approximately a 'normal' step length (50cm). A standardised visual cue line distance was used as the primary focus of this study was the effect of visual cues on visual exploration (saccade frequency), and the distance was in line with previous research (Lewis *et al.*, 2000; de Melo Roiz *et al.*, 2011). Three trials of each condition were collected and averages for all outcomes calculated. Participants completed the walks under single and dual-task (randomised order). The dual-task involved the Wechsler forward digit span repetition while walking (Wechsler, 1945), which was normalised to each individuals maximum determined in sitting prior to walking. Participants had to listen to strings of numbers (digits) played over loud-speaker while walking and repeat back the strings in the same order as played. Incorrect

recall (dual-task errors) of the digit strings were recorded and analysed as percentage (%) of errors made.

<<Insert Figure 1 here>>

Outcomes Measures

The primary outcome was saccade frequency (number of fast eye movements per second) during gait. Raw data was processed using our validated algorithms for mobile eye-tracking (vertical and horizontal saccades) and electrooculography (EOG) (horizontal saccades only) (Stuart *et al.*, 2014b; Stuart *et al.*, 2017a). Only saccades with $\geq 5^\circ$ amplitude ($\geq 240^\circ/\text{sec}$) were analysed to account for vestibular-ocular reflex or micro-saccade data intrusion (Galna *et al.*, 2012; Stuart *et al.*, 2014b). Saccade frequency (calculated as number of saccades divided by the walk duration) was evaluated rather than pure number of saccades during walking, as this controlled for the differences in walking speeds between individual participants and groups.

Saccade frequency was reported as absolute (WALK, DUAL, WALK-CUE, DUAL-CUE) and change score (Δ) values. Change score showed the response of saccade frequency to visual cues when walking under single (WALK-CUE – WALK = ΔCUE) and dual-task (DUAL-CUE – DUAL = $\Delta\text{CUE-DUAL}$). Change score was used in further analysis to overcome eye-tracker measurement limitations (detailed within Stuart *et al.* (2016a)), which allowed each participant to act as their own control. In brief, mobile eye-trackers are limited due to technological (i.e. lower sampling frequency than static devices) and physiological issues, such as flickers or refraction due to eye-lashes, eye-lids, dark skin and corrective lenses (e.g. glasses or contact lenses).

Secondary outcomes included gait characteristics of velocity, step length and double support-time, as these features have been shown to be influenced by visual cues in previous Parkinson's research (Morris *et al.*, 1996; Rubinstein *et al.*, 2002).

Equipment

A mobile infra-red eye-tracker (Dikablis, Ergoneers, Germany; 50Hz) and bi-temporal EOG (Zerowire, Aurion, Italy; 1000Hz) were used to record saccades while walking (Stuart *et al.*, 2016a). The mobile eye-tracker (displayed in Figure 1(B)) consisted of a head-unit and a backpack containing a transmitter (~1kg). A 3-dimensional motion capture system (Vicon, Oxford, UK; 100Hz) was used to measure gait characteristics within the walking conditions. All of these devices automatically synchronised and recorded simultaneous eye and body movement.

Data analysis and statistics

Descriptive data

Data analysis was performed with SPSS (IBM, Chicago, Il., USA, v.21). Normality assessment ensured data met criteria for parametric analysis (Field, 2013). Descriptive statistics were calculated and tabulated (i.e. means and standard deviations (SD)). Pearson chi-square (X^2) compared frequency data between groups. Due to the exploratory nature of the study $p < 0.05$ was considered significant for the purposes of statistical interpretation.

Step 1: Saccade frequency during gait

To examine our first aim, we used a repeat measures ANOVA compared effect of visual cue (WALK, CUE) and dual-task (single, dual) on saccade frequency, with group (PD, control) as a between subject factor and partial eta-squared (η^2) determined effect size. The same analysis was performed for reported gait characteristics (velocity, step length and double support-time), with height entered as a co-variate.

Step 2: Determinants of saccade frequency and their relationship with cued-gait

To determine our second aim, we first examined independent cognitive or visual determinants of saccade frequency response to a visual cue (Δ CUE, Δ CUE-DUAL) through hierarchical multiple-regression. Demographic features were entered into the first step (Age, MoCA, UPDRS-III, GDS-15), cognitive (FA, CLOX, JLO, Digit Span) and visual functions (VA, CS) in separate steps, and a final combined model is presented. One significantly different variable between the groups in univariate analysis represented each cognitive domain and visual function to avoid over-fitting. As PoA and FA were highly correlated in the PD group ($r=.76$, $p<.001$), FA was chosen to represent attention as it is sensitive to age-related cognitive decline (Salthouse, 1996) and is characteristic of PD dementia (Emre, 2003). Poorer FA has also been shown to be a stronger predictor of falls than PoA (Allcock *et al.*, 2009) and has domain specific gait relationships (Lord *et al.*, 2014). Regression normality, co-linearity and independent errors were determined.

Secondly, a structural equation model (SEM) was created in SPSS AMOS (v22) to examine the direct and indirect relationship between saccade frequency and gait with a visual cue in PD, while including hypothesised cognitive and visual relationships (Stuart *et al.*, 2016c). SEM analysis was conducted in line with current recommendations (Xiong *et al.*, 2015) (described in detail within our protocol paper (Stuart *et al.*, 2016b)). The initial model used the same variables as multiple regression analysis (Figure 3(A)). The final SEM provided direct and indirect relationships between attention (represented by FA) and visual functions (represented by VA and CS), saccade frequency (represented by Δ CUE) and gait (represented by velocity with a visual cue) in PD.

Results

Participants

Demographic, cognitive, visual and clinical features of the participants are displayed in Table 1. PD and controls were matched for age, education and gender. The PD participants were a heterogeneous group (Median disease duration, ~60, Inter-quartile range 24 to 90 months) who had moderate disease severity (UPDRS III, $\sim 37 \pm 14$). Global cognitive ability, domains of attention, executive function, visuo-spatial ability, working memory and visual function were all significantly impaired in PD compared to controls. With respect to gait, people with PD walked significantly slower, with shorter steps and increased double support-time for all walking conditions (with or without a visual cue) (Supplementary Table 1).

Saccade frequency during gait: effect of visual cues

Both visual cue and dual-task conditions had significant effects on saccade frequency during gait ($F(1,85)=117.42, p<.001$ [$\eta^2=.494$]; $F(1,85)=11.97, p=.003$ [$\eta^2=.098$], respectively). Both groups made significantly more saccades with a visual cue, compared to non-cued gait. Under dual-task conditions, both groups made significantly less saccades compared to single-task (Figure 2).

There was a near significant interaction between the visual cue and dual-task conditions (WALK-CUE x DUAL-CUE; $F(1,85)=3.72, p=.057$ [$\eta^2=.042$]), demonstrating that both groups maintained their saccade frequency under a dual-task (i.e. similar frequency to single-task) when using a visual cue. Despite reduction in un-cued saccade frequency in PD compared to controls (WALK, DUAL, Figure 2), overall there were no significant differences in saccade frequency between PD and controls (main effect: $F(1,85)=.983, p=.324$ [$\eta^2=.011$]).

Dual-task performance was improved in both groups with a visual cue, with significant improvement in PD. For example; older adult (WALK: $22.9 \pm 23.1\%$, WALK-CUE: $15.7 \pm 21.9\%$, $p=.080$) and PD (WALK: $27.6 \pm 29.1\%$, WALK-CUE: $16.7 \pm 18.9\%$, $p=.003$) participants made less errors on the digit span recall with a visual cue compared to non-cued gait.

<<Insert Figure 2 here>>

Independent determinants of saccade frequency response to visual cues

Both attention (FA; $\beta=-.35$, $p=.035$) and visual function (CS; $\beta=-.45$, $p=.033$) were associated with change in saccade frequency with a visual cue (Δ CUE) in PD independent of demographics (Table 2). Results indicated better attention and CS related to increased saccade frequency with a visual cue. There were no significant independent associations for controls.

Saccade frequency relationship with visually cued-gait in Parkinson's disease

Few significant associations were found between cued-gait and saccade frequency in PD and no significant associations for controls (Supplementary Table 2). The only findings were that faster gait velocity related to more frequent saccades with a cue (DUAL-CUE, Δ CUE-DUAL) in PD. Therefore, an SEM that included the independent relationships with cognition and visual function, was developed to further examine relationships between cued saccade frequency and gait in PD. Initially several SEMs were constructed, however due to limited quality indicators (factor loadings $<.70$) and associations within dual-task and control models, analysis was confined to single-task cued-gait in PD. Standardised regression coefficients (β) for associations between each variable in the model are shown next to each arrow in Figure 3. Model explained variances (r^2) are provided in bold above appropriate variables. After trimming, hypothesised relationships between one latent (visual function) and three observed

variables (Δ CUE, FA, cued-gait velocity). Three non-significant pathways were trimmed (Figure 3(a) & 3(b)) and an overall fit of $X^2 = 2.3$ (d.f. = 5, $p = .806$), GFI (0.984) and RMSEA (0.000), which indicated acceptable goodness-of-fit. The final SEM explained 7% of the variance in saccade frequency (Δ CUE) and 13% variance in gait velocity with a visual cue (Post-hoc observed statistical power; 0.64 (Rosner, 2015)).

Attention (specifically FA) was central to direct and indirect relationships between visual function, saccade frequency response and cued-gait in PD. Better attention was directly related with greater change (increase) in saccade frequency (Δ CUE; $\beta = -.27$, $p = .037$, Table 3) and faster gait ($\beta = -.37$, $p = .036$, Table 3) with a cue. Better attention shared a direct relationship with better visual function ($\beta = .46$, $p = .028$, Table 3). No other direct effects were found. However, there were significant indirect effects of visual function ($\beta = .17$, $p = .005$, Table 3) and saccade frequency ($\beta = .10$, $p = .031$, Table 3) on cued-gait, which were underpinned by attention. For example; poorer visual function and less increase in saccade frequency with a visual cue led to slower cued-gait velocity, but only through their relationship with poorer attention.

<<Insert Figure 3 here>>

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the influence of visual cues on saccade frequency during gait in PD. Increased saccade frequency in response to visual cues when walking in both PD and older adults supports our first hypothesis. In line with our second hypothesis, performance of a dual-task reduced saccade frequency compared to single task conditions in both groups regardless of the use of visual cues, although a higher saccade frequency was still maintained within all of the cued conditions compared to un-cued conditions. Contrary to our hypothesis, there was little relationship between cued saccade

frequency and gait in PD or controls. Instead, structural modelling demonstrated that increased saccade frequency with a visual cue (and better visual function) indirectly influenced cued-gait velocity, with relationships underpinned by attention. Attention had direct impact on cued-gait, saccade frequency response to cues and visual function, which highlighted a central role for attention in the response to visual cues in PD.

Saccade frequency when walking: response to visual cues

Visual cues increased saccade frequency during gait in both PD and controls, and this was even seen under dual-task conditions where attention was distracted from gait. Manual inspection of the eye-tracker videos confirmed that participants were looking at the visual cues. To rule out a simple learning effect of repeated trials we assessed the saccadic frequency over consecutive (n=3) straight line walking trials and found that saccades did not increase due to task familiarity (Repeated measures ANOVA; $F=.152$, $p=.697$). These findings agree with previous research demonstrating highly salient targets and specific task goals improve saccadic activity in PD during static tasks (Horowitz *et al.*, 2006). Methodological differences limit comparison to previous gait studies that have only examined fixation related outcomes when using visual cues (60-65cm transverse lines) (Vitorio *et al.*, 2014; Beck *et al.*, 2015). Vitorio *et al.* (2014) demonstrated a non-significant increase in fixation number with a visual cue within a small cohort of PD and controls. Similarly, Beck *et al.* (2015) investigated fixation durations in a small cohort of PD with freezing of gait and found that freezers made longer fixations than non-freezers with a visual cue, which was maintained under dual-task. However these results should be interpreted with caution due to the lack of a validated algorithm to derive fixation outcomes, and the low sampling frequency (30Hz) of the eye-tracking devices involved, which are not adequate to accurately derive the exact start and end of fixations (i.e. ≥ 200 Hz is required for this (Stuart *et al.*, 2014a)). Due to saccades and fixations being coupled

(i.e. saccades are movements between fixations), these previous studies may have recorded an increase in saccade frequency with a visual cue, however limited eye-tracker resolution did not allow this analysis.

Saccade frequency was reduced in both PD and controls with a dual-task irrespective of the use of visual cues (Figure 2), although frequency was always higher with visual cues compared to without cues regardless of single or dual-task conditions. Indeed, saccade frequency with a cue had only minor reduction under dual-task compared to single-task conditions in both groups, which indicated maintenance of cue response although this was non-significant ($p=.06$). Improved maintenance of saccade frequency with a visual cue under dual-task possibly relates to a combination of resource allocation away from attentional control to the secondary task and the influence of the external stimuli (taped lines) on saccade initiation. For example, visual cues likely trigger more reflexive (bottom-up or automatic) rather than voluntary (top-down or cognitive) saccades in both older adults and PD, as these groups are known to have deficits in suppression of reflexive saccades to external visual stimuli (Butler *et al.*, 1999; Chan *et al.*, 2005). More reflexive saccadic initiation would free attentional resources to be applied to other concurrent tasks (i.e. cognitive or gait task). This theory is supported within this study as both groups improved on the secondary cognitive task (dual-task) when using a cue with greater response in PD, which is comparable to previous cueing research (van Wegen *et al.*, 2006; Baker *et al.*, 2007; Rochester *et al.*, 2007; Mak *et al.*, 2013).

Direct and indirect saccade frequency determinants and relationship with cued-gait

We examined the underlying determinants of saccade frequency when walking with a visual cue and their relationship with cued-gait. All participants increased their saccade frequency and adapted their gait strategy with a visual cue. However, limited association was found between cued saccade frequency and gait in PD and controls. Therefore an SEM was developed

to understand the complex relationship involved in PD. SEM included hypothesised relationships entered between cognition, visual function, saccade frequency cue response and cued-gait (Stuart *et al.*, 2016c). Overall the level of explained variance (13% gait impairment, 7% saccade frequency) and the relationship with attention are similar to previous saccadic (Buhmann *et al.*, 2015) and gait research in PD (Lord *et al.*, 2010) and older adults (MacAulay *et al.*, 2014). Our results highlighted that saccade frequency and gait with a visual cue were underpinned by attention rather than visual functions in PD.

Initial regression analysis showed that attention (FA) and visual function (CS) were found to be independent determinants of saccade frequency response to cues (Δ CUE) in PD. There were no determinants found in controls, which was despite the response in saccade frequency to visual cues being the same for both groups. The reasons for this remain unclear but one possibility is that our control group were cognitively intact (MoCA \geq 26) unlike the PD participants (MoCA \geq 21), which may have impacted results. Despite both attention and visual function being related to saccade frequency response to a visual cue in PD, this may have been predominantly driven by attention. Increased saccade frequency with a visual cue related to better attention and CS, however, when attention was restricted under dual-task there were no relationships between saccade frequency and attention or CS, which supported our assertion.

Our SEM findings supported a central role for attention in visual cue response, which agrees with previous theories (Morris *et al.*, 1996). Attention (specifically FA) directly related to visual function, saccade frequency response and cued-gait in PD, and underpinned indirect relationships between these features. For example, those with PD who had better attention, also had better visual function, increased their saccade frequency more with a visual cue and had faster cued-gait. Attention was likely required due to the goal-orientated instructions to step over the transverse lines while walking (Macdonald & Tatler, 2013). However the specific attentional mechanisms underpinning saccade frequency remain unclear, which is primarily

due to difficulty in determining whether top-down (frontal, cognitive or voluntary) or bottom-up (parietal, automatic or reflexive) attentional control drives saccades during walking (N'Guyen *et al.*, 2014). This is further compounded by the fact that people with PD rely on attention to drive both gait (Redgrave *et al.*, 2010) and saccadic control (Baluch & Itti, 2011), which may over burden the limited attentional resources available, particularly under dual-task. However, increased saccade frequency under dual-task with a visual cue indicates that cues may guide visual exploration and free attentional resources by stimulating more reflexive saccadic behaviour (i.e. an artificial driver for saccades while walking) that does not require cognitive input. Similarly, reduced secondary cognitive task errors with visual cues in both PD and controls further supports the notion of attentional unburdening with cues.

Attention may also be required to compensate for motor or visual deficits that accompany PD. For example, increased saccade frequency with a visual cue may reflect compensation for visual deficits, as previous studies have reported increased saccade frequency during visual search tasks in those with visual impairments (Barraga, 1964; Hawelka & Wimmer, 2005). Visual cue saliency would be reduced with visual impairment in PD and therefore attention may stimulate increased saccade frequency to filter the visual scene to distinguish the transverse lines from the floor (Horowitz *et al.*, 2006). However, the compensatory role of attention is complicated by attentional dysfunction in PD, which may impact visual, saccadic and gait especially in later disease.

Clinical Implications

Visual exploration (saccade frequency) significantly increased with the implementation of visual cues and this was maintained when participants were distracted with a secondary task. Attention is central to visual cue response in PD, therefore targeting attention deficits with therapeutic interventions, such as pharmacological manipulation, may further improve visual

exploration and gait response to visual cues. Ultimately, greater visual exploration would increase the amount of visual information available for gait control, with implication for safe and effective navigation and reduced falls risk.

Study Limitations

Gait characteristics were not the primary focus of this study and therefore a set distance (50cm) visual cue was used. This distance has been used in previous cueing research (Lewis *et al.*, 2000; de Melo Roiz *et al.*, 2011) as it represents average step length in PD obtained from free-living (Del Din *et al.*, 2016) and large-scale cueing trials (Nieuwboer *et al.*, 2007). Consequently, gait characteristics were not improved with the cue in every participant (Supplementary Table 1) (i.e. those with step length >50cm adapted their gait with a cue by shortening their step to ~50cm). Future studies should consider tailoring the visual cue to each individual (e.g. distance 20% larger than participant baseline step length). The study also involved limited range of vision assessments, as only basic visual functions were included due to their use within clinical settings. Future studies should include other more complex vision measures (i.e. depth perception or motion perception) or a full ophthalmic battery, as other visual outcomes may have a greater role in visual cue response. Finally, the correlational nature of the study does not determine causation of response.

Conclusions

This study provides important insights into visual cue response when walking in PD, which may influence safe mobility and reduce falls risk. Saccade frequency is reduced when walking in PD compared to older adults, however visual cues ameliorated saccadic deficits. Visual cues significantly increased saccade frequency, even when attention was distracted with a concurrent dual-task. Increased saccade frequency and faster gait with a visual cue was

underpinned by attention rather than visual function in PD. Future research investigating visual cues in PD should consider the underlying role of attention.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Newcastle Biomedical Research Unit (BRU) and centre (BRC) based at Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and Newcastle University. The research was also supported by NIHR Newcastle CRF Infrastructure funding. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.

The authors would like to acknowledge Aòdhan Hickey (Research Technician, Newcastle University), Henry King (Master's student) and Dr Alan Godfrey (Research Associate, Newcastle University) for their assistance with data collection and processing, and Dr Shirley Coleman (Senior Statistician, Newcastle University) for her advice regarding data analysis. Finally we thank all of the participants involved in the study for their time and input.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

S.S., B.G., S.L., L.R. designed the study. S.S. and B.G. collected and analysed the data. S.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. S.S., S.L., B.G. and L.R. edited and revised the manuscript. L.R. conceived the experiment and directed the work.

Data Accessibility Statement

Data reported within this article is available at ClinicalTrials.gov (Reference NCT02610634 at <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02610634>) and can be requested from the corresponding author.

Abbreviations

PD: Parkinson's disease, EOG: electrooculography, SEM: Structural equation model, BG: Basal ganglia, PFC: Pre-frontal cortex, FA: Fluctuation of attention, PoA: Power of attention, MoCA: Montreal cognitive assessment, ACE-R: Addenbrookes cognitive examination, JLO: Judgement of line orientation, VA: visual acuity, CS: contrast sensitivity, GDS-15: Geriatric depression scale (short form), UPDRS: unified Parkinson's disease rating scale, LED: Levodopa equivalent dosage.

References

- Allcock, L.M., Rowan, E.N., Steen, I.N., Wesnes, K., Kenny, R.A. & Burn, D.J. (2009) Impaired attention predicts falling in Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & related disorders*, **15**, 110-115.
- Almeida, Q.J. & Bhatt, H. (2012) A Manipulation of Visual Feedback during Gait Training in Parkinson's Disease. *Parkinson's disease*, **1**, 1-7.
- Azulay, J.-P., Mesure, S., Amblard, B., Blin, O., Sangla, I. & Pouget, J. (1999) Visual control of locomotion in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*, **122**, 111-120.
- Azulay, J.P., Mesure, S. & Blin, O. (2006) Influence of visual cues on gait in Parkinson's disease: contribution to attention or sensory dependence? *Journal of the neurological sciences*, **248**, 192-195.
- Baker, K., Rochester, L. & Nieuwboer, A. (2007) The immediate effect of attentional, auditory, and a combined cue strategy on gait during single and dual tasks in Parkinson's disease. *Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation*, **88**, 1593-1600.
- Baluch, F. & Itti, L. (2011) Mechanisms of top-down attention. *Trends in neurosciences*, **34**, 210-224.
- Barraga, N. (1964) *Increased visual behavior in low vision children*. American Foundation for the Blind, New York.
- Beck, E.N., Ehgoetz Martens, K.A. & Almeida, Q.J. (2015) Freezing of Gait in Parkinson's Disease: An Overload Problem? *PLOS ONE*, **10**, e0144986.

- Buhmann, C., Kraft, S., Hinkelmann, K., Krause, S., Gerloff, C. & Zangemeister, W.H. (2015) Visual Attention and Saccadic Oculomotor Control in Parkinson's Disease. *European Neurology*, **73**, 283-293.
- Butler, K.M., Zacks, R.T. & Henderson, J.M. (1999) Suppression of reflexive saccades in younger and older adults: Age comparisons on an antisaccade task. *Memory & Cognition*, **27**, 584-591.
- Canning, C.G., Paul, S.S. & Nieuwboer, A. (2014) Prevention of falls in Parkinson's disease: a review of fall risk factors and the role of physical interventions. *Neurodegenerative disease management*, **4**, 203-221.
- Chan, F., Armstrong, I.T., Pari, G., Riopelle, R.J. & Munoz, D.P. (2005) Deficits in saccadic eye-movement control in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia*, **43**, 784-796.
- Corani, G., Edgar, C., Marshall, I., Wesnes, K. & Zaffalon, M. (2006) Classification of dementia types from cognitive profiles data. *Lecture notes in computer science*, **4213**, 470.
- Dalrymple-Alford, J.C., MacAskill, M.R., Nakas, C.T., Livingston, L., Graham, C., Crucian, G.P., Melzer, T.R., Kirwan, J., Keenan, R., Wells, S., Porter, R.J., Watts, R. & Anderson, T.J. (2010) The MoCA: well-suited screen for cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*, **75**, 1717-1725.
- de Melo Roiz, R., Azevedo Cacho, E.W., Cliquet, A., Jr. & Barasnevicus Quagliato, E.M. (2011) Analysis of parallel and transverse visual cues on the gait of individuals with idiopathic Parkinson's disease. *International journal of rehabilitation research*, **34**, 343-348.
- Del Din, S., Godfrey, A., Galna, B., Lord, S. & Rochester, L. (2016) Free-living gait characteristics in ageing and Parkinson's disease: impact of environment and ambulatory bout length. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, **13**, 46.
- Emre, M. (2003) Dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *The Lancet. Neurology*, **2**, 229-237.
- Field, A. (2013) *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. Sage, London, UK.
- Galna, B., Lord, S., Daud, D., Archibald, N., Burn, D. & Rochester, L. (2012) Visual sampling during walking in people with Parkinson's disease and the influence of environment and dual-task. *Brain research*, **1473**, 35-43.
- Galna, B., Lord, S., Burn, D.J. & Rochester, L. (2015) Progression of gait dysfunction in incident Parkinson's disease: Impact of medication and phenotype. *Movement Disorders*, **30**, 359-367.

- Goetz, C.G., Tilley, B.C., Shaftman, S.R., Stebbins, G.T., Fahn, S., Martinez-Martin, P., Poewe, W., Sampaio, C., Stern, M.B., Dodel, R., Dubois, B., Holloway, R., Jankovic, J., Kulisevsky, J., Lang, A.E., Lees, A., Leurgans, S., LeWitt, P.A., Nyenhuis, D., Olanow, C.W., Rascol, O., Schrag, A., Teresi, J.A., van Hilten, J.J. & LaPelle, N. (2008) Movement Disorder Society-sponsored revision of the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale presentation and clinimetric testing results. *Movement Disorders*, **23**, 2129-2170.
- Graci, V., Elliott, D.B. & Buckley, J.G. (2010) Utility of Peripheral Visual Cues in Planning and Controlling Adaptive Gait. *Optometry and Vision Science*, **87**, 21-27.
- Hawelka, S. & Wimmer, H. (2005) Impaired visual processing of multi-element arrays is associated with increased number of eye movements in dyslexic reading. *Vision Research*, **45**, 855-863.
- Henderson, E.J., Lord, S.R., Brodie, M.A., Gaunt, D.M., Lawrence, A.D., Close, J.C.T., Whone, A.L. & Ben-Shlomo, Y. (2016) Rivastigmine for gait stability in patients with Parkinson's disease (ReSPonD): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *The Lancet Neurology*, **15**, 249-258.
- Horowitz, T.S., Choi, W.Y., Horvitz, J.C., Cote, L.J. & Mangels, J.A. (2006) Visual search deficits in Parkinson's disease are attenuated by bottom-up target salience and top-down information. *Neuropsychologia*, **44**, 1962-1977.
- Jiang, Y. & Norman, K.E. (2006) Effects of visual and auditory cues on gait initiation in people with Parkinson's disease. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, **20**, 36-45.
- Keus, S., Munneke, M., Graziano, M., Paltamaa, J., Pelosin, E., Domingos, J., Bruhlmann, S., Ramaswamy, B., Prins, J. & Struiksma, C. (2014) European physiotherapy guideline for Parkinson's disease. *Nijmegen, The Netherlands: KNGF/ParkinsonNet*.
- Kimmig, H., Greenlee, M., Gondan, M., Schira, M., Kassubek, J. & Mergner, T. (2001) Relationship between saccadic eye movements and cortical activity as measured by fMRI: quantitative and qualitative aspects. *Experimental brain research*, **141**, 184-194.
- Lee, S.J., Yoo, J.Y., Ryu, J.S., Park, H.K. & Chung, S.J. (2012) The effects of visual and auditory cues on freezing of gait in patients with Parkinson disease. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation*, **91**, 2-11.
- Lewis, G.N., Byblow, W.D. & Walt, S.E. (2000) Stride length regulation in Parkinson's disease: the use of extrinsic, visual cues. *Brain*, **123**, 2077-2090.
- Lord, S., Rochester, L., Hetherington, V., Allcock, L.M. & Burn, D. (2010) Executive dysfunction and attention contribute to gait interference in 'off' state Parkinson's Disease. *Gait & posture*, **31**, 169-174.

- Lord, S., Galna, B., Coleman, S., Yarnall, A., Burn, D. & Rochester, L. (2014) Cognition and gait show a selective pattern of association dominated by phenotype in incident Parkinson's disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, **6**, 1-9.
- MacAulay, R.K., Brouillette, R.M., Foil, H.C., Bruce-Keller, A.J. & Keller, J.N. (2014) A Longitudinal Study on Dual-Tasking Effects on Gait: Cognitive Change Predicts Gait Variance in the Elderly. *PLoS ONE*, **9**, e99436.
- Macdonald, R.G. & Tatler, B.W. (2013) Do as eye say: Gaze cueing and language in a real-world social interaction. *Journal of Vision*, **13**.
- Mak, M.K., Yu, L. & Hui-Chan, C.W. (2013) The immediate effect of a novel audio-visual cueing strategy (simulated traffic lights) on dual-task walking in people with Parkinson's disease. *European journal of physical and rehabilitation medicine*, **49**, 153-159.
- Molloy, S., Rowan, E., O'brien, J., McKeith, I., Wesnes, K. & Burn, D. (2006) Effect of levodopa on cognitive function in Parkinson's disease with and without dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, **77**, 1323-1328.
- Morris, M.E., Iansek, R., Matyas, T.A. & Summers, J.J. (1996) Stride length regulation in Parkinson's disease. Normalization strategies and underlying mechanisms. *Brain*, **119 (Pt 2)**, 551-568.
- Morris, M.E., Martin, C.L. & Schenkman, M.L. (2010) Striding out with Parkinson disease: evidence-based physical therapy for gait disorders. *Physical therapy*, **90**, 280-288.
- Morris, M.E., Taylor, N.F., Watts, J.J., Evans, A., Horne, M., Kempster, P., Danoudis, M., McGinley, J., Martin, C. & Menz, H.B. (2017) A home program of strength training, movement strategy training and education did not prevent falls in people with Parkinson's disease: a randomised trial. *Journal of physiotherapy*, **63**, 94-100.
- N'Guyen, S., Thurat, C. & Girard, B. (2014) Saccade learning with concurrent cortical and subcortical basal ganglia loops. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, **8**, 1-17.
- Nieuwboer, A., Kwakkel, G., Rochester, L., Jones, D., van Wegen, E., Willems, A.M., Chavret, F., Hetherington, V., Baker, K. & Lim, I. (2007) Cueing training in the home improves gait-related mobility in Parkinson's disease: the RESCUE trial. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry*, **78**, 134-140.
- Redgrave, P., Rodriguez, M., Smith, Y., Rodriguez-Oroz, M.C., Lehericy, S., Bergman, H., Agid, Y., DeLong, M.R. & Obeso, J.A. (2010) Goal-directed and habitual control in the basal ganglia: implications for Parkinson's disease. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, **11**, 760-772.

- Rochester, L., Nieuwboer, A., Baker, K., Hetherington, V., Willems, A.M., Chavret, F., Kwakkel, G., Van Wegen, E., Lim, I. & Jones, D. (2007) The attentional cost of external rhythmical cues and their impact on gait in Parkinson's disease: effect of cue modality and task complexity. *Journal of Neural Transmission*, **114**, 1243-1248.
- Rosner, B. (2015) *Fundamentals of Biostatistics*. 2006. *Duxbury Press*.
- Royall, D.R., Cordes, J.A. & Polk, M. (1998) CLOX: an executive clock drawing task. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry*, **64**, 588-594.
- Rubinstein, T.C., Giladi, N. & Hausdorff, J.M. (2002) The power of cueing to circumvent dopamine deficits: a review of physical therapy treatment of gait disturbances in Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders*, **17**, 1148-1160.
- Salthouse, T.A. (1996) The processing-speed theory of adult age differences in cognition. *Psychological review*, **103**, 403-428.
- Sethi, K. (2008) Levodopa unresponsive symptoms in Parkinson disease. *Movement disorders*, **23 Suppl 3**, S521-533.
- Stuart, S., Alcock, L., Galna, B., Lord, S. & Rochester, L. (2014a) The measurement of visual sampling during real-world activity in Parkinson's disease and healthy controls: A structured literature review. *Journal of neuroscience methods*, **222**, 175-188.
- Stuart, S., Galna, B., Lord, S., Rochester, L. & Godfrey, A. (2014b) Quantifying Saccades While Walking: Validity of a Novel Velocity-Based Algorithm for Mobile Eye Tracking *Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, (EMBC). 36th Annual International Conference of the IEEE*. IEEE, Chicago, Illinois, USA, pp. 5739 - 5742.
- Stuart, S., Alcock, L., Godfrey, A., Lord, S., Rochester, L. & Galna, B. (2016a) Accuracy and re-test reliability of mobile eye-tracking in Parkinson's disease and older adults. *Medical Engineering and Physics*, **38**, 308–315.
- Stuart, S., Galna, B., Lord, S. & Rochester, L. (2016b) A protocol to examine vision and gait in Parkinson's disease: impact of cognition and response to visual cues [version 2; referees: 2 approved]. *F1000Research*, **4**, 1379.
- Stuart, S., Lord, S., Hill, E. & Rochester, L. (2016c) Gait in Parkinson's disease: A visuo-cognitive challenge. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, **62**, 76-88.
- Stuart, S., Hickey, A., Galna, B., Lord, S., Rochester, L. & Godfrey, A. (2017a) iTrack: instrumented mobile electrooculography (EOG) eye-tracking in older adults and Parkinson's disease. *Physiological Measurement*, **38**, N16.

- Stuart, S., Galna, B., Delicato, L.S., Lord, S. & Rochester, L. (2017b) Direct and indirect effects of attention and visual function on gait impairment in Parkinson's disease: influence of task and turning. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, **46**, 1703–1716.
- Suteerawattananon, M., Morris, G.S., Etnyre, B.R., Jankovic, J. & Protas, E.J. (2004) Effects of visual and auditory cues on gait in individuals with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the neurological sciences*, **219**, 63-69.
- Tomlinson, C.L., Stowe, R., Patel, S., Rick, C., Gray, R. & Clarke, C.E. (2010) Systematic review of levodopa dose equivalency reporting in Parkinson's disease. *Movement disorders*, **25**, 2649-2653.
- van Wegen, E., de Goede, C., Lim, I., Rietberg, M., Nieuwboer, A., Willems, A., Jones, D., Rochester, L., Hetherington, V., Berendse, H., Zijlmans, J., Wolters, E. & Kwakkel, G. (2006) The effect of rhythmic somatosensory cueing on gait in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the neurological sciences*, **248**, 210-214.
- Vitorio, R., Lirani-Silva, E., Pieruccini-Faria, F., Moraes, R., Gobbi, L.T. & Almeida, Q.J. (2014) Visual cues and gait improvement in Parkinson's disease: which piece of information is really important? *Neuroscience*, **277**, 273-280.
- Wechsler, D. (1945) A standardized memory scale for clinical use. *The Journal of Psychology*, **19**, 87-95.
- Xiong, B., Skitmore, M. & Xia, B. (2015) A critical review of structural equation modeling applications in construction research. *Automation in Construction*, **49**, 59-70.
- Yogev, G., Giladi, N., Peretz, C., Springer, S., Simon, E.S. & Hausdorff, J.M. (2005) Dual tasking, gait rhythmicity, and Parkinson's disease: which aspects of gait are attention demanding? *The European journal of neuroscience*, **22**, 1248-1256.

Figure Captions

Figure 1 - Study Protocol: A) Walking conditions, B) Dikablis mobile infra-red eye-tracker and electrooculography (EOG) placement, C) Mobile eye-tracker raw data [*an example of a saccade occurrence has been marked on each x axis at the point when detected*]

Figure 2 - Saccade frequency during gait [*Mean and standard deviation*]

Figure 3 - Structural equation model of gait in Parkinson's disease with a visual cue [**significance level $p < .05$, dashed lines are indirect non-significant pathways, indirect pathways are also represented by faded block arrows underlying direct pathways, solid arrows are direct pathways, correlations are represent by bi-directional arrows. Latent variables are represented via circles and Observed variables via rectangles*]