

1 **Title:** Exercise therapy in routine management of peripheral arterial disease and intermittent
2 claudication: a scoping review.

3 **Authors:** Ukachukwu O Abaraogu, Onyinyechukwu D Duru, Philippa Dall, Gary Tew, Stuart
4 Wesley, Julie Brittenden, Chris Seenan.

5 **Correspondence:** Ukachukwu O. Abaraogu Department of Physiotherapy/Centre for Living
6 School of Health and Life Sciences Glasgow Caledonian University United Kingdom Email:
7 ukachukwu.abaraogu@gcu.ac.uk

8

9 **Abstract**

10 **Background**

11 Little is known about the extent to which routine care management of peripheral arterial disease
12 and intermittent claudication align with best practice recommendation on exercise therapy. We
13 conducted a scoping review to examine the published literature on availability and workings
14 of exercise therapy in routine management of patients with peripheral arterial disease and
15 intermittent claudication, and health professional and patients' attitude and practice.

16 **Data sources**

17 The Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature, Ovid MEDLINE, Allied and
18 Complementary Medicine Database, ScienceDirect, Web of Science and the Directory of Open
19 Access Repositories were searched. Hand searching of reference lists of identified studies was
20 also performed.

21 **Methods**

22 A systematic search was conducted in February 2018. Inclusion criteria were based on study
23 aim, and included studies that reported on perceptions, practices, and workings of routine
24 exercise programs for patients with intermittent claudication, their availability, access and
25 perceived barriers.

26 **Results**

27 Eight studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review. Included studies were
28 studies conducted within Europe. Findings indicate that vascular surgeons in parts of Europe
29 generally recognize supervised exercise therapy as a best practice treatment for intermittent
30 claudication, but do not often refer their patients for supervised exercise therapy due to the
31 unavailability of, or lack of access to supervised exercise therapy programs. Available
32 supervised exercise therapy programs do not implement best practice recommendations, and
33 in the majority, patients only undergo one session per week. Some challenges were cited as the
34 cause of the sub-optimal program implementation. These included issues related to patients'
35 engagement and adherence as well as resource constraints.

36 **Conclusion**

1 There is dearth of published research on exercise therapy in routine management of peripheral
2 arterial disease and intermittent claudication. Available data from few countries within the
3 Europe indicate that supervised exercise is an underutilized despite health professionals
4 recognizing the benefits. Research is needed to understand how to improve the availability,
5 access, uptake and adherence to the best exercise recommendations in routine management of
6 people with peripheral arterial disease and intermittent claudication.

9 INTRODUCTION

10 The most common symptom of peripheral arterial disease (PAD) is intermittent claudication
11 (IC)¹ defined as exertional pain in the lower limb(s) which is relieved by rest. Intermittent
12 claudication limits individuals' exercise capacity, decreases functional ability and leads to
13 poorer quality of life.²⁻⁴ Individuals with PAD and IC have lower levels of physical activity
14 compared with their age-matched healthy controls.^{5,6} Exercise therapy is the most effective
15 conservative therapy for improving walking capacity in people with IC.^{7,89-11} Exercise therapy
16 is an important area of research in PAD and IC care internationally, and is recommended by
17 several professional guidelines.^{7,12-14} Although both supervised and unsupervised exercise
18 programs improve pain-free and maximal walking distances in IC,¹⁵⁻¹⁷ best evidence
19 recommendations support the use of supervised exercise program (SEP).^{18,19} A recent
20 Cochrane review update provided high-quality evidence that SEPs is more beneficial compared
21 to placebo or usual care in improving both pain-free and maximum walking distance in people
22 with symptomatic IC.²⁰

23 Despite the level of evidence for the use of exercise therapy for the management of PAD and
24 IC, and the professional bodies' guidelines and endorsements, there are concerns that this is
25 yet to be given a priority in the management of IC.^{21,22} Access to, and uptake of health care is
26 determined by factors within and outside the health care system.^{23,24} Some of the important
27 stakeholders within this system are the patients, the healthcare professionals and the hospital
28 management. To maximize patient outcomes related to exercise treatments for IC, the patients,
29 health care professionals and facilities involved in IC treatment should align their management
30 with best-evidence recommendations. However, little is known about the extent to which
31 routine care treatments for IC align with best practice recommendation on exercise therapy.

32 There is a close association between individuals' attitudes and beliefs and their practices.²⁵ It
33 has been suggested that health care professionals' self-interest and wider health system factors,
34 but not lack of evidence, are among the main challenges in adopting and implementing
35 exercise recommendations for IC.^{21,26} This underscores the importance of understanding
36 perceptions and practices related to routine provision of exercise for IC. No systematic review
37 has examined the attitudes, beliefs or practices of health care professionals, facilities or patients
38 regarding exercise provision in routine care of IC.

39 METHODS

1 Design and Rationale

2 We performed a scoping review using five stages of the Arksey and O’Malley scoping review
3 methodology²⁷ as revised by Levac et al.²⁸ The completed review is reported according to the
4 preferred reporting items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis extension for Scoping
5 Reviews ²⁹. A scoping review design was justified given that no prior systematic review
6 evidence exists regarding the type and extent of available literature; and the need to
7 systematically summarize primary research in an effective and timely manner. Findings will
8 provide direction to reflect on ways to enhancing delivery of best practice exercise
9 recommendations in the routine care of people with IC.

10 **Step 1: Identifying the research question**

11 The aim of this review is to scope literature on perceptions, practices, and workings of routine
12 exercise programs for patients with IC, their availability, access and perceived barriers.

13 **Stage 2: Identifying relevant studies**

14 Search strategy:

15 The search strategy was deliberately narrow and intended to retrieve only peer review
16 published articles with a mention of exercise or exercise programs or walking or supervised
17 exercise or homebased exercise or exercise therapy and peripheral vascular disease or
18 intermittent claudication (and their synonymous terms) in their title, keywords, or abstracts.
19 The search aimed to find only peer review published studies.

20 Identification of primary research studies:

21 A search was implemented in five databases (CINAHL via EBSCO, MEDLINE via
22 ProQuest, AMED via Ovid, ScienceDirect, Social citation index/Science citation index
23 /Emerging sources citation index via Web of Science (WOS)) and the Directory of Open
24 Access Repositories website until February 2018 with no date parameters. The reference lists
25 of included articles were checked for relevant studies. Search terms were identified by
26 exploring the National Library of Medicine Subject Headings (MESH), in addition to exploring
27 the keywords of relevant articles, and the search strategy was developed by the primary author
28 (AU), with support of a co-author (DD). The following keywords were used: provision OR
29 availability OR attitude OR perceptions OR perspective OR access OR accessibility AND
30 exercise OR physical activity OR exercise training OR supervised exercise OR supervised
31 exercise programs OR walking exercise OR walking program OR walking OR homebased
32 exercise OR unsupervised exercise AND peripheral arterial disease OR peripheral vascular
33 disease OR intermittent claudication OR intermittent claudication treatment. Abstract searches
34 were performed for those words using Boolean operators, searching related terms and limited
35 only to English language literature. An example of a detailed search strategy is shown in
36 Appendix 1.

37

38 **Stage 3: Study Selection**

1 Data management, screening and extraction:

2 The identified studies were imported to Refworks™ and duplicates removed. Studies were then
3 exported to Microsoft Excel 2010 where the screening were undertaken. Specific eligibility
4 criteria were developed through iteration and piloting, and included the removal of studies that
5 did not investigate exercise in routine care. Initially, titles and abstracts of identified studies
6 were independently screened by two authors (UA, DD) and the overtly irrelevant studies were
7 excluded. Next, the full text of selected studies after abstract and title screening were then read
8 independently to determine studies inclusion in the review. Differences of opinion regarding
9 inclusion or exclusion were resolved by discussion and reaching consensus between the two
10 authors (UA, DD), or in consultation with a third author (CS) when consensus could not be
11 reached. The process of identifying, screening, and inclusion of studies is summarized in Figure
12 1. All articles had sufficient information enabling a decision on eligibility and inclusion; no
13 study author was contacted to request missing information.

14 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

15 Articles meeting the following criteria were included in the review:

16 Studies that focused on health care workforce directly or indirectly involved in exercise therapy
17 for patients with IC (e.g. GPs, Surgeons, Physiotherapists, Nurses, Exercise physiologists) or
18 focused on the description of routine delivery of exercise for individuals with PAD and IC
19 (description could be reported by either health care professionals or patients). Studies that
20 reported on provision, attitude, access, availability or other factors regarding routine exercise
21 for IC. Studies of any design published in English and reported primary data whether they
22 were published as full length article or only as abstract. No restriction was placed regarding
23 publication date

24 **Stage 4: charting the data**

25 Critical appraisal:

26 Given the review objective to scope the extent and type of literature, a quality appraisal was
27 not implemented for this scoping review. This is consistent with current guideline for
28 conducting systematic scoping reviews.³⁰

29 Data collection and synthesis:

30 Study characteristics were recorded in a data extraction form specifically developed and piloted
31 for this review (Table 1). Data elements included authors' details (author, year, and country),
32 study aim, participant characteristics, study design, findings, and authors' main conclusions.
33 Studies meeting inclusion criteria were summarized in a narrative synthesis, including the
34 overall number of studies, geographical location, design, population, and summary of results
35 (Table 1).

36

37 **Stage 5: collating, summarising and reporting the results**

1 A total of 102 records were identified through the searches. Following the screening process,
2 8 records met the study inclusion criteria. The screening process and reasons for excluding
3 studies is presented in Figure 1. Included studies are summarized in table 1.

4 Characteristics of included studies

5 The included studies were published between 2004 and 2017. All studies were from European
6 countries. The Netherlands contributed the largest volume of literature (n=4), followed by the
7 United Kingdom (n=2), and one study was from Germany (n=1). There was also one
8 international study which surveyed respondents from 43 European countries (although it
9 reported collected data from only 9 countries due to response variability)³¹.

10 Populations

11 Some studies had more than one population of interest. However, populations included
12 vascular surgeons/vascular residents (n=4), patients and/or service user/delivery (n=3), and
13 GPs and physiotherapists (n=1).

14 Study design:

15 According to the Littlewood and May classification³² all studies were primary research and
16 were mostly of cross-sectional observational survey (n=5), followed by cohort studies (n=2),
17 and a mixed-method study.

18 Focus/theme of the studies:

19 The included studies fell broadly into three categories:

- 20 1) Determining access, availability, practice, provision, and opinion regarding exercise for
21 IC. This include survey among vascular surgeons, vascular residents, physiotherapists,
22 or patients (n=5).
- 23 2) Investigating the role of GPs and physiotherapists in non-invasive therapy, including
24 exercise therapy programs for people with IC (n=1)
- 25 3) Documenting the functioning of routine exercise therapy programs s for IC and/or
26 patients' participation in the program (n=2)

27 Outcomes in included studies

28

29 Availability, Access and Practices related to Supervised exercise programs (SEPs):

30 Three studies reported on the availability of SEPs and access to them, and scoped responses
31 from vascular surgeons.^{31,33,34} Results showed that across Europe, less than 1 in 3 vascular
32 surgeons reported having access to SEPs to refer patients.³¹ Country specific data indicated
33 that all vascular surgeons in the Netherlands, most (67%) of those in France, and about 10% in
34 Spain and Greece have access to SEPs to refer their patients.³¹ Data from the UK suggest
35 improvements in the access of vascular surgeons to SEPs over the past decade (24% in 2009,
36 36% in 2012, and 41.6% in 2017), however the majority still did not have access to a SEP.

1 ^{31,33,34} When examined in terms of facility access, just about one in three (38.9%) vascular units
2 in the UK reported having access to SEP for UK NHS patients.³⁴

3 Between 2011 and 2012 about 45% of vascular surgeons within Europe who have access to
4 SEP would refer less than 50% of their eligible patients, with only 18% saying they would refer
5 all their patients.³¹ Almost half (46%) of UK vascular surgeons in 2009 reported referring less
6 than 50% of their eligible patients for SEP, with only 14% referring 100% of their patients.³³
7 In a 2004 survey, although most (86%) GPs in the Netherlands indicated that they advised their
8 patients with IC to exercise, 38% said they did not provide supervision or follow up as part of
9 exercise therapy.³⁵ Only a minority (15%) referred their patients to a physiotherapist for
10 supervised exercise.³⁵ Expectedly in this survey, only 8% of physiotherapists occasionally
11 treated patients with IC.³⁵ In a survey among patients a year later (Between 2005-2006), Dutch
12 patients with IC reported that they received advice to walk mainly from their vascular surgeons
13 and GPs, with only 11% reporting being referred to a physiotherapist for supervised exercise.³⁶
14 A survey in 2012, however, showed that almost all (about 97%) of vascular surgeons in the
15 Netherlands reported referring more than 75% of their eligible patients for SEPs.³⁷

16 Only one study investigated if follow-up visits are schedule for patients who undergo SEP. ³¹
17 In this survey, 70.4% of vascular surgeons said they will bring back patients for follow up.
18 Similarly, one study reported that the majority of vascular surgeons would judge the success
19 of SEP based on patients' satisfaction, while improvement in walking distance were used by
20 only 27% of the vascular surgeon.³⁷

21 Attitude to supervised exercise programs (SEPs) therapy: A study in Netherlands reported that
22 all vascular surgeons surveyed agreed that SEP should be part of rehabilitation for IC, and that
23 it is more effective than one-off unsupervised advice to walk (usual care).³⁷ Also, a large
24 majority of them (about 97%) agreed that SEP is the primary treatment for IC, believed that
25 community-based and hospital-based SEPs are equally effective (about 93%), and 60% will
26 consider continuing their patients in SEP beyond 3 months if patients do not show
27 improvement.³⁷

28 Patient engagement and adherence to exercise therapy:

29 A 2009-2010 investigation of routine exercise therapy for IC in a German outpatient clinic
30 reported that 69% of the patients either declined invitation or did not eventually turn up for any
31 of the training sessions.³⁸ This study also indicated that only 22% of patients attended
32 regularly.³⁸ Similarly, a 2009 survey of vascular surgeons in the UK NHS showed that, where
33 SEPs are available, majority of patients do not comply with recommendations: only 39% of
34 them reported up to 50% patients of their patient taking SEP or adhering to their SEP
35 recommendations.³³ Although patients adherence to SEP had risen in 2017 (5 in 6 vascular
36 units recorded >90% completion), patients engagement was still a great challenge (only 1 in 6
37 of the vascular units had up to 80% of referred patients starting a SEP).³⁴ These units did not
38 generally document information related to commencement and completion rates for home-
39 based exercise therapy.³⁴

1 A survey of Dutch patients with IC indicated that only 32% underwent SEP³⁶. The majority
2 (52%) walked for exercise mostly in the neighborhood, and not reaching optimum walking
3 intensity (only 44% walked through pain) or frequency (only 25% walked 3x/week).³⁶

4 Personnel who delivers exercise program: Vascular surgeons in the UK reported that exercise
5 therapy for IC in the UK whether home or hospital based were run by physiotherapists and
6 specialist nurses with a few run by exercise and non-healthcare professionals.^{33,34} Also vascular
7 surgeons across Europe indicated that the majority (48%) of SEPs in their countries were run
8 by physiotherapists while 37% were run by doctors.³¹

9 Program types (Hospital versus Home based), and features of exercise programs: Regarding
10 the site of exercise, one of the studies indicated that the majority of SEPs in the United
11 Kingdom were delivered in hospital facilities.³⁴ In contrast, the majority (70%) of Dutch
12 patients with IC reported they received advice to walk at home.³⁶ Hospital based SEPs in the
13 UK generally consisted of either a combination of aerobic and resistance exercises or aerobic
14 exercise alone.^{33,34} Whilst 55-90% of the programs lasted for 30-60 minute per session for 3
15 months, 65-80% ran as one session per week (65-80%).^{33,34} Across Europe the majority of
16 SEP programs were run as 1-2 hour/session (53%), and lasted between 3-6 months, but the
17 number of sessions undertaken in a week was not investigated.³¹

18 Common indications, contra-indications and obstacles to exercise:

19 Vascular Surgeons' attitude towards indications and contra-indications for people with IC for
20 participation in SEPS was reported by two studies from Netherlands. The following
21 comorbidities were cited as contraindications: mobility problems, hypertension,
22 angina/IHD/ACS/MI, rest pain/tissue loss/CLI, musculoskeletal/arthritis,³³ COPD/respiratory
23 compromise,^{33,37} significant iliac stenosis,³⁷ In contrast, maximal walking distance <100m or
24 age >80 years were not considered contraindications. Also the majority of vascular surgeons
25 would consider SEP as adjunct therapy pre- or post-surgery.³⁷ Factors cited as obstacles to
26 making SEP available to patients included resource challenge, and patient compliance.³³
27 Similarly reasons for not attending SEP in a German clinic included deficient patient
28 motivation, travel distance, and the perception that exercise is physically demanding.³⁸

29 Alternative services in place when a SEP is not available or feasible

30 The majority (75%) of patients in one study reported that they had received nonspecific advice
31 to walk.³⁶ Other reported alternatives included receiving specific instruction on how much walk
32 that can be done (43%),³¹ and receiving verbal advice or leaflets (30%).^{31,33}

33

34 DISCUSSION

35 The key aims of this systematic scoping review were to identify and map the body of literature
36 related to routine provision of exercise for the treatment IC. Findings provide an essential
37 contribution for reflections and research on access, utilization and stakeholders' perspectives
38 on the guideline-recommended, non-invasive therapy for this patient population. It was

1 deliberate to include only studies that reported on exercise in routine care, and excluded the
2 vast majority of studies because they reported on trials and/or experimental studies of exercise
3 interventions. The inclusion of only eight eligible studies underscores the paucity of literature
4 on this topic. The overall trend showed that literature related to provision of exercise in routine
5 care for persons with PAD and IC is relatively new (<14years old), and nonexistent in majority
6 of countries in Europe and around the world.

7 Similarly, the overall volume of literature remained small despite the overwhelming evidence
8 of the benefits and effectiveness of exercise therapy in experimental literature. Furthermore,
9 the geographical location of studies highlights that larger area of the global health systems is
10 not yet represented. For instance, the majority of the publications were from the Netherlands
11 and United Kingdom, and no data was found originating from outside Europe. This may
12 indicate that despite the fact that much research evidence supporting exercise as a treatment for
13 IC is relatively old, research into practices related to routine provision of exercises in health
14 care systems is yet to be made a research priority. Paucity of research into provision of exercise
15 in the management of IC may also reflect the absence of this service in most public health
16 systems around the world. For instance the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
17 in the US only gave a national coverage decision (NCD) of supervised exercise therapy for
18 PAD and IC in mid-2017.³⁹ Until this decision, there was no national coverage reimbursement
19 for supervised exercise therapy treatment for patients with PAD and IC.

20 Although vascular surgeons in parts of Europe generally recognize SEPs to be beneficial to
21 patients with PAD and IC, they do not often refer their patients for SEPs due to the
22 unavailability of programs or lack of access. Where programs are available and accessible,
23 challenges related to patients' engagement and adherence were significant causes of sub-
24 optimal implementation, and may be some of the reasons why about 45% of surgeons within
25 Europe refer less than 50% of their eligible patients to SEP.³¹ Another important concern is
26 that routine SEPs may not be complying with the best practice recommendations in terms of
27 frequency. For instance, the frequency of the sessions for the greater majority of SEPs in the
28 UK is once a week,^{33,34} and this arguably raises a question about the efficacy of the programs.
29 The included studies in this review did not research on why the hospitals are only putting on
30 the sessions once per week. However, there is an opinion that the commissioners in the UK
31 NHS are reluctant to fund SEPs and best medical therapy in majority of patients with IC.
32 Certainly, this highlights the need to adequately incentivize and reward hospitals to prioritise
33 supervised exercise and best medical therapy as a first option prior to surgical intervention.²¹
34 Similarly, barriers to exercise in patients with PAD and IC are multidimensional including
35 individual level factors (e.g. poor health literacy and comorbid health concerns), disease
36 specific factors (e.g. claudication pain), and availability or otherwise of environmental and
37 social enablers,^{40,41} and worth considering when planning exercise for patients.

38 Despite seeing no improvement in the walking distances of patients at 12 weeks, 60% of
39 providers considered continuing SEPs. Some patients specific factors may require a longer
40 duration than 12 weeks for important benefits to be accrued. In addition, there is evidence of
41 benefits to overall cardiovascular health and quality of life of exercise in patients with PAD

1 and IC, separate from any improvement in walking ability measures.⁴² Indeed, it is the potential
2 improvement in cardiovascular health and the overall potential secondary prevention benefits
3 that is central to exercise therapy recommendations in patients with PAD and IC.^{42,43} Therefore,
4 considering continuing SEPs in absence of improvement in walking distances is
5 recommended.³⁹

6 Some limitation regarding the review findings need to be considered. First, although our search
7 string aimed to include data from all regions of the world, it was limited to peer review
8 published English language literature. Literature in other languages may not have been
9 retrieved, and retrieved data were limited to a few countries within Europe. Second, poor
10 response rates in the surveys of vascular surgeon in the UK (24.6%), and in Europe (23%)
11 meant that caution should be applied when generalizing finding to all the UK or Europe.

12

13 Conclusion

14 A number of conclusions can be drawn. SEPs are not always utilized by referring healthcare
15 providers. Although health professionals recognize that SEPs are useful and should be available
16 and accessible to patients with IC, available evidence indicates that SEPs are not always
17 available or accessible to patients. When available, the sustainability of continual provision of
18 SEPs in the continuum of chronic disease pathway of IC may not be feasible due to the resource
19 and time cost to both the patient and the health system. Key areas of focus for integrating and
20 implementing exercise recommendations to routine clinical practice in people with IC are
21 needed. It may be important to understand factors such as barriers and enablers to exercise in
22 individuals with PAD and IC. Although some may be similar across health systems, many may
23 be specific to each health system and need to be investigated individually. It will be beneficial
24 to understand why health systems do not fund SEPs for PAD and IC despite the overwhelming
25 evidence for the clinical and cost effectiveness. This may be important to further understanding
26 of patient, environmental and behavioral constructs worth considering in developing relevant
27 and patient-focused intervention to increase the availability of and access to exercise programs,
28 as well as to encourage the uptake and adherence to exercise in people with PAD and IC.

29 Author contribution: Conception and initial drafting of manuscript (UOA); Design, data
30 collection, studies eligibility assessment, data extraction and analysis (UOA and ODD); Data
31 visualization and validation (PD, GT, SW, JB, CS); Supervision (PD, CS); Critical revision of
32 the results for important intellectual content (UOA, PD, GT, SW, JB, CS). All authors read,
33 provided feedback and approved the final version of the manuscript to be published. UOA is
34 the guarantor.

35 Funding: The first author received funding by the University of Nigeria through the TETFund
36 for a PhD at Glasgow Caledonian University. This scoping review is part of a work towards
37 the award of his PhD. University of Nigeria have no input on the interpretation or publication
38 of study results. Glasgow Caledonian University provided material support in the conduct and
39 management of this scoping review but have no input on the interpretation or publication of
40 results.

1 Competing interests: None declared.

2 Data sharing statement: All necessary information is included in this manuscript.

3 Ethics: This research made use of already published papers and did not require ethics approval.

4 Patient Consent Form: NA

5

6 **References**

7 1 Criqui MH, Aboyans V. Epidemiology of Peripheral Artery Disease. *Circ Res*
8 2015;**116**(9):1509–26. Doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.303849.

9 2 McDermott MM, Liu K, Greenland P, Guralnik JM, Criqui MH, Chan C, et al.
10 Functional decline in peripheral arterial disease: associations with the ankle brachial
11 index and leg symptoms. *JAMA* 2004;**292**(4):453–61. Doi: 10.1001/jama.292.4.453.

12 3 McDermott MM, Ferrucci L, Liu K, Guralnik JM, Tian L, Liao Y, et al. Leg symptom
13 categories and rates of mobility decline in peripheral arterial disease. *J Am Geriatr Soc*
14 2010;**58**(7):1256–62. Doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02941.x.

15 4 Inglis SC, Lewsey JD, Lowe GDO, Jhund P, Gillies M, Stewart S, et al. Angina and
16 intermittent claudication in 7403 participants of the 2003 Scottish Health Survey: Impact
17 on general and mental health, quality of life and five-year mortality. *Int J Cardiol*
18 2013;**167**(5):2149–55. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2012.05.099.

19 5 Sieminski DJ, Gardner AW. The relationship between free-living daily physical activity
20 and the severity of peripheral arterial occlusive disease. *Vasc Med* 1997;**2**(4):286–91.
21 Doi: 10.1177/1358863X9700200402.

22 6 dos Anjos Souza Barbosa JP, Henriques PM, de Barros MVG, Wolosker N, Ritti-Dias
23 RM. Physical activity level in individuals with peripheral arterial disease: A systematic
24 review. *J Vasc Bras* 2012;**11**(1):1–7.

25 7 Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE,
26 et al. 2016 AHA/ACC guideline on the management of patients with lower extremity
27 peripheral artery disease: A report of the American college of cardiology/American
28 Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation* 2017:e726–
29 79. Doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000471.

30 8 McDermott MMG, Kibbe MR. Improving lower extremity functioning in peripheral
31 artery disease: Exercise, endovascular revascularization, or both? *JAMA - J Am Med*
32 *Assoc* 2017:689–90. Doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.20673.

33 9 Aherne T, McHugh S, Kheirelseid EA, Lee MJ, McCaffrey N, Moneley D, et al.
34 Comparing Supervised Exercise Therapy to Invasive Measures in the Management of
35 Symptomatic Peripheral Arterial Disease. *Surg Res Pract* 2015;**2015**:1–10. Doi:
36 10.1155/2015/960402.

37 10 Fokkenrood H, Bendermacher B, Lauret G, Willigendael E, Prins M, Teijink J.

- 1 Supervised exercise therapy versus non-supervised exercise therapy for intermittent
2 claudication. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(8).
- 3 11 Guidon M, McGee H. One-year effect of a supervised exercise programme on functional
4 capacity and quality of life in peripheral arterial disease. *Disabil Rehabil*
5 2013;**35**(5):397–404. Doi: 10.3109/09638288.2012.694963.
- 6 12 Gerhard-Herman MD, Gornik HL, Barrett C, Barshes NR, Corriere MA, Drachman DE,
7 et al. 2016 AHA/ACC Guideline on the Management of Patients With Lower Extremity
8 Peripheral Artery Disease: Executive Summary. *Circulation*
9 2016;**63**(22):CIR.0000000000000470. Doi: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000470.
- 10 13 Fowkes F, Armitage M, Belch J, Bell G, Brittenden J, Doig H, et al. Diagnosis and
11 management of Peripheral Arterial Disease. A National Clinical Guideline.
12 *SIGNScottish Intercolleg Guidel Netw* 2006;**89**(October):41.
- 13 14 Tendera M, Aboyans V, Bartelink M-L, Baumgartner I, Clément D, Collet J-P, et al.
14 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of peripheral artery diseases. *Eur Heart*
15 *J* 2011;**32**(22):2851–906. Doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehr211.
- 16 15 Fokkenrood Hugo JP, Bendermacher Bianca LW, Lauret Gert J, Willigendael Edith M,
17 Prins Martin H, Teijink Joep a W. Supervised exercise therapy versus non-supervised
18 exercise therapy for intermittent claudication. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2013;(8).
19 Doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD005263.pub3.
- 20 16 Gardner AW, Parker DE, Montgomery PS, Blevins SM. Step-monitored home exercise
21 improves ambulation, vascular function, and inflammation in symptomatic patients with
22 peripheral artery disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Am Heart Assoc*
23 2014;**3**(5):e001107. Doi: 10.1161/JAHA.114.001107.
- 24 17 McDermott MM, Liu K, Guralnik JM, Criqui MH, Spring B, Tian L, et al. Home-based
25 walking exercise intervention in peripheral artery disease: a randomized clinical trial.
26 *JAMA* 2013;**310**(1):57–65. Doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.7231.
- 27 18 Askew CD, Parmenter B, Leicht AS, Walker PJ, Golledge J. Exercise & Sports Science
28 Australia (ESSA) position statement on exercise prescription for patients with peripheral
29 arterial disease and intermittent claudication. *J Sci Med Sport* 2014:623–9. Doi:
30 10.1016/j.jsams.2013.10.251.
- 31 19 Layden J, Michaels J, Bermingham S, Higgins B. Diagnosis and management of lower
32 limb peripheral arterial disease: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ (British Med Journal)*
33 2012;**345**(aug08 1):e4947–e497. Doi: 10.1136/bmj.e4947.
- 34 20 Lane R, Harwood A, Watson L, Leng GC. Exercise for intermittent claudication.
35 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2017. Doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD000990.pub4.
- 36 21 Popplewell MA, Bradbury AW. Why Do Health Systems Not Fund Supervised Exercise
37 Programmes for Intermittent Claudication? *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2014;**48**(6):608–
38 10.
- 39 22 Jivegård L, Nordanstig J. Re: “Why Do Health Systems Not Fund Supervised Exercise
40 Programmes for Intermittent Claudication.” *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2015:262–4.
41 Doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2015.03.057.

- 1 23 Lee S. Evaluating serviceability of healthcare servicescapes: Service design perspective.
2 *Int J Des* 2011;**5**(2):61–71.
- 3 24 Mosadeghrad AM. Factors Influencing Healthcare Service Quality. *Int J Heal Policy*
4 *Manag* 2014;**3**(2):77–89. Doi: 10.15171/ijhpm.2014.65.
- 5 25 Bandura A. Social Cognitive Theory of Personality. *Handb Personal Theory Res*
6 1999:154–96. Doi: 10.1016/0749-5978(91)90022-L.
- 7 26 Gommans L, Teijink J. Attitudes to supervised exercise therapy. *Br J Surg*
8 2015;**105**(10):1153–5.
- 9 27 Arksey H, O’Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *Int J*
10 *Soc Res Methodol* 2005;**8**(1):19–32. Doi: 10.1080/1364557032000119616.
- 11 28 Levac D, Colquhoun H, O’Brien KK. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology.
12 *Implement Sci* 2010. Doi: 10.1186/1748-5908-5-69.
- 13 29 Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O’Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA
14 Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern*
15 *Med* 2018;**169**(7):467. Doi: 10.7326/M18-0850.
- 16 30 Peters MDJ, Godfrey CM, Khalil H, McInerney P, Parker D, Soares CB. Guidance for
17 conducting systematic scoping reviews. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2015;**13**(3):141–6.
18 Doi: 10.1097/XEB.0000000000000050.
- 19 31 Makris GC, Lattimer CR, Lavidia A, Geroulakos G. Availability of supervised exercise
20 programs and the role of structured home-based exercise in peripheral arterial disease.
21 *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2012;**44**(6):569–75. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2012.09.009.
- 22 32 Littlewood C, May S. Understanding Physiotherapy Research. Newcastle upon Tyne:
23 Cambridge Scholars Publishing; 2013.
- 24 33 Shalhoub J, Hamish M, Davies AH. Supervised exercise for intermittent claudication -
25 An under-utilised tool. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2009;**91**(6):473–6. Doi:
26 10.1308/003588409X432149.
- 27 34 Harwood AE, Smith G, Broadbent E, Cayton T, Carradice D, Chetter I. Access to
28 supervised exercise services for peripheral vascular disease patients: Which factors
29 determine the current provision of supervised exercise in the UK? *R Coll Surgen Bull*
30 2017;**99**(6):207–11. Doi: DOI: 10.1308/rcsbull.2017.207.
- 31 35 Bartelink M-LEL, Wullink M, Stoffers HEJH, Hoes AW. Walking exercise in patients
32 with intermittent claudication not well implemented in Dutch primary care. *Eur J Gen*
33 *Pr* 2005;**11**(1):27–8.
- 34 36 Bartelink M-L, Stoffers HEJH, Biesheuvel CJ, Hoes AW. Walking exercise in patients
35 with intermittent claudication. Experience in routine clinical practice. *Br J Gen Pract*
36 2004;**54**(March):196–200.
- 37 37 Lauret GJ, van Dalen HC, Hendriks HJ, van Sterkenburg SM, Koelemay MJ, Zeebregts
38 CJ, et al. When is supervised exercise therapy considered useful in peripheral arterial
39 occlusive disease? A nationwide survey among vascular surgeons. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc*
40 *Surg* 2012;**43**(3):308–12. Doi: 10.1016/j.ejvs.2011.12.013.

- 1 38 Müller-Bühl U, Engeser P, Leutgeb R, Szecsenyi J. Low attendance of patients with
2 intermittent claudication in a German community-based walking exercise program. *Int*
3 *Angiol* 2012;**31**:271–275.
- 4 39 Jensen TS, Joseph C, Ashby L, Schaferl J, David D. Decision Memo for Supervised
5 Exercise Therapy (SET) for Symptomatic Peripheral Artery Disease (PAD) (CAG-
6 00449N). USA; 2017.
- 7 40 Abaraogu U, Ezenwankwo E, Dall P, Tew G, Stuart W, Brittenden J, et al. Barriers and
8 enablers to walking in individuals with intermittent claudication: A systematic review
9 to conceptualize a relevant and patient-centered program. *PLoS One*
10 2018;**13**(7):e0201095. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0201095.
- 11 41 Abaraogu UO, Ezenwankwo EF, Dall PM, Seenan CA. Living a burdensome and
12 demanding life: A qualitative systematic review of the patients experiences of peripheral
13 arterial disease. *PLoS One* 2018;**13**(11):e0207456. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0207456.
- 14 42 Novakovic M, Jug B, Lenasi H. Clinical impact of exercise in patients with peripheral
15 arterial disease. *Vascular* 2017. Doi: 10.1177/1708538116678752.
- 16 43 Conte MS, Pomposelli FB, Clair DG, Geraghty PJ, McKinsey JF, Mills JL, et al. Society
17 for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines for atherosclerotic occlusive disease of the
18 lower extremities: Management of asymptomatic disease and claudication. *J Vasc Surg*
19 2015;2S–41S. Doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2014.12.009.
- 20
- 21