

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Klein, Barbara, Gaerdt, Lone and Cook, Glenda (2013) Emotional robots: principles and practice with PARO in Denmark, Germany and the UK. *GeroPsych: The Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry*, 26 (2). pp. 89-99. ISSN 1662-9647

Published by: Hans Huber

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1024/1662-9647/a000085> <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1024/1662-9647/a000085>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/11665/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



GeroPsych The Journal of Gerontopsychology and Geriatric Psychiatry

Emotional robots: principles and practice with PARO in Denmark, Germany and the UK

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GEROPSYCH-D-12-00041R1
Full Title:	Emotional robots: principles and practice with PARO in Denmark, Germany and the UK
Short Title:	Emotional robots
Article Type:	Clinical Case Report
Keywords:	Emotional robots; socio-pedagogical concept; robot-therapy; social activity; dementia care
Corresponding Author:	Barbara Renate Klein, Dr. Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main - University of Applied Sciences Frankfurt am Main, GERMANY
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main - University of Applied Sciences
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Barbara Renate Klein, Dr.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Barbara Renate Klein, Dr. Lone Gaedt, B.physiotherapy, M.Ed. Glenda Anne Cook, PhD M.A. Medical Ethics BSCpsyc RGN RNT
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Manuscript Region of Origin:	GERMANY
Abstract:	As societies age there will be a significant increase of those over 80 and a predicted increase in people with dementia. We know that loneliness increases with old age, and those living with dementia are at risk of social isolation. Also opportunities for sensory stimulation and engagement in pleasurable activities are reduced in old age. The question is what technologies can be used to extend the range of available interventions that can enhance well-being. Emotional robots have been developed for activity and therapeutic purposes. This article explores experiences of the emotional robot PARO in Denmark, Germany and UK, and provides principles of this robot as an activity or activity with a therapeutic purpose.
Suggested Reviewers:	
Opposed Reviewers:	
Response to Reviewers:	<p>Reviewer#1: Geropsych-D-12-00041</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We clarified our understanding of activity and activities with therapeutic purpose (e.g. p. 5, p.8-11) 2. we followed the recommendation to dismiss health technology assessment 3. Ethical concerns are dealt with p.20-21 / As we dismissed point 2, there is no need to synthesize anymore. 4. minor changes: are all dealt with <p>Reviewer#2: We considered Japanese research where appropriate, but no systematic review or comparison due to the presentation of and focus on the three countries.</p> <p>Reviewer #3:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. the intention of the article is to explore the findings on PARO in Denmark, Germany and the UK. 2. Critical aspects are discussed in the ethical concerns.

- | | |
|--|---|
| | <ol style="list-style-type: none">3. Consideration of these aspects in Chapter 5, esp. 5.1 and 5.24. the methodological approaches differ very much / descriptions are in chapter 4 and table 1.5. Final chapter is changed6. see reviewer 1, point 4. |
|--|---|

**Emotional robots: principles and practice with PARO in Denmark, Germany and the
UK**

Barbara Klein¹, Lone Gaedt², Glenda Cook³

¹ Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main – University of Applied Sciences, Germany

² Danish Technological Institute, Odense, Denmark

³Northumbria University, Newcastle, England

Corresponding Author: Prof. Dr. Barbara Klein

Faculty of Social Work and Health, Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main – University of
Applied Sciences, Nibelungenplatz 1, 60318 Frankfurt am Main, bklein@fb4.fh-frankfurt.de

1
2
3
4 **ABSTRACT**
5

6
7 As societies age there will be a significant increase of those over 80 and a predicted increase
8
9 in people with dementia. We know that loneliness increases with old age, and those living
10
11 with dementia are at risk of social isolation. Also opportunities for sensory stimulation and
12
13 engagement in pleasurable activities are reduced in advanced old age. The question is what
14
15 technologies can be used to extend the range of available interventions than can enhance
16
17 well-being. Emotional robots have been developed for activity and therapeutic purposes. This
18
19 article explores experiences of the emotional robot PARO in Denmark, Germany and UK,
20
21 and provides principles of this robot as an activity or as an activity with a therapeutic purpose
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **KEYWORDS:** Emotional robots; socio-pedagogical concept; robot-therapy; social activity;
29
30 dementia care
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Emotional Robots: Principles and practice with PARO in Denmark, Germany and the UK

1. Robots in Care?

Most Western societies are experiencing ageing of the population. The fastest increase is in the over eighty group. This human success story is often referred to as an ageing problem with the discourse focusing on the increased incidence of chronic disease, co-morbidity and frailty, and the associated need for care that these health problems bring. Dementia is a condition that is highlighted within this discourse as the prevalence of dementia increases with advancing age: in the 70-74 years-old age group the prevalence is 5.5%; 75-79: 7.4%; 80-84: 15.7%; 85-89: 26.3%; 90-94: 41.0% and 95 and over: 46.3% (EUROCODE, 2009). This condition sharpens attention to the social and emotional consequences of living with a chronic condition. People living with dementia can experience a poor quality of life as a consequence of reduced social engagement, inactivity and reduced stimulation.

One response to the challenges of ageing societies has been the development of robotic technologies. These developments can be classified according to their purposes: rehabilitation robotics enabling lower and upper limb training. Prominent for rehabilitation robots are developments such as HAL, a powered exoskeleton (Cyberdyne Inc., 2004-2013)

Service robots have the potential to provide care support, addressing problems that an individual faces in meeting their activities of daily living, for example Care-O-Bot. In a German nursing care home Care-O-Bot was tested with functionalities for handling drinks, documenting the intake in the care documentation, playing memory games and chanting with residents (Fraunhofer IPA, 2009). Other functionalities of service robots have been explored including their potential to support fetch and carry tasks. CASERO was tested in the same

1 nursing care home as Care-O-Bot, and was used for transporting heavy loads such as the
2 clothes basket, and monitoring the hallways throughout the night. Telepresence robots such
3 as GIRAFF of VGo can be utilized for monitoring purposes for nursing care or social work
4 staff, but also for communicating with relatives and friends over distances (Giraff, 2012,
5 Lewis D., 2012, VGo, undated). Whilst rehabilitation and service robots support residual
6 capacity following illness or degeneration or address functional limitations in meeting the
7 demands of independent living they do not optimize emotional and social well-being –
8 important determinants of quality of life.
9

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19 Since the nineties there has been the emergence of emotional robots also referred to as
20 social robots or companion robots. These include AIBO, Yumel, PLEO, Huggable and
21 PaPeRo (Broekens, Heering & Rosendahl, 2009; Heerink, 2010; Turkle, 2011; Klein, 2011;
22 Meyer 2011). These robots interact with people and have been introduced to the health and
23 care sectors internationally as activities or tools that might be used with (socio)pedagogic,
24 social and therapeutic purposes, underpinned by the development of the concept of
25 “robotherapy” by Libin & Libin in 2004. “Robotherapy . . . aimed at the reconstruction of a
26 person’s negative experiences through the development of coping strategies, mediated by
27 technological tools, in order to provide a platform for building new positive life skills”.

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 This raises many questions including: What is the potential of robot-activity/
42 intervention? Has robot-therapy the potential of a new approach in social-pedagogy? Can
43 robot activity/ intervention contribute to well-being of people with dementia? What are the
44 ethical issues associated with the use of an emotional robot?
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 Robots used in a social and caregiving contexts is a new research area that focuses on
52 understanding the potential of practice applications, and person – robot interaction and
53 communication. The research is also concerned with the impact of this complex intervention,
54 with the emphasis on understanding psychological effect (emotion and behavior), impact on
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 prognosis, and influence on social contexts (Libin & Libin, 2004). This paper focuses on use
2 of the robot seal ‘PARO’ in the context of care homes (residential and nursing) for older
3 people in Europe.
4
5

6
7 PARO is an emotional robot in form of a baby harp seal (Shibata & Tanie 1999).
8
9 PARO has touch sensors over its body and in the whiskers, sensors to detect position and
10 temperature, vision and hearing. Actuators include eyelids, upper body motors, front paw and
11 hind limb motors as well as calling sounds. PARO has both pro-active and reactive
12 programmed behaviors. These features enable PARO to interact with people in a baby-like
13 animal manner.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 The resemblance of PARO to an infant seal raises the issue whether the interaction
23 with the seal is comparable to the form of interaction that occurs in animal assisted activities
24 (AAA) or animal assisted therapy (AAT). In a socio-pedagogic framework the objective of
25 AAA is to contribute to wellbeing, entertainment and fun: that is creating a situation for
26 enjoyment. This can be realized with groups or individuals. In contrast to AAA, AAT
27 specifies goals to be achieved and is realized mainly with individuals. These approaches are
28 in line with many other concepts and approaches such as validation and ongoing further
29 developments aimed at an affirmative communication, self-preservation-therapy (SET)
30 geared at stabilizing the person’s own identity, reality orientation therapy (ROT) aimed at
31 getting access to lost relations to reality, Snoezelen aims to improve perception through
32 multi-sensory stimulation, similar is aromatherapy which pursues alleviation of anxiety and
33 agitation, 10 minutes activation – a common approach in German care homes - aims to
34 trigger key stimuli, music therapy and doll therapy aiming to promote interaction. Although
35 with different purposes these concepts and approaches are used with people living with
36 dementia to address the symptoms and problems arising from the condition.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 This article provides an overview of experiences with the robotic seal, PARO, in three
2 countries –Denmark, Germany and the UK. These three countries have different experiences
3 and approaches in the utilization of the PARO. Through discussion the authors identified that
4 they adopted similar, yet different approaches to the implementation of PARO activity.
5
6
7

8
9 This paper explores these approaches and presents principles for the use of PARO in the
10 context of care homes in each country, and these form the foundation for recommendations
11 for a standardized approach to support cross cultural comparisons of the outcomes derived
12 from PARO activity. The paper considers methodological issues in order to appraise current
13 understanding of ways to use PARO.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 **2. Background information on the three countries**

25 The discussion in this paper is on the experience of PARO in care homes in Denmark,
26 Germany and UK. These countries are amongst the early adopters of emotional robots, both
27 within the international context as well as in Europe. The emerging literature largely reflects
28 experiences arising from Asia (e.g. Heerink, 2010), hence this paper seeks to contribute a
29 European perspective. To add context to this paper an overview of population trends and
30 directions in policy related to service for people with dementia is presented.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 European countries are ageing societies. Eurostats' latest set of population projections
42 (EUROPOP, 2011: for the period 2011 to 2060) indicate that population ageing is likely to
43 affect all EU Member States. There will be progressive ageing of the older population, as the
44 proportion of those over eighty is growing at a faster pace than any other age segment of the
45 EU's population. This is reflected in projections for Denmark that will witness an increase of
46 approximately 200% of those 80+ during the period 2005-60, and proportionally less, though
47 not less impressive increases in Germany (111.9%) and the UK (151.7%) (EUROPOP, 2011)
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

With the increase in the over eighty population, internationally, it is predicted that there will be an increase in the incidence of dementia. The 2010 report by Alzheimer's Disease International estimates 35.6 million people worldwide in 2010 and forecasts to nearly double every 20 years. In Europe the estimated number of people suffering from dementia varies between 6.5 and 7.3 million (Alzheimer Europe, 2009). Within Denmark 1.45 % of the population was reported in 2006 to experience dementia; Germany 1.66% and in the UK 1.54% (Alzheimer Europe, 2009). However, it is assumed that these numbers are underestimated because of lack of diagnosis in the early stages of dementia.

Changes in the structure of populations have focused attention on the need to develop innovative responses to the problems and challenges that accompany this ageing phenomenon namely chronic disease, disability and frailty. These include disease management programs (Weingarten et al, 2002; Rijken et al, 2012), such as national service frameworks for chronic conditions, including dementia in Denmark and the UK; and the widespread adoption of assistive technology (Cash, 2003). The majority of assistive technologies such as cognitive aids, environmental sensors, video and audio technologies were developed or tested with people with mild or moderate dementia suggesting that there is a need for development of understanding of what is appropriate and effective for people with advanced dementia (Cook, Bailey and Moyle, in review). Moreover much of the existing assistive technologies being explored in the European context seek to support the functional, safety and security aspects of independent living with aim of promoting quality of life for those with dementia. Less attention has been given to examining technologies that have the potential to stimulate and provide opportunities for enjoyment for those with advanced dementia. It is within this context that the emergence of emotional robots has occurred. There is recognition in policy and practice (in Denmark: Redegørelse fra Sundhedsstyrelsens arbejdsgruppe vedrørende demens, 2001; England: Living well with dementia, 2011; and Germany: Ministry of Health

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

2013 that people with dementia should be supported to engage in activities that are meaningful and promote their quality of life.

Adoption of PARO

Denmark started using PARO in 2004 and has now the highest penetration of the robot seal in the world: 210 PARO's in December 2012, which means that PARO is present in 70 % of the 98 municipalities. The Danish Technological Institute (DTI) cooperates with local PARO-distributors in other European countries and collects the different national PARO-experiences. In England only two care homes have experience of PARO. This was in the context of a practice development initiative led by Northumbria University. More than 30 care homes have a PARO in Germany, and a further 9 care homes provide activities with PARO through a visiting service. There are a further 20 PAROs in use in research institutions and universities across Germany (Bachhausen, 2012). Since 2008 the University of Applied Sciences Frankfurt utilizes PARO in the bachelor social work course to promote competence to work with older residents in care services.

3. Why robot-therapy is being used as an intervention for people with dementia

Therapeutic (Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary, 2009) in everyday language, means to attend, treat, relating to the treatment of disease or disorder by remedial agents or methods. This is a prescriptive and narrow understanding of therapeutic. In the context of nursing, for example the use of the term therapeutic means a way through to an outcome, through restoring wellbeing, health or quality of life (Lima-Basto et al., 2010). Interpersonal therapeutic interventions are characterized by McMahon & Pearson (1998) as developing a partnership, intimacy, and reciprocity in the nurse-client relationship, caring and comforting, physical intervention, teaching, manipulation of the environment and alternative health

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

practices. With a focus on the psycho-social aspects of the interaction between a service user and professional there is a substantial move away from the narrow prescriptive definition of therapeutic. This points to the importance of considering the purpose and outcomes of a therapeutic encounter. When we refer to a 'therapeutic' intervention in relation to PARO in this paper it is with the very broad conceptualization embracing the psycho-social and emotional elements of the encounter.

These ideas concerning activity that has therapeutic purpose are relevant when considering the characteristics, nature and purpose of human-robot interaction. Service robots have functions that perform tasks required by the operator. The purpose of emotional robots is less evident. These may include enjoyment, socio/psychological (e.g. mood improvement, social interaction, social connection: Cook, Clarke & Cowie, 2009) and physiological (e.g. stress reduction) purposes (Bemelmans et al, 2012).

Concerning stress reduction, Swedish Kerstin Uvnäs-Moberg from the Karolinska Institute in Stockholm has documented that the hormone oxytocin has a potential physiological anti stress effect by decreasing blood pressure and cortisol levels (see for example: Uvnäs-Moberg, 1998). The oxytocin hormone is released by somatosensory stimulation/ nonnoxious stimuli such as breastfeeding, touching, warm temperature, soft massage, petting, hugging, physical proximity etc., which may further lead to calm social behavior and positive social interaction in a more general context. The evidence concerning effect of oxytocin hormone in relation to stress, behavior and social interaction, may contribute to explain why PARO is powerful for some users, when placed on the lap/ next to the user, and he/ she thereby is able to pet, touch and hug PARO.

Oxytocin released in response to social stimuli may be part of a neuroendocrine substrate which underlies the benefits of positive social experiences, and such processes may in addition explain the health-promoting effects of certain alternative therapies, including

1 PARO. Urine tests taken from nine individuals showed improved values (Wada, Shibata,
2 2007b).
3

4 If the robot is to fulfill the purpose of social interaction, it beckons questions about
5 interaction with what and with whom. At a minimal level interaction can be between the
6 robot and the user, in social contexts the interaction may include other stakeholders thus
7 interaction is between robot to human and human. The human to human interaction may
8 focus on the robot and in this situation the robot becomes a stimulus for interaction.
9

10 Developing activities that influence social stimulation is important in populations where these
11 issues have a negative influence on the quality of an individual's life.
12

13 This is illustrated in the case of people with dementia. Living with dementia impacts
14 on social interaction in two distinct ways: It leads to changes in the person diagnosed with
15 dementia. These individuals can experience memory loss, communication difficulties such as
16 word finding problems, use of words in inappropriate contexts, repetitive questioning and
17 poor articulation, and inability to understand what is said to them (Cook, 2008). Charlotte
18 Clarke (2009) points out that the person who has dementia can also experience changes in the
19 way that other people approach them and the way in which they communicate with and
20 involve the person (Cook, Clarke & Cowie, 2009). However, people will also learn to be
21 passive – if people are treated as though they are incapable of social interaction then they will
22 withdraw and cease trying to communicate (Whitworth, Perkins, Lesserl., 1999).
23

24 These points can be addressed in PARO activity. The intervention is constructed as a
25 novel situation where all participants are perceived to be capable of interacting with PARO
26 and have the opportunity to engage with the robot. Different methods have been adopted for
27 the human-robot interaction. Heerink (2010) gives an overview of the utilization of
28 companion robots. Most of the studies were case studies on AIBO and PARO, undertaken in
29 Japan (see for example Wada and Shibata, 2007a). Positive outcomes were predominantly
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 reported “Elderly react positively with respect to mood, health status, memory function and
2 social connections with others. ... companion robots seem to alleviate stress (e.g. measured
3 by stress hormones in urine) and increase social interaction (measured by frequency of
4 contact between elderly).” (Heerink, 2010)
5
6
7
8

9 Studies (see Marti et al 2006; Wada and Shibata, 2007a, b; Heerink 2010; Broekens et
10 al 2009, Moyle et al, in press) indicate that people, including those with advanced dementia,
11 have skills to interact with the PARO. Interacting with a robot is a novel situation; therefore it
12 is possible for preconceived ideas to be set aside. The activity is also familiar (stroking a
13 pet/toy) therefore individuals have a point of reference and comparison. This enables them to
14 bring pre-existing knowledge of how animals react to human contact that can be used to
15 shape interaction during an encounter with the robot. The sensory feedback between the
16 human and robot maintains the interaction and ensures that the encounter is dynamic and
17 unique to the individual.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 32 33 34 **4. PARO-Approaches in Denmark, England and Germany**

35 In the following section the approaches in the three countries are presented in order to
36 analyze indicators and inherent principles on the use of PARO.
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **4.1 Danish Approach**

44 **Objective**

45 The Danish Technological Institute (DTI) is a technology transfer organization and
46 the key task is to ensure that new knowledge and technology can quickly be converted into
47 value for customers in the form of new or improved products, materials, processes, methods
48 and organizational structures.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 DTI is the European distributor for PARO and has established a PARO project. The
2 primary objective of this -project is to focus on documenting effects of using PARO for
3 different target groups and gather knowledge about conditions for successful interaction
4 between humans and robots. This knowledge is used to inform on development and use of
5 robots in general. PARO is used in Denmark for older people with dementia, and for people
6 with developmental disorders, autism, brain damage, neurological syndromes and mental
7 illness.
8
9

10 The project also aims to contribute to the development of a generic methodology that
11 may assess effects and use of welfare technologies. The PARO project adopts a systematic
12 approach for the collection of quantitative and qualitative data in relation to the use of PARO
13 in real life contexts. The following findings are derived from this data, in particular the
14 results from a questionnaire that was completed by approximately 100 caregivers who
15 participated in the one day certified qualification on the use of PARO. These individuals were
16 using PARO for at least three months.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Requirements for the utilization of PARO**

37 To ensure qualified, reflective and ethical implementation and use of PARO, DTI has
38 stipulated that PARO can only be bought if the caregivers/ pedagogues participate in a one-
39 day certified training. The content of this training addresses care-professional strategies and
40 ethical aspects of working with PARO, principles for daily use, information to residents,
41 relatives and colleagues, roles of caregivers and pedagogues, motivation or resistance in the
42 organization/ nursing home, evidence based practice, different ways to evaluate and
43 document the effect of PARO and maintenance of health and safety including processes for
44 maintaining hygiene standards.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 The certified training disseminates and suggests that PARO can be used in two
2 different ways: as an activity in order to stimulate the user and for amusement as a pet and
3 friend. Interaction with PARO can occur as a one-to-one individual activity or in a group in
4 much the same way as Animal Assisted Activity. Much more specialized, PARO can be used
5 on an individual basis and as socio-pedagogic (therapeutic) tool The purpose of this
6 application is to calm the user, wake up memories, stimulate the person to remember and
7 pronounce lost words/ language, revive the individuals identity as a caregiver. This is
8 illustrated in the following case:
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 “A man with advanced dementia had moved into a nursing home. At evening time he
23 left the home in spite of bad and cold winter weather, which was most dangerous to
24 him. The nurses became aware that he had always gone to bed with his dog, and they
25 suggested that PARO should be placed in bed with him. The result was that he easily
26 fell asleep and stayed in his bed all night long (which is AAT-use of PARO). After
27 very few days, he felt at home at the nursing home, and he then only used PARO
28 when it was time for PARO to go out and pee in a bush in the garden (which is AAA-
29 use of PARO)”.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 The certified training emphasizes that the way PARO is used, depends on the
45 interests, biography of the person with dementia, resources and disabilities of the
46 individual(s), as well as the situation. Hence caregivers and pedagogues need to be creative,
47 imaginative and observant when using PARO, to optimize positive outcomes.
48
49
50
51
52

53 The indication for using PARO typically precedes some or more of these symptoms:
54 easily falling asleep; a little sad; difficult to motivate the individual to attend to their personal
55 hygiene needs; difficulties in verbal communication, expressing humor and/or feelings
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 inappropriately; easily being confused; inner restless or worrying much; motoric restless/
2 shaking (arms, hands); leaving the nursing home at inappropriate times; aggressive
3 communication and behavior.
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 **Summary of experiences**

11
12 Results from the above mentioned questionnaire showed that caregivers used PARO
13 one to three hours on average a week, usually as an activity to stimulate or entertain residents
14 and only sometimes as a socio-pedagogic tool. 75% of the respondents say that PARO is
15 placed in the common room where residents can take PARO whenever they prefer.
16
17
18
19
20

21
22 End-user attitudes and reaction to PARO differ considerably. PARO can be viewed as
23 an indifferent, useless thing, and it may stimulate provocation. Alternatively end-users can
24 have an extremely positive response to PARO and it is treated as a companion.
25
26
27
28

29
30 People with dementia who do not like or reject PARO may react like this because
31 PARO has no meaning for them or because they dissociate from a 'childish toy' or because
32 they are stimulated and reminded of a tough life at the countryside when they were
33 responsible for the care of animals both day and night.
34
35
36
37
38

39
40 People with dementia who like and prefer PARO may react in this way because
41 PARO is functioning as a confident companion, PARO facilitates the use of words and verbal
42 communication, PARO stimulates memories, feelings and identity as a caregiver, stimulates
43 petting behavior and calms down (or wakes up
44
45
46
47
48
49 PARO appears to have a range of effects when it is accepted positively, for example as a
50 social mediator and a 'common third' in communication. For individuals who cannot engage
51 in complex interventions PARO can contribute to calmness and safety through reducing
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 of medication. Observations made showed that PARO can reduce aggressive behavior when
2 interacting with caregivers in the delivery of personal care.
3
4
5

6 7 **4.2 German Approach:**

8 9 **Objective**

10 Universities of Applied Sciences in Germany educate and undertake applied research.
11
12 The Faculty of Social Work and Health at the University of Applied Sciences in Frankfurt am
13 Main has the objective to qualify students on innovative technologies in the health care
14 sector. To achieve this a permanent exhibition on independent living focusing on innovative
15 new assistive technologies has been established with a corresponding cross media platform
16 (Klein et al, 2012).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 The robotic seal PARO was one of the first new technologies purchased in 2008.
27
28 Since summer term 2009 PARO is used in student -teaching research projects. In the bachelor
29 of social work course a module is offered on “user-orientation and well-being in service
30 provision of elder care.” The objective of the course is to give theory based insight to the
31 work of social workers in nursing care homes. This knowledge is transferred in practice in the
32 form of project work. Depending on the size of nursing care homes in Germany social
33 workers can have their work focus on managerial and organizational tasks, but most times
34 social workers are also responsible for organizing daily activities for residents.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **Requirements for the utilization of PARO**

49 Students have to complete a practice transfer project in teams of three to five students
50 and must comply with the following guidelines: They have to find a nursing care home and
51 obtain authorization to do the project by the management and obtain informed consent of
52 residents or legal custodians. They develop a concept using the chosen technology for
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 activities with the residents e.g. PARO, PLEO and software programs and carry out at least
2 three sessions with residents. Usually they record these sessions by video or observation
3
4 protocols and write up a project report. Then they participate in a 3-days lasting workshop,
5
6 where the experiences made are reflected and give a final presentation.
7
8
9

10 11 **Summary of experiences**

12 The following analysis is based on six project reports where PARO was utilized in nursing
13
14 care homes during 2009-2011. In total 37 residents participated, 30 of them were female.
15
16

17 There were 15 group and 32 individual interventions. The group interventions usually took 30
18
19 to 60 minutes, individual interventions between 10 to 30 minutes. Students usually
20
21 distinguished three phases for their activities according to Kramer (2007):
22
23

24 **Phase I: Preparation of the sessions**

25
26 Here they develop a concept and agree on roles during the intervention (facilitator, participant
27
28 observer, taking the videos) and agree if these will be individual or group interventions with
29
30 PARO. They agree with social worker/nurse or care staff on the number of residents who will
31
32 be invited to take part, and informed consent is obtained. Prior to the sessions the plan the
33
34 environment and prepare the room; obtain background information on the residents such as
35
36 age and gender.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Phase II: The intervention**

47
48 The sessions are opened with a round of introductions. Students explain their approach for
49
50 the activity/intervention. Usually, everybody who participates should be involved in social
51
52 interaction. Often, PARO lies on the table and every resident gets the opportunity to
53
54 touch/hold PARO. Students prepare a list of topics to talk about (e.g. how residents like
55
56 PARO, pets, mourning, emotions, etc.). After the session they say their farewell.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 Phase III: Follow-up of the session
3

4 A major role play the follow-up-reflections on what has happened and what can be changed
5 resp. improved the next time. Students analyze communication structures and talk with staff
6
7 in order to understand reactions.
8
9

10
11 The findings have been described in Klein 2011 and Klein, Cook 2012 and are
12
13 summarized in the UK section.
14
15
16

17
18
19 **4.3 English approach**
20

21 **Objective**
22

23
24 Northumbria University is involved in a practice development network “My Home
25 Life North East care homes”. Here a framework INTERACT has been developed to enhance
26 social interaction with residents suffering from dementia in nursing homes. Using this
27 framework in an exploratory study PARO was introduced in groups with four to five
28 residents (Cook, Clarke & Cowie, 2009). This study specifically sought to enhance social
29 interaction between older residents with dementia through a novel intervention that involved
30 facilitated group discussion with the emotional robot, PARO in 2009.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **Requirements for the utilization of PARO**
44

45
46 For research activities many care home organizations have their own research and
47 development governance processes. Prior to implementing PARO intervention in care homes
48 it has been necessary to secure these approvals from these regulatory bodies.
49
50
51
52
53

54
55 **Methods to gather information on the use and effect of PARO**
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 This was an ethnographic study of facilitated group discussions with PARO in a care
2 home in North East England. PARO sessions were led by a facilitator who was supported by
3 a care assistant who had known the participants for at least one year. The sessions were held
4 for a period of five weeks:
5
6
7
8
9

10
11 Session 1: orientation:
12

13 The PARO is placed out of sight while participants enter or are assisted to the room
14 and are seated around a table. At this point the facilitator explains that they have brought
15 something about which she would like their opinion. After the introduction the PARO is
16 brought out, placed on the table, and turned on. The residents are told ‘I have brought
17 something for you to see today. This is PARO. It was given to us by someone from Japan. I
18 am curious about what you think of PARO.’ After some introduction PARO is held by each
19 member of the group. As each participant holds PARO the facilitator asks the participants:
20 ‘What do you think of PARO? What do you want to know about PARO? What do you like or
21 dislike about PARO?’ ‘What can PARO sense?’ ‘What can PAO do?’ The session ends
22 when the discussion ceases and PARO is turned off. Participants are asked if they would like
23 to take part in a discussion with PARO next week.
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Session 2:
42

43 PARO is turned on when the participants are seated around the table. They are asked
44 if they can recall the PARO discussions from the previous week. Then they are invited to
45 interact with PARO in any way that they want. The facilitator leads discussions about what
46 name should be given to PARO. They are also invited to discuss the same questions as the
47 previous week: ‘What do you think of PARO? What do you want to know about PARO?
48 What do you like or dislike about PARO?’ They are encouraged to hold PARO, stroke PARO
49 and interact with PARO (for example by brushing PARO or cleaning PARO).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 Sessions 3-5:
3

4 Following initial interaction with PARO and exploration of any issues that arose
5 spontaneously the facilitator can introduce the following topics – ‘Have you had a pet in the
6 past? What type of pet? How long did you have the pet and what did you do with it? What
7 memories do you have of the pet? What were the most memorable moments with your pet?’
8
9 At the end of the fifth discussion the participants were asked about their views of
10 participating in the group discussions.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Summary of experiences**
23

24 The analysis of the project reports show a range of reported, mainly positive, effects (cf. also
25 Klein 2011; 2012; Klein & Cook 2012). These comprise behavior such as: (Tenderly)
26 touching the robot; (positive) mimic expressions and gestures; verbalization and talking with
27 the robot, often similar to the way adults talk with pets; stimulation of interaction with other
28 residents; caring behavior such as feeding, covering PARO with a blanket; twofold
29 consciousness of PARO as a subject and animal and also as an object and machine; but also
30 rejection, upset and dislike of PARO in rare circumstances
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Looking at these findings the questions arises whether they have relevance beyond
42 casuistic descriptions and case studies. The following discussion appraises the current
43 understanding derived from the above forms of PARO-therapy.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 **5. Discussion**
54

55 Following issues will be discussed: some reflections on the reasons for the differing
56 distribution of PARO and reflections on (ethical) concerns of the usage of PARO that has
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

been experienced by the authors. The approaches in Denmark, Germany and England are compared with a focus on study design and the necessities for research designs of a higher order are proposed. However, based on the authors positive experiences principles for using PARO are suggested which could provide a basis for the development of research designs.

5.1 Causes for the different distribution of PARO

Although, principles for the utilization of PARO can be derived through the work in the three different countries, it still does not answer issues such as why the distribution differs so enormously. These countries have policies to support well-being of people with dementia in nursing care homes. The costs for PARO are similar throughout Europe (ca. 5,000 Euro). The differences could be attributed to different funding systems – municipalities as main decision-makers in Denmark might have more financial possibilities and a political interest. E.g. the region of Odense where DTI is located has a robot-cluster with subsequent promotion of robotics including the healthcare sector. In Germany, nursing care home managers or the management of the superior (welfare) organization they belong to decide on these investments. There are cases where PARO has been bought as a consequence of sponsorship, fundraising and other special programs. In the UK, funding structures may not prioritize the purchase of PARO, particularly with the limited evidence base to determine its effectiveness.

Other factors which might contribute to the differences are attitudes towards new technologies, but also media coverage.

5.2 Ethical concerns

The field of robot activities for therapeutic purposes is developing. With the experiences of using PARO for therapeutic purposes there are many ethical issues, some that

1 have been discussed in the wider literature (e.g. Scholtz, 2008; Turkle, 2011). The authors
2 were often confronted with questions such as: Does the utilization of PARO contribute to
3 infantilisation of people suffering from dementia? Are there false pretenses when using a
4 robot which resembles a living animal? Will robots replace the original humanness through
5 the emotional approach?
6
7
8
9
10

11
12 Future cross-national research should explore different notions of age and images of
13 old age. The terms "social robot", "emotional robot" or "companion robot" feed an illusion
14 that can reinforce the idea of a social practice, according to which artificial agents are
15 perceived as equal interaction partners – how ethical is this when using PARO with people
16 with dementia? Christopher Scholtz demands that the person should still be capable of
17 distinguishing two mind sets which he describes as "twofold consciousness" (Scholtz, 2008)
18 switching between the knowledge that PARO is a robot and to behaving as if PARO is alive.
19 The German students did observe this capacity to 'switch' in their work; we do not know
20 whether this is still the case in more advanced dementia. These considerations raise ethical
21 debate about the effect of PARO when there is little understanding of the impact of
22 'switching' on the individual particularly if the requirement to do no harm is to be maintained.
23
24 The experiences discussed in this paper indicate that PARO can be an intervention to trigger
25 positive emotion and to stimulate social interaction, thus enabling the person with dementia
26 to experience pleasure and engagement with other people. However, whether this has a
27 higher priority than ethical concerns has to be discussed on a broader level.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **5.3 Comparison of the study designs**

52
53 In the following table 1 the experiences with PARO in the three countries are
54 classified according to their scientific impact.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1: Characteristics of the experiences with PARO in the 3 countries

Characteristics	Danish Data	German Data	English Data
Characteristica of the "research" use of PARO	Use of technology to gather experience and evaluations in order to develop technology	Teaching research projects in order to qualify students in observational skills and issues of social work	Ethnographic study of facilitated group discussion
Information collection / Research Methods	Questionnaire filled in by caregivers	Observing interactions, usually supported by videographing	Observing interaction
	Case reports, caregivers description, annual meetings with PARO-users	Additional: sociogramm of the interventions, Smiley-scale for residents: How did you like . . . ?	Notes after each session, which were validated by the supporting carer
	Analysis of nursing records	Questionnaire or interviews with (nursing)care staf or social worker	Interviews with the carer who supported the facilitator and other staff who observed the sessions
		Write up in project reports	Verbatim transcription
			Thematic analysis across observation and interview data set
Requirements for using PARO	Purchase of PARO	Qualification of students in a range of topics in elder care	Obtaining informed consent
	One day qualification of staff of the nursing care homes	Obtaining informed consent	
Hierarchy of the research design	Ideas, opinions	Ideas, opinions	
	Single case reports	Single case reports	Single case report
		Case Series?	

1
2 The evidence generated from experiences in the three countries indicates that care-
3
4 /pedagogic-professional interaction with PARO gives a variety of reactions and effects. There
5
6 is still hardly any evidence for whom, when, where, in what situations and relations, for how
7
8 long/ how many times PARO has a positive effect on people suffering from dementia.
9
10
11 Though there are many positive PARO-experiences, there are still many open questions and
12
13 therefore clearly a need to develop a higher order of evidence concerning PARO.
14
15
16
17
18

19 **5.4 Principles for practice with PARO**

20
21 As the first known pilot randomized controlled study on PARO, Moyle et al (in press)
22
23 report positive outcomes of intervention with PARO with people with dementia, following
24
25 principles in table 2 can be suggested for the use of PARO as an activity.
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 2: Suggested principles for utilizing PARO

Principles to be considered	Group facilitation	Individual facilitation
Characteristics of the facilitator	Skilled communicator	dito
	Ability to lead group discussions	
	In-depth understanding of dementia and how the condition impacts on individuals	dito
	In-depth understanding of PARO technology, functions and pedagogic and ethical aspects of using PARO	dito
	Sensitive to the needs of individuals	dito
	Positive attitude towards PARO	dito
Co-facilitator	Ensure that the co-facilitator knows each participant and has in-depth understanding of verbal and non-verbal communication to interpret positive and negative interactions with PARO and can respond appropriately	Probably no co-facilitator. Facilitator knows the resident and his/her biography and is able to interpret reactions and can respond appropriately
Organisational aspects	Managers and colleagues should have basic knowledge on PARO's technology, ethical and pedagogic aspects as well as be motivated for the use of PARO	dito
Developing the group	Small group interaction is most effective	
	Groups of up to 5 participants of mixed cognitive and communication abilities	
Planning the environment	Quiet delimited location. Notice: some people do not like to interact with PARO when others are around or looking	dito, the private room where the resident feels secure and private may be better
	Comfortable seating	Comfortable seating or lying if resident is bed-ridden
	Moderate lighting to enhance ambience	dito
	Ensure all participants can see, reach and touch PARO	
	(Wheel)chairs located around a table that PARO can lie on and that is not too big, so that everybody can reach, touch and pet PARO	PARO can be located on the lap or in the arms of the resident. If the person is bed-ridden PARO can be put onto the bed - depending on the wishes and reactions of the resident
Introducing PARO	Provide information about the nature and functions of PARO	dito
	Observe initial reactions	dito
	If an individual appears to become anxious/agitated/disturbed/angry/ provoked or other negative reactions when introduced to PARO, the co-facilitator will offer to withdraw PARO from the group	If the person appears to become anxious/agitated/disturbed/angry/ provoked or other negative reactions when introduced to PARO, the facilitator will offer to withdraw PARO
	Withdrawal will be followed by on-going support by someone who knows the individual to explore the reason for their distress and reassure them that they do not have to take part in future PARO sessions	Withdrawal will be followed by on-going support to explore the reason for distress and reassure the resident that he or she do not have to take part in future PARO sessions. Notice that some residents reject PARO in some situations or relations, but may be positive in other contexts
Promoting interaction with PARO	Opportunity for all participants to touch, hold, stroke and interact with PARO in different ways, for more or less time	dito
	Talk with the residents about their views, thoughts, reflections on technology, their past or what else comes up or is thought about. Facilitate dialogue, speaking, expressions, contact, arousal/ calmness, answer questions etc	dito
Supporting interaction between group members	Encourage sharing of PARO within the group	
	Introduce topics related to PARO to facilitate discussion	
	Introduce topics related to previous experience with pets/animals to facilitate discussion	
Involving non-group members in the PARO-activity	Often those who observe PARO attempt to join the group discussion	
Closing the session	Summarize the discussion/ reactions and attitudes of the residents	dito
	Indicate what is happening to PARO and where PARO is stored	dito
	Exploring if the participants want to take part in future PARO (group/ individual) activities	dito
	Explore what the participants want to do with PARO in the following session	dito
After the session	Updating the care documentation	dito

These principles underpin the work in Denmark, Germany and the UK. Although casuistic in origin they provide a comprehensible way to work with PARO in practice and

1 research. This would also enable studies with other forms of non-pharmacological
2 interventions for people with dementia.
3

4 Analyzing literature of socio-pedagogic or socio-therapeutic approaches for people
5 with dementia it becomes obvious that there are many approaches that have developed to
6 contribute to wellbeing
7

8
9
10
11 A meta-analysis of Halek & Bartholomeyczik (2006) looked on the effects of
12 different approaches such as validation, reminiscence therapy, the multisensory stimulation
13 Snoezelen, massages and therapeutic effects of touch on people with dementia and having
14 behavioral symptoms. Summarizing the results these authors conclude that any interactive
15 activity is better than nothing. Those analyzed approaches have in common an appreciative
16 attitude which impacts positive on emotions, contentment, wellbeing and behavioral aspects.
17 The important factor seems to be a constructive relationship between caregiver and resident.
18 However, no statements can be deduced on issues such as which form of intervention, how
19 long and how often, what intensity can contribute to alleviation. What is paramount is the
20 response to individual needs.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 The PARO-observations undertaken in the three countries are qualitative approaches.
37 As no instruments e.g. such as Mini-Mental Status-Test or others were implemented, PARO
38 users cannot be compared and measurement of the progress or changes with respect to
39 cognitive and motor skills is not possible. The observed reactions on PARO can be classified
40 as emotional reactions and are assumed to contribute to wellbeing. Further research on that
41 should consider the application of suitable methods for measurement.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

6. References

- 1
2 Alzheimer Europe (2009). Estimated number of people (2006) with dementia. European
3
4 Commission Health and Consumer Directorate-General. Directorate. Indicator No.22
5
6 (SL88) last updated by SANCO.C.2 in June 2009 Retrieved from:
7
8 http://ec.europa.eu/health/ph_information/dissemination/echi/docs/dementia2_en.pdf
9
10
11
12 Bachhausen, T. (2012). E-Mail from 27th of September 2012. T. Bachhausen is the German
13
14 sales representative.
15
16
17 Bemelmans, R., Gelderblom, G. R., Jonker, P. &, de Witte de, L. (2012). Socially Assistive
18
19 Robots in Elderly Care: A Systematic Review into Effects and Effectiveness. JAMDA
20
21 13(2), 114–120.
22
23
24
25 Broekens, J., Heerinkg, M. &, Rosendahl, H. (2009). Assistive social robots in elderly care: a
26
27 review. Gerontechnology journal, 8(2), 94–103.
28
29 doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4017/gt.2009.08.02.002.00>
30
31
32
33 Cash, M. (2003). Assistive technology and people with dementia. Reviews in Clinical
34
35 Gerontology 13(4), 313–319.
36
37
38 Cook, A. (2008). Dementia and Well-Being. Dunedin, Edinburgh: Dunedin Academic Press.
39
40
41 Cook, G., Bailey, C. & Moyle, W. (in review). The impact of ICT-based telecare technology
42
43 on quality of life of people with dementia: review of the literature .HIS IEEE conference
44
45 paper June 2013.
46
47
48
49 Cook, G., Clarke, C. & Cowie, B. (2009). Maintaining and developing social interaction in
50
51 care homes: a guide for care home, health and social care staff. Northumbria University.
52
53
54
55 Cyberdyne (2004-2013). Robot Suit HAL® Retrieved from:
56
57 <http://www.cyberdyne.jp/english/robotsuithal>
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Dept. of Health (2011). Living well with dementia: A national dementia strategy. Retrieved
2 from <http://www.dh.gov.uk/health/2011/07/dementia-strategy/>
3

4
5 EUROCODE (2009). European Collaboration on Dementia. Prevalence of Dementia.
6
7 Retrieved from [http://www.alzheimer-europe.org/Research/European-Collaboration-on-](http://www.alzheimer-europe.org/Research/European-Collaboration-on-Dementia/Prevalence-of-dementia)
8
9 Dementia/Prevalence-of-dementia)
10

11
12 EUROPOP (2011). The 2012 Ageing Report. European Economy 4/2011. European Union.
13
14 Retrieved from [http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/publications/european_economy/](http://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/publications/european_economy/2011/pdf/ee-2011-4_en.pdf)
15
16 2011/pdf/ee-2011-4_en.pdf
17
18

19
20
21 Fraunhofer IPA (2009). Retrieved from: http://www.care-o-bot.de/Cob3_Download.php
22

23
24 Giraff (2012). Giraff brings people together in the care of those living at home. Retrieved
25
26 from <http://www.giraff.org/>
27

28
29 Halek, M. &, Bartholomeyczik, S. (2006). Verstehen und Handeln. Forschungsergebnisse
30
31 zur Pflege von Menschen mit Demenz und herausforderndem Verhalten. [Comprehension
32
33 and action. Research results on care of people with dementia and challenging behavior].
34
35 Hannover: Schlütersche Verlagsgesellschaft.
36
37

38
39 Heerink, M. (2010). Assessing acceptance of assistive social robots by aging adults.
40
41 ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT. Universiteit van Amsterdam. Retrieved from
42
43 <http://mheerink.home.xs4all.nl/ThesisHeerink.pdf>
44
45

46
47 Klein, B. (2011). Anwendungsfelder der emotionalen Robotik. Erste Ergebnisse aus
48
49 Lehrforschungsprojekten an der Fachhochschule Frankfurt am Main. (Application fields
50
51 of emotional robotics. First results of teaching research projects at the University of
52
53 Applied Sciences in Frankfurt). JDZB (Ed.): Mensch-Roboter-Interaktion aus
54
55 interkultureller Perspektive. Japan und Deutschland im Vergleich. Veröffentlichungen
56
57 des Japanisch-Deutschen Zentrums Berlin, Band 62, Berlin 147/162 (2011).
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Klein, B. (2012). Robot-Therapy in Germany. *SICE* 51, 7. 649-653.

2
3 Klein, B., & Cook, G. (2012). Emotional Robotics in Elder Care – A Comparison of Findings
4 in the UK and Germany. In S.S. Ge et al. (Eds.) *ICSR 2012, LNAI 7261*, (pp. 108-117).
5 Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
6
7

8
9
10 Klein, B., Herr, K., Richter, D., Rudhof, B. &, Wagner, J. (2012). Altersgerechte
11 Assistenzsysteme . Ausstellung zum barrierefreien Wohnen und Leben der FH Frankfurt
12 am Main. [Age appropriate assistive technologies. Independent Living Center at FH
13 Frankfurt am Main]. Dr. med. Mabuse 200. Nov./Dez. Retrieved from
14
15 [http://www.mabuse-](http://www.mabuse-verlag.de/chameleon//outbox//public/13/200_Ausstellung_Barrierefreies_Wohnen.pdf)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24 [verlag.de/chameleon//outbox//public/13/200_Ausstellung_Barrierefreies_Wohnen.pdf](http://www.mabuse-verlag.de/chameleon//outbox//public/13/200_Ausstellung_Barrierefreies_Wohnen.pdf)

25
26 Kramer, W. (2007). Die besten Beschäftigungstherapien für Senioren. [The best occupational
27 therapies for seniors]. Merching: Forum Gesundheits Medien.

28
29
30
31 Lewis, D. (2012, December). Giraffe robot aids dementia sufferers. ABC News Retrieved
32 from <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2012-12-15/dementia-robot-trial/4429604>

33
34
35
36
37 Libin, E. & Libin, A. (2004). Person-Robot Interactions From the Robopsychologists' Point
38 of View: The Robotic Psychology and Rotherapy Approach. *Proceedings of the IEEE*,
39
40
41
42 92 (11).

43
44 Lima-Basto, M., José, H., Gomes, I., Potra, T., Diogo, P. & Reis, A. (2010). Therapeutic
45 Instruments Used in Therapeutic Interventions: Is There Evidence In Nursing Care? A
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics, 2006. BioRob 2006, 483–488. Retrieved
2 from <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/mostRecentIssue.jsp?punumber=10916>.
3

4
5 McMahon, R. & Pearson, A. (1998). Nursing as therapy. 2nd edition. Stanley Thornes
6
7 Publisher Ltd.
8

9
10 Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary (2009). Therapeutic In Merriam-Webster Online
11
12 Dictionary. Retrieved from April 24, 2009.
13

14
15 Meyer, S. (2011). Mein Freund der Roboter. Servicerobotik für ältere Menschen – eine
16
17 Antwort auf den demographischen Wandel? [The robot my friend – service robotics as
18
19 an answer for the demographic change?]Berlin: VDE VERLAG GmbH.
20
21

22
23 Ministry of Health (2013). Demenz - Mehr Leistungen durch das Pflege-
24
25 Neuausrichtungsgesetz für demenziell erkrankte Menschen [Dementai- more resources
26
27 through the realignment of the long-term care insurance. Retrieved from
28
29 <http://www.bmg.bund.de/pflege/das-pflege-neuausrichtungs-gesetz/demenz.html>
30
31

32
33 Moyle, W., Cooke, M., Beattie, E., Jones, C., Klein, B., Cook, G. & Gray C. (in press).
34
35 Exploring the effect of companion robots on emotional expression in older people with
36
37 dementia: A pilot RCT. Journal of Gerontological Nursing. (Accepted 22 August 2012).
38
39

40
41 Redegørelse fra Sundhedsstyrelsens arbejdsgruppe vedrørende demens, (2001). Demens –
42
43 den fremtidige tilrettelæggelse af sundhedsvæsenets indsats vedrørende diagnostic og
44
45 behandling [Dementia – future organization of the healthcare sector and efforts in
46
47 diagnostic and treatment] Retrieved from
48
49 <http://www.sst.dk/publ/Publ2001/Demens/demens.pdf>
50
51

52
53 Rijken, M., Bekkema, N., Boeckxstanens, P., Schellevis, F. G., de Maeseneer, J. M., &
54
55 Groenewegen, P. P. (2012). Chronic disease management programmes: an adequate
56
57 response to patients' needs? Health Expectations. doi:10.1111/j.1369-7625.2012.00786.x
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- Scholtz, C. P. (2008). *Alltag mit künstlichen Wesen. Theologische Implikationen eines Lebens mit subjektsimulierenden Maschinen am Beispiel des Unterhaltungsroboters AIBO.* [Everyday life with artificial creatures. Theological implications of living with a subject-simulating machine taking the example of the entertaining robot AIBO] Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht GmbH & Co. KG.
- Shibata, T. & Tanie, K. (1999). *Creation of Subjective Value through Physical Interaction between Human and Machine, Proceeding of the 4th International Symposium on Artificial Life and Robotics.*
- Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together. Why we expect more from technology and less from each other.* New York: Basic Books.
- Uvnäs-Moberg K. (1998). *Oxytocin may mediate the benefits of positive social interaction and emotions. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 1998 Nov; 23(8):819-35. Retrieved from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9924739>*
- VGo (undated). *Robotic Telepresence.* Retrieved from <http://www.ivci.com/video-conferencing-vgo.html>
- Wada, K. & Shibata, T. (2007a). *Social Effects of Robot Therapy in a Care House – Change of Social network of the residents for Two Months., IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation, 10-14 April 2007, 1250–1255.*
- Wada, K. & Shibata, T. (2007b). *Living With Seal Robots – Its Sociaopsychological and Physiological Influences on the Elderly at a Care House. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 23, (5) October 972-980.*
- Weingarten, S. R., Henning, J. M., Badamgarav, E., Knight, K., Hasselblad, V., Gano A. Jr. & Ofman, J. J. (2002). *Interventions used in disease management programmes for patients with chronic illness – which ones work? Meta analysis of published reports.*

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

British Medical Journal Oct 26, 325 (7370): , 925. Retrieved from

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC130055/>.

Whitworth, A., Perkins, L. & Lesser, R. (1999). Communication in dementia care: a partnership approach. Adams T. & Clarke C. L. (Eds.) Dementia Care: Developing Partnerships in Practice. London: Bailliere Tindall.