

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Huang, Mimi (2011) Exploring Conceptual Metaphors with a Dual Model. In: ICLC 11 - International Cognitive Linguistics Conference, 11th - 17th July 2011, Xi'an, China.

URL:

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/28266/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)

www.northumbria.ac.uk/nrl



Exploring Conceptual Metaphors with a Dual Model

Mimi Huang

Recent advances in the brain sciences and neural computation have shed new light on the study of metaphor. Lakoff (2008), for example, has applied appropriate neural computational models to correspond with and strengthen the conceptual metaphor theory (Lakoff and Johnson, 1980, 2002). Whilst the application of neural models to researches of metaphor has yielded promising initial results, there is still much to be explored and debated in this new area.

In this paper, I will examine a dual model based on dynamic logic (Perlovsky, 2009). Building upon this dual model, I shall develop a detailed analytical account that is able to explain the metaphoric mechanism.

The dual model connects language (i.e. the linguistic models) and cognition (i.e. the conceptual models) with dynamic logic, which implements both top-down and bottom-up mechanisms to evolve vague and uncertain models into crisp and specific models through processes of differentiation and synthesis (Perlovsky, 2009). The dual model is hierarchical, with its lower-end models grounded in direct perception and embodiment, and its higher-end models representing more abstract and general concepts (see also Barsalou, 1999). Importantly, at every layer of this cognitive-linguistic model there is a similarity measure guided by one's aesthetic emotions that are greatly influenced by one's personal, social and cultural background.

In the case of metaphor, it can be argued that the cognitive drive for metaphoric processing is to extend a comparatively vague conceptual model (e.g. the concept that represents the target domain) to accommodate a new meaning by connecting with another conceptual model (e.g. the source domain) through a crisp linguistic model (e.g. the linguistic metaphor), with the satisfaction of the participant's aesthetic emotions.

In order to discuss the representation of this dual model in metaphoric interpretation, this paper will present a series of case studies that examine participants' construction, interpretation and evaluation of the LOVE IS X metaphor. In the pilot study that discussed the LOVE IS A TYPE OF FOOD metaphor (with the linguistic examples of 'Love is a buffet', 'Love is fast food', 'Love is a fancy dinner at a restaurant' etc), participants have demonstrated a tendency to rely on the more specific linguistic model to accommodate a less specific, and more uncertain conceptual model LOVE. The initial results have also shown the active role of participants' aesthetic emotions in metaphoric construction and interpretation. Detailed results will be reported in the paper.

It shall be argued that the dual model has provided promising solutions for some of the most fundamental issues in conceptual metaphor, as well as in cognitive linguistics.

References:

Barsalou, L. W. (1999). Perceptual symbol systems. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 22, 577-660.

Lakoff, G. (2008). The neural theory of metaphor. In R. W. Gibbs (Ed.), *The Cambridge handbook of metaphor and thought* (pp. 17-38). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lakoff, G., and Johnson, M. (1980). *Metaphors we live by* (2nd ed., 2002). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Perlovsky, L. (2009). Language and Cognition. *Neural Networks*, 22, 247-257.