

1 **Evaluating changes in the prevalence of female genital mutilation/cutting among 0-14 years**
2 **old girls in Nigeria using data from multiple surveys: A novel Bayesian hierarchical spatio-**
3 **temporal model**

4 Chibuzor Christopher Nnanatu^{1,2*}, Glory Atilola¹, Paul Komba¹, Lubanzadio Mavatikua¹,
5 Zhuzhi Moore³, Dennis Matanda⁴, Otibho Obianwu⁵, and Ngianga-Bakwin Kandala^{6,7}

6 1. Northumbria University, Newcastle, United Kingdom

7 2. Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, Nigeria

8 3. Independent Consultant, United States of America

9 4. Population Council, Kenya

10 5. Population Council, Nigeria

11 6. University of the Witwatersrand, Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of
12 Public Health, Johannesburg, South Africa

13 7. University of Warwick, Division of Health Sciences, Warwick Medical School, Coventry,
14 CV4 7AL, UK.

15 *Corresponding Author

16 Email: chibuzor.nnanatu@northumbria.ac.uk (CCN)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 **ABSTRACT**

28 Female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C) is considered a public health and human rights
29 concern, mainly concentrated in Africa, and has been targeted for elimination under the
30 sustainable development goals. Interventions aimed at ending the practice often rely on data
31 from household surveys which employ complex designs leading to outcomes that are not
32 totally independent, thus requiring advanced statistical techniques. Combining data from
33 multiple surveys within robust statistical framework holds promise to provide more precise
34 estimates due to increased sample size, and accurately identify 'hotspots' and allow for
35 assessment of changes over time. In this study, rich datasets from six (6) successive waves of
36 the Nigeria Demographic and Health Surveys and Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys
37 undertaken between 2003 and 2016/17, were combined and analyzed in order to better
38 assess changes in the likelihood and prevalence of FGM/C among 0-14-year old girls in
39 Nigeria. We used Bayesian hierarchical regression models which explicitly accounted for the
40 inherent spatial and temporal autocorrelations within the data while simultaneously
41 adjusting for variations due to different survey methods and the effects of linear and non-
42 linear covariates. Parameters were estimated using Markov chain Monte Carlo techniques and
43 model fit assessments were based on Deviance Information Criterion. Results show that
44 prevalence of FGM/C among 0-14 years old girls in Nigeria varied over time and across
45 geographical locations and peaked in 2008 with a shift from South to North. A girl was more
46 likely to be cut if her mother was cut, supported FGM/C continuation, or had no higher
47 education. The effects of mother's age, wealth and type of residence (urban-rural) were no
48 longer significant in 2016. These results reflect the gains of interventions over the years, but

49 also echo the belief that FGM/C is a social norm thus requiring tailored all-inclusive
50 interventions for the total abandonment of FGM/C in Nigeria.

51 **Keywords:** Female genital mutilation, Bayesian hierarchical regression, combining multiple
52 surveys data, MCMC, geo-additive, social norms

53

54

55 INTRODUCTION

56 Female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C) is recognised globally as a violation of the
57 fundamental human rights of girls and women, which has no medical basis and could lead to
58 severe health problems including increased risk of new born deaths [1]. It is estimated that
59 about 200 million girls and women alive today from over 30 countries mainly in Africa, the
60 Middle East and Asia have been subjected to FGM/C and that approximately 3 million young
61 girls are at risk of being cut each year [2]. Consequences of the practice, which is mostly
62 carried out on young girls under the age of 15 years, are well documented in the literature
63 and range from shock to haemorrhage, and from difficulty in passing urine to inhibited
64 orgasm [3-9].

65 In relation to Nigeria, a report by 28 Too Many in 2018 stated that about 20 million Nigerian
66 women and girls have experienced FGM/C [10]. Also, a recent study by Kandala et al
67 estimated that the national prevalence of FGM/C among women (ages 15 - 49) stood at 18.4%
68 in 2016/17 representing a decline of 11.2% from 29.6% in 2008, while the national prevalence
69 of FGM/C among girls aged 0-14 years in Nigeria stood at 25.3% in 2016/17, representing a
70 decline of 4.7% from 30.0% in 2008 [11]. This implies that while there has been a sharp

71 decline in prevalence among women aged 15 – 49 years, the practice seems to still hold sway
72 over 0-14 years old girls in Nigeria despite several concerted efforts aimed at the total
73 eradication of the practice.

74 In general, efforts to accelerate the abandonment of FGM/C in Nigeria have been a mix of
75 legal /policy and advocacy interventions [12]. In response to the international calls and in line
76 with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Target 5.3 aimed at the eradication of all
77 forms of harmful practices against women and children including FGM/C by the year 2030,
78 the Nigerian government has passed a federal legislation, the Violence against Persons
79 (Prohibition) Act 2015 (VAPP Act), which strongly prohibits FGM/C and other forms of gender-
80 based violence in Nigeria with provisions including the prosecution of the perpetrators and
81 re-integration of victims into society [10, 13-14]. This, in addition to other national policies
82 such as the 2013/2017 National Policy and Plan for Action for Elimination of FGM/C in Nigeria
83 [15, 16] are initiatives aimed at bringing the practice of FGM/C in Nigeria to an end. In the
84 same spirit, the civil society organizations (CSOs) have also continued to mobilize people
85 against FGM/C through public awareness by forming a partnership with media and the civil
86 society including traditional and religious leaders to disseminate anti-FGM/C messages at
87 federal and state levels with the aim of turning cutters into anti-FGM/C campaigners [17, 18].

88 Nevertheless, it should be noted that the VAPP Act has hardly been enforced in Nigeria [19]
89 and its implementation varies across the 36 states of the country with some states (especially
90 the high prevalent ones) yet to follow suit [10, 13]. In addition, where it exists, it is even
91 harder to implement the anti-FGM/C law where there is limited presence of law enforcement
92 agents, for example, in the rural areas. Also, the rate of reporting has been low because the

93 perpetrators are almost always family members and it is even possible that the law
94 enforcement agents may sometimes discharge such reports as a family or community matter
95 aimed at preserving one's socio-cultural norms and decide not to meddle [1].

96 For the reasons of the variations in the level of commitments in stemming the practice of
97 FGM/C at state level in Nigeria, and the compelling belief that FGM/C is deeply-rooted in
98 cultural and social norms [20-23], it then becomes apparent that the need to further
99 investigate trends in the practice as well as the roles of the underlying state structures and
100 the effects of socio-cultural norms in the persistence of the practice in Nigeria especially
101 among 0-14 years old girls using quality data can never be overemphasized [24]. However,
102 such data are mainly provided by household surveys, namely, the Demographic and Health
103 Surveys (DHS) and the Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS), which employ complex
104 designs that are not completely independent thus necessitating the use of advanced
105 statistical techniques.

106 Bayesian hierarchical regression models which explicitly account for the inherent spatial and
107 temporal autocorrelation within data, while simultaneously controlling for other linear and
108 non-linear effects in a statistically robust framework are popular in the areas of disease
109 mapping and ecological studies, for identifying high risk geographical locations [25, 26]. To
110 date, only a few studies have explored this powerful statistical tool in the context of FGM/C
111 [27 - 29]. However, these studies have largely utilised data from only one single survey
112 thereby providing only a 'snapshot' assessment. Combining data from multiple surveys within
113 robust statistical framework holds promise to provide more precise estimates, narrower
114 width of the credible interval of estimates and smaller standard errors due to increased

115 sample size. We could then exploit the merits of each survey and more accurately identify
116 'hotspots' where the practice is still rife and assess changes over time.

117 The overarching aim of this study, therefore, is to assess how the prevalence and likelihood
118 of female genital mutilation/cutting has changed over time with respect to the roles of socio-
119 cultural norms (operationalized in terms of a woman's FGM/C status and her support for the
120 continuation of the practice), a girl's geographical location and other key determinants in the
121 persistence of FGM/C among 0-14-year-old girls in Nigeria, and identify spatial patterns and
122 'hotspots'. We combined rich datasets from six (6) successive waves of the Nigeria
123 Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) and Multiple Indicator Cluster Surveys (MICS)
124 undertaken between 2003 and 2016 using Bayesian hierarchical regression models which
125 explicitly accounted for the inherent spatial and temporal autocorrelations within the data
126 while simultaneously adjusting for variations due to different survey methods and the effects
127 of linear and non-linear covariates. The different years datasets were combined to
128 simultaneously investigate spatial and temporal trends in the practice of female genital
129 mutilation/cutting (FGM/C) across the Nigeria 36 states and the federal capital territory (FCT).
130 In addition, by combining the various datasets, we gained more statistical power to better
131 estimate parameters with higher precision. It is hoped that the statistical evidence generated
132 from the study would serve to facilitate the development and implementation of tailored
133 programmatic interventions that would ensure the total eradication of FGM/C in Nigeria.

134 Specifically, we seek to answer the following questions:

- 135 1. Which individual- and community-level factors are key drivers of FGM/C among 0-14
136 years old girls in Nigeria?
- 137 2. Are there significant effects of a woman's FGM/C status and/or her support for its
138 continuation on the likelihood of her daughter being cut?
- 139 3. Is the geographical location (state) in which a girl lives key to her likelihood of
140 experiencing FGM/C?
- 141 4. How has the practice of FGM/C among 0-14 years girls varied spatially and
142 temporally in Nigeria?

143 The remainder of this paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we give details on the data
144 and statistical methods used in this study and present the results in Section 3. Finally, the
145 key findings are discussed in Section 4.

146 Materials and Methods

147 Data Sources

148 Data on FGM/C prevalence were drawn from six nationally representative surveys from
149 Nigeria Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) and Nigeria Multiple Indicators Cluster
150 Surveys (MICS) comprising the 2003 DHS, 2007 MICS, 2008 DHS, 2011 MICS, 2013 DHS and
151 2016/17 MICS (henceforth, written as 2016 MICS). Both the DHS and MICS are similarly
152 designed to collect key information including issues around FGM/C from the respondents
153 (women aged 15-49 years) selected from the population of interest using a two-stage,
154 stratified sampling design in all the 36 states in Nigeria and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT)

155 with the clusters as the primary sampling unit (Figure 1). Then, all eligible women of
156 reproductive age (15-49 years) living in the selected households were interviewed. A brief
157 description of the similarities in the survey methods adopted by the DHS and MICS is given in
158 [30]. Also, further details on the study design, sampling, data collection procedures and
159 availability are found in <https://dhsprogram.com/> and <http://mics.unicef.org/> for DHS and
160 MICS, respectively.

161

162 **Fig 1: Map of Nigeria showing the 36 states and the FCT and the six (6) geopolitical zones.**

163 *Shapefile republished from DIVA-GIS database (<https://www.diva-gis.org/>) under a CC BY license,*
164 *with permission from Global Administrative Areas ([GADM](#)), original copyright 2018.*

165 From both the DHS and the MICS datasets, we extracted information on the respondents'
166 daughters aged 0-14 years from the respondents' files and daughters' birth files. In all, the
167 samples consisted of a combined total of 88,319 daughters of 51,141 women who
168 completed the FGM/C modules in the Nigeria DHS and MICS conducted between 2003 and
169 2016. Fig 2A shows that apart from 2003 DHS, the DHS appear to have a larger coverage
170 than the MICS with consistently higher sample sizes. In addition, the data shows
171 consistently higher proportion of cut women than girls across the survey years with a
172 mutual peak in 2008 (Fig 2B).

173

174 **Fig 2. Data presentation.** (A) Sample size distribution of women (aged 15-49 years) and girls (aged
175 0-14 year) across the Nigeria DHS and MICS surveys from 2003 to 2016. (B) Proportion of cut
176 women and girls across the datasets.

177

178 Response and exposure variables

179 For the questions we address in this study, the primary outcome is the FGM/C status of
180 the respondent's daughter aged 0-14 years, that is, whether the respondent's daughter
181 has been cut or not. The outcome is, therefore, a binary variable taking values from the
182 discrete set $\{0,1\}$ such that a value of 1 indicates that the respondent's daughter has
183 been cut while 0 indicates that the respondent's daughter was not cut. It should be
184 noted, however, that these values only reflected the current status of the respondent's
185 daughter as at the time of the surveys and do not represent their final FGM/C status. This
186 is because a girl who was uncut as at the time data are collected may still be cut in the
187 future.

188 Furthermore, to investigate the effects of both individual- and community-level
189 covariates required to address the questions, we included measures of socio-
190 demographic factors such as a woman's region and state of residence, type of place of
191 residence (urban vs rural), age, ethnicity, wealth index, marital status and highest level
192 of education attained (Table 1). Factors of socio-cultural norms are operationalized by a
193 woman's FGM/C status, her support for the continuation of the practice and her beliefs
194 about FGM/C. For the Bayesian regression models, we used state identification numbers
195 *ID* to capture the unobserved state-level effects of geographical locations, while the type
196 of survey and year are both included to account for the effects of different types of
197 surveys and survey years, respectively (Table 1).

198 **Table 1.** Variable names, levels and descriptions.

Predictor variable	Description	Levels
<i>Age</i>	Age of the respondent (woman) in years	15 to 49
<i>Support</i>	Whether respondent supports the continuation of FGM/C.	Stopped, continued, depends/don't know.
<i>fgm_{woman}</i>	Respondent's FGM/C status.	Not cut, Cut
<i>fgm</i>	FGM/C status of respondent's daughter.	0- Not cut, 1- Cut
<i>Wealth</i>	Respondent's household wealth index	Highest, Higher, Middle, Second, Lowest
<i>Region</i>	Region of residence	North central, North west, North east, South east, South west, South south
<i>Residence</i>	Type of region of residence	Urban, rural
<i>Education</i>	Respondent's highest level of education	None, Primary, Secondary, Higher
<i>Marital</i>	Marital status	Currently married, formerly married, never married
<i>State</i>	Respondents geographical location identification number	1 to 37
<i>Year</i>	Survey year	2003, 2007, 2008, 2011, 2013, 2016
<i>Survey</i>	Type of survey	MICS, DHS

199

200

201 Other variables examined are a girl's current age, girl's age at cutting, type of FGM/C, person who
 202 performed FGM/C; a woman's religion, belief on the reasons behind the practice of FGM/C;

203 husband/Partner’s educational level, household decision making power, and frequency of reading
204 newspapers, listening to the radio or watching television.

205 Finally, for the purposes of examining spatio-temporal variations, two datasets were extracted,
206 namely, the 2016 MICS and a pooled dataset comprising the 2003 to 2016 surveys data combined
207 across common variables.

208

209 [Statistical Analysis](#)

210

211 [Bivariate data analysis](#)

212 To understand the pairwise association between a girl’s FGM/C status and a set of
213 baseline characteristics, we carried out weighted bivariate analyses of both the DHS and
214 MICS datasets. The survey weights allowed us to ensure that our estimates came from
215 samples which were nationally representative. These analyses were conducted in Stata
216 version 14 using the `svy` command ([31]) and weighted outputs were cross tabulated and
217 reported as FGM/C prevalence. Relevant covariates among those that are significantly
218 associated with the response are then included in the Bayesian regression models.

219

220 [Test for clustering](#)

221 We examined the local and global clustering in the data using Moran’s I test to evaluate
222 the clustering pattern of FGM/C among 0-14 years old girls in Nigeria [32, 33]. The key is
223 to determine the geographical locations (states) with significant clustered, dispersed or
224 random structure of the number of girls aged 0-14 years old who have undergone FGM/C.
225 A significantly positive (negative) value of the Moran’s I statistics indicates clustered
226 (dispersed) outcome. These analyses were carried out in R statistical programming

227 software version 3.6.1 ([34]) using *moran.test()* and *moran.mc()* functions available in the
228 *spdep* package in R.

229

230 Bayesian Hierarchical spatial and spatio-temporal modelling

231 The DHS and MICS data are inherently hierarchical and spatially and temporally
232 autocorrelated largely due to the cluster sampling design adopted by the DHS and MICS. This,
233 in addition to the fact that the values based on a single woman who had at least two children
234 are not independent, justified the need for sophisticated statistical analytical approaches that
235 explicitly allow for autocorrelated response in space and time while simultaneously
236 accounting for linear and non-linear covariates and other potential sources of random errors
237 in the data.

238 The response variable y_i takes value from the set $\{0,1\}$ such that $y_i = 1$ if girl i aged 0-14
239 years has undergone FGM/C and 0, otherwise for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $n = 17529$ for the 2016
240 data and $n = 88319$ for the pooled dataset . This implies that the y 's are realizations from
241 Bernoulli trials or $y \sim Bernoulli(p)$, with the probability mass function (pmf) $f(y; p) =$
242 $p^y(1 - p)^{1-y}$ with $E[Y|p] = p$ and $Var(Y|p) = p(1 - p)$, where p is the probability of
243 success. In other words, p is the probability that a randomly selected Nigerian girl aged 0-14
244 years has been cut.

245 Our models follow a class of structured additive regression (STAR; [35-37]) models such that
246 the response y depends on a set of covariates through a linear predictor η_i linked to a
247 function of its mean with a link function $h(\mu_i)$ given in Equation (1).

$$248 \quad h(\mu_i) = \eta_i = f_1(x_{i1}) + \dots + f_c(x_{ic}) + f_{spat}(s_i) + z_i' \omega \quad (1)$$

249 where $h(\mu_i)$ is a logit link function; f_1, \dots, f_c are the non-linear (not necessarily
 250 smooth) functions of continuous covariates x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ic} (e.g., mother's age, survey year);
 251 $f_{spat}(s_i)$ is the (non-parameteric) function of the the spatial covariate $s_i \in \{1, \dots, S\}$
 252 corresponding to the consecutively numbered geographical locations for the 36 Nigerian
 253 states (*state*) and the FCT, that is, $S = 37$, and which accounts for the unobserved effects of
 254 geographical locations ; and z_i 's are categorical variables (e.g., Gender, Educational level,
 255 Wealth index, etc) with the coefficients vector ω .

256 According to the first law of Geography which states that "Everything else is related to each
 257 other but near objects are more similar than those further apart" [38], it makes sense to
 258 assume that the geographical locations (states) that are near to each other (neighbouring
 259 states) are more similar thus with potentially autocorrelated response and it is no longer
 260 appropriate to use statistical models that are only valid when observations are independent.
 261 Consequently, we assume that observations that are further apart are independent and do
 262 not share common boundaries and characteristics thus spatially heterogenous and
 263 uncorrelated. As a result, to simultaneously account for the inherent spatial autocorrelation
 264 between states that are neighbours and the spatial independence between states that are
 265 further apart, we decompose , the total spatial effect f_{spat} in (1) into a spatially correlated
 266 (structured) $f_{str}(\cdot)$ and an uncorrelated (unstructured) $f_{unstr}(\cdot)$ effects as in Equation (2)
 267 below.

$$271 \quad f_{spat}(s_i) = f_{str}(s_i) + f_{unstr}(s_i) \quad (2)$$

268 This decomposition allows us to explicitly account for and quantify the effects of spatial
 269 autocorrelation among neighbouring states and spatial heterogeneity among states that are
 270 not neighbours. For these models, all functions are centred on zero for identifiability.

272 The logit function assumed in (1) allows us to express our full model in terms of log-odds,
 273 $\log(p_i/1 - p_i)$, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 274 \quad \text{logit}(p_i) &= \log\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right) \\
 275 \quad &= \eta_i \\
 276 \quad &= f_{str}(State_i) + f_{unstr}(State_i) + f(Year) + f(Age) + Residence \\
 277 \quad &+ Education + \dots + fgm_{woman} + Survey + \xi \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

278 where the variables *Residence*, *Education*, and *fgm_{woman}* are fixed effects for residence
 279 (rural-urban), mother's education and a mother's FGM/C status. The term *Survey* is a fixed
 280 effect accounting for variations due to differences in the different survey methods with DHS
 281 used as the reference survey. The term $\xi (= State\ ID \times Survey\ year)$ represents space-
 282 time interaction effects to account for any other source of variation that varies
 283 simultaneously in space and time.

284 Variants of the model described in (3) are fitted to the 2016 MICS and the pooled 2003 to
 285 2016 datasets within Bayesian statistical framework. These were implemented in R statistical
 286 programming software using the R2BayesX package [39], the R interface of BayesX a popular
 287 statistical software for fitting various classes of generalized additive mixed models [39-41]. In
 288 BayesX, the non-linear functions f_j are modelled as a linear combination of basis functions as

$$289 \quad f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^M \beta_m B_m(x) \quad (4)$$

290 where the basis function B_m is known and the unknown vector of regression coefficients $\beta =$
 291 $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_M)'$ are to be estimated such that in matrix form, we have $f_j = X_j \beta_j$, where X_j is

292 an $n \times M$ design matrix with elements $X[i, m] = B_m(x_i)$. The fixed effects parameters ω are
 293 given diffuse priors such that, $\pi(\omega_j) \propto \text{constant}$. Also, a multiplicative normal prior is
 294 assumed for the unknown regression parameters such that

$$295 \quad \pi(\beta_j | \tau_j) \propto \left(\frac{1}{\tau_j^2} \right)^{\frac{\text{rank}(K_j)}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\tau_j^2} \beta_j' K_j \beta_j \right) \quad (5)$$

296 where K_j correspond to the frequentist penalty matrix and τ_j is a smoothing parameter. We
 297 assign Markov random fields (MRF; [40]) priors to the correlated spatial effect $f_{str}(s)$, $s =$
 298 $1, \dots, S$, and exploit the neighbourhood structure of the MRF prior to 'borrow strength' from
 299 neighbours with more observations to estimate effects in neighbouring states where
 300 observations are sparse. Note that the MRF prior is the spatial extension of random walk
 301 models and is given by

$$302 \quad f_{str}(s) | f_{str}(r), r \neq s \sim N \left(\sum_{r \sim s} \frac{f_{str}(r)}{N_s}, \frac{\tau_{str}^2}{N_s} \right) \quad (6)$$

303 where N_s is the number of states that are contiguous (share boarder) to state s , and $r \sim s$
 304 denotes that state r is a neighbour of state s . Thus, the (conditional) mean of $f_{str}(s)$ is the
 305 average of functions $f_{str}(s)$ of the neighbouring states, where τ_{str} is a variance parameter.
 306 In contrast, we assign a zero-mean independent and identically distributed Gaussian priors to
 307 the uncorrelated (unstructured) spatial effect $f_{unstr}(s)$ as

$$308 \quad f_{unstr}(s) | \tau_{unstr} \sim N(0, \tau_{unstr}^2), \quad (7)$$

309 where τ_{unstr} is a smoothing parameter. We modelled the interaction term ξ as a smooth
 310 function with a random walk of the second order (RW2) prior assuming autocorrelation in

311 time and space. However, we also modelled the interaction term assuming the interaction in
 312 space and time are not autocorrelated such that $\xi \sim N(0, \tau_\xi^2)$. Inverse gamma distributed
 313 hyperpriors are then assigned to both the variance and the smooth parameters such that
 314 $\pi(\tau_j^2) \sim IG(a_j, b_j)$, where j is a generic subscript representing for example, *str*, *unstr*, ξ and
 315 where a and b are hyperparameters.

316 To estimate the smooth functions, f_1, \dots, f_p , we used cubic splines which
 317 are twice continuously differentiable piecewise cubic polynomials. The spline can be written
 318 as a linear combination of B-spline basis functions $B_m(x)$, the Bayesian version of the
 319 Penalized-Splines (P-Splines) proposed by Eilers & Marx [41], such that $f(x) =$
 320 $\sum_{m=1}^l \beta_m B_m(x)$. In our approach, this corresponds to 2nd order random walks given by

$$323 \quad \beta_m = 2\beta_{m-1} - \beta_{m-2} + \mu_m \quad (8)$$

321 with Gaussian increments $\mu_m \sim N(0, \tau^2)$ which is estimated from data and where the
 322 smoothness parameter τ is also estimated from the data.

324 To address our questions, we tested the following six (6) nested models specified in Table 2.
 325 For these models, models m_1 & m_2 tested the unadjusted effects of a girl's geographical
 326 location to her likelihood of being cut while adjusting for the variations due to different survey
 327 methods and the year of survey. On the other hand, with models m_3 to m_6 we
 328 simultaneously tested for the effects of geographical locations, social norms, mother's age
 329 and other key covariates on a girl's likelihood of being cut while adjusting for variations due
 330 to survey methods differences (m_4) including potential interactions in space and time (m_5 &
 331 m_6). Note that for the single 2016 MICS data, these models did not include adjustments for

332 survey differences, survey year and space-time interactions so that only two models
 333 representing unadjusted and adjusted (full) models are fitted on the 2016 MICS data.

334 **Table 2. Specifications of the model fitted to the datasets.**

Model	Specification	Remarks
m_1	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + f(year)$	
m_2	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + f(year)$ + survey	
m_3	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + Ethnicity_i$ + $Gender_i + \dots + f(Age_i) + f(year)$	
m_4	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + Ethnicity_i$ + $Gender_i + \dots + f(Age_i) + f(year)$ + survey	
m_5	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + Ethnicity$ + $Gender + \dots + f(Age) + f(year)$ + survey + $f(\xi)$	ξ modelled as a smooth function.
m_6	$fgm_i \sim f_{str}(state_i) + f_{unstr}(state_i) + Ethnicity_i$ + $Gender_i + \dots + f(Age_i) + f(year)$ + survey + ξ , with $\xi \sim N(0, \sigma_\xi^2)$	ξ modelled as random effect.

335
 336 Posterior samples are drawn from the parameters space $\theta = (\{f\}, f_{unstr}, f_{str}, \eta)$ and
 337 hyperparameter space $\vartheta = (\tau_{str}, \tau_{unstr}, \tau_\xi)$ via Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC; [42])
 338 simulation. Specifically, we used Metropolis-Hastings (M-H) updating steps with iteratively
 339 weighted least square (IWLS) proposal [43].

340 For our study, 20,000 samples were simulated from the posterior distributions with the
 341 hyperparameters set to $a = 1, b = 0.0005$, that is, diffuse priors. The choice of hyperprior
 342 values for the variance parameters were informed by a rigorous sensitivity analysis. Bayesian

343 inference was then based on the last 16000 samples obtained after discarding the first 4000
344 as burn-in. The last 16000 samples were further *thinned* so that only every 20th value was
345 included among the values from which the posterior estimates were evaluated. Both the
346 burn-in and thinning are used to minimize autocorrelations within the posterior samples.

347 In addition, we investigated the appropriateness of the Markov Random Field (MRF) priors
348 through sensitivity analysis by fitting the spatial model using Gaussian Random Field (GRF;
349 [44]) priors. However, we found no evidence of a better fit with the GRF. Besides, the
350 sparseness introduced by the neighbourhood structure of the MRF offered a computational
351 advantage and greatly reduced computational costs thus the models fitted with MRF priors
352 were retained and further assessed.

353 Finally, we assessed how well the models fit the data and the best fit models were selected
354 based on the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC; [45]). Results are presented as posterior
355 odds ratios (POR), graphs and maps. Maps were produced in R statistical software version
356 4.0.0, while all boundary files (shapefiles) were freely available and downloaded from DIVA-
357 GIS (www.diva-gis.org/gdata) with permission to publish obtained from Global Administrative
358 Areas (GADM). With respect to handling missing values, the bivariate descriptive analysis
359 using Stata and the Bayesian geo-additive multilevel regression models using R employed
360 listwise deletion algorithm for observations with missing values. Note that women included
361 in the analysis were only those with living daughters aged 0-14 years old and those who
362 were able to provide information on their daughters' FGM/C statuses.

363

364

365 RESULTS

366 Descriptive Statistics

367 Results from the bivariate analysis of the baseline characteristics of the women and their
368 daughters are presented in Table 3. These results suggest that FGM/C prevalence among 0-
369 14-year-old girls in Nigeria rose to its peak in 2008 with respect to most of the baseline
370 characteristics ($p < 0.0001$) but showed a general decline in 2016. For example, in 2008,
371 FGM/C prevalence was highest among daughters of older women (aged 30 – 49). However,
372 in 2016 prevalence declined by 28.1% among daughters of women aged 45 – 49 years. In
373 contrast, between 2008 and 2016, FGM/C prevalence rose by 14.9% among daughters of
374 younger women aged 15-19 years. Other individual-level characteristics which showed
375 significant association with a girl's FGM/C status are her ages at the time of the survey and at
376 cutting ($p < 0.0001$). Most of the girls who were found to have undergone FGM/C were aged
377 between 5-14 years as at the time of the surveys, while the vast majority of the girls (up to
378 97.7% in 2013) were cut before attaining their 5th birthday with up to 81% of the cutting
379 carried out by Traditional circumcisers in 2016. FGM/C prevalence was highest among
380 daughters of women who alongside their husbands/partners had no formal education. Also,
381 across household wealth indices we found overall decline in FGM/C prevalence between 2008
382 and 2016, except for the lowest and second indices, which increased by 16.2% and 0.4%,
383 respectively. High FGM/C prevalence was associated with daughters of women who had
384 undergone FGM/C, supported the continuation of the practice, and women who believed that
385 FGM/C was required by religion and prevents premarital sex. Girls who lived in polygamous
386 households and girls whose mothers are the key decision makers in their households in terms
387 of the woman's health and spending, had highest prevalence of FGM/C. Before 2008, FGM/C

388 was highest in South western and South eastern states in Nigeria, but there has been a shift
389 to the North with the North-western zone accounting for more than half of the cut girls in
390 2016. Similarly, prior to 2008, prevalence of FGM/C was highest among girls who lived in the
391 urban areas and among Yoruba and Igbo girls, but this has changed with the vast majority of
392 the cut girls being rural dwellers and from Hausa ethnic group since 2008. Finally, the results
393 show that the prevalence of FGM/C was highest among daughters of women who never read
394 newspapers nor watched television, however, the nature of the association between how
395 often a woman listens to radio and her daughter's FGM/C status was not clear.

396 **Table 3. Characteristics surrounding Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting in Nigerian girls aged 0-14 from 2003-2017.**

Demographic characteristic	2003 DHS		2007 MICS		2008 DHS		2011 MICS		2013 DHS		2016-17 MICS	
	N = 3281, FGM = 17.3%		N = 7768, FGM = 22.4%		N = 17691, FGM = 30.0%		N = 16874, FGM = 19.2%		N = 25176, FGM = 24.4%		N = 17529, FGM = 25.3%	
	Number (%)	P-value	Number (%)	P-value	Number (%)	P-value	Number (%)	P-value	Number (%)	P-value	Number (%)	P-value
Girl's age		< 0.0001				< 0.0001				<0.0001		
0-4	1324 (12.6)		-		7165 (26.8)				9839 (23.3)		-	
5-9	1037 (17.3)		-		5882 (31.2)		-		8737 (25.2)		-	
10-14	920 (23.9)		-		4645 (33.5)		-		6599 (25.1)		-	
Mother's age		0.0028		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0069		0.1373		<0.0001
15-19	64 (1.3)		136 (17.8)		253 (29)		194 (27)		425 (33.5)		257 (43.9)	
20-24	284 (14.6)		582 (14.2)		1525 (26.5)		1296 (18.9)		2179 (26.3)		1476 (29.3)	
25-29	736 (11.8)		1514 (15.4)		3800 (26.9)		3435 (16.3)		5243 (24.4)		3210 (27.4)	
30-34	747 (10.9)		1729 (19.5)		4251 (26.6)		4404 (19.5)		5824 (23.6)		4681 (22.6)	
35-39	729 (18.6)		1585 (23.4)		3817 (30.4)		3552 (18)		5722 (23.6)		4811 (18.6)	
40-44	471 (28.5)		1219 (29.3)		2613 (36.6)		2609 (19.9)		3499 (24.2)		4677 (14.2)	
45-49	250 (34.4)		1003 (33.5)		1432 (39.6)		1385 (26.8)		2283 (25.5)		3473 (11.5)	
Mother's marital status		0.1616		0.3785		0.01		0.2398		0.0012		<0.0001

Never married	49 (10.2)		167 (18.7)		205 (14.3)		185 (20)		223 (11.9)		197 (6.1)	
Currently married/in union	3079 (17.7)		7.04 (22.3)		16642 (30.2)		15809 (19.5)		23800 (24.7)		16398 (25.9)	
Formerly married	153 (10.4)		559 (25)		844 (30.9)		879 (13.5)		1153 (21.8)		916 (18.9)	
Mother's age difference with husband/partner (currently married women only)		0.2873		0.9739		0.0049		0.2616		0.0018		<0.0001
Wife is older	36 (8.0)		115 (20.3)		214 (18.6)		324 (20.8)		200 (19.9)		565 (48.7)	
Wife is same age	12 (4.8)		47 (23.9)		228 (32.6)		188 (21.8)		288 (15.4)		244 (24.8)	
Wife is 1-4 years younger	410 (22.9)		1177 (22.3)		2726 (29.2)		2903 (17.7)		3509 (19.9)		2528 (19.1)	
Wife is 5-9 years younger	1076 (16)		2234 (23)		5415 (27.2)		4732 (16.2)		8022 (24.4)		4951 (21.5)	
Wife is 10+ years younger	1749 (17)		4195 (22.2)		9107 (32.2)		8726 (21.2)		13156 (26)		9243 (27.7)	
Mother's type of union		0.0094		-		0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Monogamous	2033 (20.0)		-		11624 (27.8)		11114 (17.5)		15546 (22.5)		11086 (20.6)	
Polygamous	1032 (13.3)		-		4915 (35.7)		4544 (24.8)		8082 (29.1)		5291 (37.2)	
Residence		0.1894		0.0616		0.1089		0.0019		0.0001		0.0008
Urban	1354 (20.3)		3299 (24.4)		6957 (27)		6866 (15.4)		10577 (20.7)		7254 (20.5)	
Rural	1927 (15.1)		4469 (21)		10734 (32)		10008 (21.9)		14598 (27.1)		10276 (28.8)	
Zone		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001

North Central	389 (17.2)		806 (16.6)		1368 (20)		1756 (11.5)		1630 (12.8)		2323 (16.1)	
North East	566 (0.6)		664 (2.3)		1869 (6.3)		1654 (4.7)		3562 (8.3)		2761 (1.4)	
North West	556 (2.6)		801 (8.7)		3856 (46.3)		2937 (37.3)		10153 (35.5)		5329 (56)	
South East	473 (28.8)		982 (24.1)		2725 (29.9)		2697 (14.8)		2747 (23.5)		1476 (12.7)	
South South	791 (18.0)		1753 (17.9)		3274 (18.5)		3389 (11.6)		2882 (8.1)		2311 (6.1)	
South West	506 (40.1)		2762 (35.2)		4600 (37.2)		4442 (24.2)		4203 (27.8)		3329 (21.6)	
Mother's education		0.0562		<0.0001		<0.0001		0.0095		<0.0001		<0.0001
No education	1106 (13.5)		92 (16.1)		5895 (35.3)		610 (31.2)		11638 (30.2)		3002 (44.2)	
Primary	961 (20.5)		2301 (28.4)		4838 (31.8)		4263 (19)		5396 (23.4)		3378 (23.6)	
Secondary	977 (20.3)		2498 (23)		5436 (26.5)		5976 (17.9)		6349 (18.5)		5576 (17.2)	
Higher	237 (9.2)		681 (13.7)		1522 (16.5)		1852 (7)		1793 (10.6)		1909 (9.8)	
Husband's/partner's education		0.0112		-		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
No education	816 (14.1)		-		4695 (34.9)		-		9542 (30.7)		-	
Primary	850 (24.6)		-		4403 (32.7)		-		4929 (25.5)		-	
Secondary	912 (17.9)		-		5636 (29.5)		-		6898 (20.3)		-	
Higher	600 (11.2)		-		2498 (18.3)		-		3370 (14.6)		-	
Mother's religion		0.002		0.7127		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		-

Christian	1881 (21.2)		4907 (22.6)		9719 (22.8)		9792 (14.1)		9618 (14.8)		-	
Muslim	1348 (11.7)		2717 (22)		7530 (39.3)		6830 (26.3)		15212 (30.6)		-	
Other	52 (21.7)		144 (26.3)		385 (32.6)		241 (27.3)		227 (20.2)		-	
Mother's ethnicity		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		<0.0001
Fulani	82 (0.8)		170 (5.1)		657 (27.4)		-		1654 (28.8)		-	
Hausa	639 (2.9)		768 (7.6)		3682 (46.9)		-		9581 (33.7)		7785 (38.6)	
Igbo	649 (27.9)		1081 (26.3)		3428 (29.6)		-		3387 (21)		2153 (11.3)	
Kanuri	110 (0)		69 (0)		543 (3.5)		-		505 (5.4)			
Tiv	53 (0)		112 (0)		316 (2.2)		-		233 (1.3)			
Yoruba	484 (45.9)		1582 (51.1)		3963 (42.1)		-		3823 (32.4)		2984 (27.4)	
Other	1264 (11.3)		3986 (14.6)		5016 (13.8)		-		5993 (7.8)		4608 (8.3)	
Woman from mixed ethnicity household (husband/partner from a different ethnic group; currently married women only)		<0.0001		-		0.0026		-		0.0102		-
Yes	0 (0)		-		597 (20.9)		-		993 (16.7)		-	
No	732 (14.5)		-		5490 (33.8)		-		6559 (25.4)		-	
Wealth Quintile		0.2364		<0.0001		0.0243		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Lowest	494 (16.4)		764 (12.6)		2425 (26.8)		2099 (24.7)		5600 (29.8)		2209 (43)	

Second	512 (17.3)		1075 (21.7)		3064 (37.3)		2843 (23.1)		5030 (32.5)		2633 (37.7)	
Middle	555 (17.2)		1408 (22.6)		3497 (30.5)		3574 (20.8)		4412 (23.7)		3737 (25.7)	
Fourth	781 (12.5)		2100 (29.5)		4149 (30.8)		4238 (21.4)		4934 (20.7)		4414 (20.1)	
Highest	939 (21.7)		2422 (19.6)		4556 (25.8)		4120 (10.2)		5199 (15)		4537 (14.5)	
Age at Cutting for												
girl		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
0-4	504 (90.7)		1219 (70)		5041 (94.9)		2906 (89.6)		6009 (97.7)		-	
5-9	24 (4.3)		275 (15.8)		127 (2.4)		68 (2.1)		68 (1.1)		-	
10-14	12 (2.2)		96 (5.5)		37 (0.7)		10 (0.3)		12 (0.2)		-	
15+	-		-		-		-		-		-	
Missing/Don't know	16 (2.8)		153 (8.8)		106 (2)		259 (8)		62 (1)		-	
Person who performed cutting				<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
For girl												
Doctor	-		1059 (60.8)		142 (2.7)		117 (3.6)		86 (0.9)		102 (2.3)	
Nurse/Midwife/Other health worker	-		85 (4.9)		888 (16.9)		795 (24.5)		1051 (11)		444 (10)	
Traditional circumciser	-		153 (8.8)		3793 (72.2)		2131 (65.7)		8029 (84)		3599 (81)	
Other traditional practitioners/TBA	-		428 (24.6)		420 (8)		130 (4)		249 (2.6)		284 (6.4)	
Don't know/Missing	-		16 (0.9)		16 (0.3)		71 (2.2)		143 (1.5)		13 (0.3)	
Type of FGM/C among girls		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Sewn Closed	23 (4.1)		188 (10.8)		418 (7.9)		185 (5.7)		160 (2.6)		235 (5.3)	
Not sewn closed	406 (73)		1092 (62.7)		3624 (68.5)		2740 (84.5)		5689 (92.5)		4088 (92)	

Don't know/missing	127 (22.9)		461 (26.5)		1248 (23.6)		318 (9.8)		301 (4.9)		120 (2.7)	
Number of cut women (girls)	1445 {556}		6375 {1741}		9890 {5290}		8321 {3243}		9651 {6150}		6312 {4443}	
Mother's support for FGM/C continuation		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001		<0.0001
Continued	613 (51)		-		4048 (76.1)		3788 (58.8)		6086 (61.5)		5308 (58.9)	
Discontinued	2287 (8.8)		-		11002 (10.7)		11133 (6.6)		15816 (10.5)		14862 (5.3)	
Depends/ Don't know	364 (14.3)		-		2594 (39.8)		1928 (14.3)		3038 (23.6)		2412 (21.9)	
Mother's beliefs about FGM/C		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
FGM/C is required by religion	421 (41.6)		-		2944 (60.1)		-		3888 (51.4)		-	
FGM/C is not required by religion	2466 (13.1)		-		12144 (20.8)		-		16855 (17.9)		-	
FGM/C prevents girl's premarital sex	371 (29.3)		-		1935 (60.8)		-		-		-	
FGM/C does not prevent girl's premarital sex	1822 (19.6)		-		15670 (26.2)		-		-		-	
Final Say in making decision on large household purchases		0.0022				<0.0001		-		-		-
Mother only	433 (25.6)		-		1023 (25.3)		-		-		-	
Jointly with partner/someone else	605 (21)		-		6113 (25.1)		-		-		-	
Partner/Someone else only	2222 (14.3)		-		9437 (34)		-		-		-	
Final Say in making decision on mother's own health		<0.0001				0.0001		-		-		-

Mother only	720 (29.6)		-		2005 (32)		-		-		-	
Jointly with partner/someone else	467 (19.1)		-		6431 (24.2)		-		-		-	
Partner/Someone else only	2084 (12.3)		-		8137 (34.5)		-		-		-	
Mother employed in the last 7 days		0.0068		-		0.7200		-		0.2273		-
Yes	679 (8.3)		-		3659 (30.7)		-		6146 (22.6)		-	
No	2599 (19.6)		-		13924 (29.8)		-		18958 (25)		-	
Mother's Occupation		0.0036		-		0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
Formal	335 (10.9)		-		1147 (16.5)		-		1262 (12.6)		-	
Informal	2321 (20.5)		-		13349 (31.9)		-		17813 (25.9)		-	
Not working	626 (8.5)		-		3119 (27.4)		-		5845 (23.3)		-	
Mother's employment type		0.0375		-		0.0001		-		0.2276		-
All year	2001 (19.5)		-		11140 (32.8)		-		16363 (25.4)		-	
Seasonal/part of the year/once in a while	645 (18.7)		-		3365 (23.4)		-		2927 (21.2)		-	
Woman works for cash/cash and kind		0.0111		-		0.0002		-		0.0008		-
Yes	331 (25.7)		-		2488 (22.1)		-		1143 (14.2)		-	
No	2320 (18.4)		-		12039 (32.3)		-		18127 (25.5)		-	
Mother's income (currently married women only)		-				-				<0.0001		

Less money than her husband's/partner's	-		-		9208 (30.5)		-		14795 (26.8)		-	
More money than her husband's/partner's	-		-		482 (25.8)		-		715 (16.3)		-	
About the same	-		-		600 (33.9)		-		896 (23.2)		-	
Husband/Partner doesn't bring in any money	-		-		99 (23.3)		-		113 (10)		-	
Don't know	-		-		912 (56.5)		-		507 (16.9)		-	
Who usually decides mother's cash expenditure		0.4073		-		0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
Mother only	1567 (19.7)		-		7478 (35.5)		-		12594 (27.9)		-	
Jointly with partner/someone else	513 (16)		-		2371 (25.5)		-		3171 (18.6)		-	
Partner/Someone else only	241 (15.4)		-		1450 (28.7)		-		1260 (22.7)		-	
Who usually decides husband's/partner's cash expenditure		-		-		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
Mother only	-		-		972 (17.1)		-		862 (24.4)		-	
Jointly with husband/partner	-		-		4313 (22.2)		-		5354 (18.5)		-	
Husband/partner only	-		-		11128 (34.6)		-		17270 (26.9)		-	
Husband/Partner has no earnings	-		-		97 (9.5)		-		151 (8)		-	
MOBILITY												
Number of years mother lived continuously in her current location		0.0472		-		0.2678		-		-		-

0 years	92 (4.2)		-		717 (26.1)		-		-		-	
1-10 years	1135 (16.5)		-		7925 (27.9)		-		-		-	
11-20 years	631 (22.9)		-		3353 (31)		-		-		-	
21 or more years	216 (17.3)		-		807 (27.1)		-		-		-	
Mother's number of trips away from the community in the last 12 months		-		-		<0.0001		-		0.1101		-
0	-		-		9687 (32.7)		-		13990 (25.1)		-	
1-25	-		-		7881 (26.5)		-		10981 (23.7)		-	
26-50	-		-		28 (29.8)		-		116 (20)		-	
51 or more	-		-		1 (0)		-		88 (14.9)		-	
MASS MEDIA EXPOSURE												
Frequency of newspaper/magazine reading		0.8311		-		<0.0001		-		<0.0001		-
Not at all	2454 (16.8)		-		13683 (32.3)		-		21115 (26.2)		-	
Less than once a week	376 (17.4)		-		2027 (22.5)		-		2278 (16.7)		-	
At least once a week	440 (20.2)		-		1877 (21.4)		-		1645 (12.5)		-	
Frequency of listening to radio		0.3202		-		0.0011		-		0.0001		-
Not at all	663 (15.6)		-		4273 (24.9)		-		8666 (25.2)		-	
Less than once a week	555 (22.9)		-		2837 (31.4)		-		6238 (27.9)		-	

At least once a week	2053 (16.4)		-		10513 (31.8)		-		10189 (21.8)		-	397
Frequency of watching tv		0.4427		-		0.0245		-		<0.0001		-
Not at all	1601 (16)		-		7858 (33.4)		-		12543 (27.7)		-	
Less than once a week	365 (14.7)		-		2211 (27.7)		-		4569 (28)		-	
At least once a week	1314 (19.5)		-		7542 (27.3)		-		7964 (17.2)		-	

398

399 Note. Blank space indicates variable is missing. Values (in bold) along the characteristics are p-values

400 *In the 2003 DHS, 2007 MICS, and 2008 DHS, FGM/C questions were asked about the most recently cut daughters of any age; for this analysis,
401 sample size is limited to most recently cut girls aged 0-14. In the 2011 MICS, 2013 DHS and 2016-17 MICS the FGM/C questions were asked for
402 all daughters aged 0-14 years

403 ** MICS 2007 & 2016-17 asked religion and ethnicity of the head of the household

404 Spatial distribution of FGM/C across Nigerian states and regions

405 The spatial distribution of FGM/C prevalence (crude prevalence) among 0-14 years old girls in
406 Nigerian is presented in Fig 3. Unadjusted estimates of FGM/C prevalence varied across
407 geographical locations (states and the FCT) in Nigeria with the highest prevalence found
408 among the South western states of Ekiti, Ondo, Osun and Oyo, and North-central state of
409 Kwara in 2003 up to 2007. Surprisingly, in 2008, highest prevalence was found in the North
410 western state of Kano with prevalence in South-western states still high at around 60%.
411 Another rather surprising outcome is the unexpected high FGM/C prevalence found in Katsina
412 state in 2011 and which radically declined to almost 0% afterwards. It is not clear, why such
413 unexpected variations in prevalence of FGM/C existed in Kano and Katsina states. However,
414 it could be that prior to 2008, there were no meaningful survey coverage in the North and
415 data collection in these states has improved ever since. How likely is this? Another potential
416 reason could be due to the different survey methods used by the DHS and MICS and the
417 different definitions of the *fgm* variables used by the surveys before being standardised in
418 2010 [30]. From 2008 to 2016, FGM/C prevalence remained high among the North-western
419 states with Jigawa state having the highest prevalence in 2016, while a significant decline was
420 found in the Southern states in the same period.

421

422

423

424

425

426 **Fig 3: Crude estimates of the spatial distribution of FGM/C prevalence among 0-14-year old girls**
427 **across the Nigerian 36 states and the FCT.** Dark blue to dark red correspond to lowest to highest
428 prevalent states. *Shapefile republished from DIVA-GIS database (<https://www.diva-gis.org/>) under a*
429 *CC BY license, with permission from Global Administrative Areas ([GADM](#)), original copyright 2018.*

430

431 Bayesian hierarchical Spatial models

432 Using Moran's I spatial clustering test, we found the existence of local spatial clusters in Kano,
433 Kaduna and Jigawa states with Moran's I test statistics of 0.16, p-value = 0.01. In addition,
434 Moran's I Monte Carlo simulations with 1000 samples returned a significant Moran's I test
435 statistics of 0.16 with p-value = 0.02 which further confirmed that indeed FGM/C exhibited
436 positive spatial clustering among the North western states.

437 In what follows, we present the results obtained from the Bayesian hierarchical models fitted
438 on the various datasets. First, we present the results based on the 2016 MICS data in order
439 examine a 'snapshot' of changes in a girl's likelihood of undergoing FGM/C. Afterwards, we
440 present results based on the pooled 2003 – 2016 dataset and assess changes over time.

441

442 2016 MICS dataset

443 *Posterior odds ratio (POR)*

444 Table 4 presents results based on the Bayesian hierarchical spatial models fitted to the 2016
445 MICS data. Estimates of the DIC for the unadjusted model m_1^* (m_1 without year component)
446 and the adjusted model m_3^* (m_3 without year component) are 12838.40 and 6236.40,

447 respectively. This indicates that the full model which has the smaller DIC value provided a
 448 better fit to the data. However, graphical results from both models are presented for
 449 comparison purposes where necessary. Therefore, in Tables 4, only the results based on m_3^*
 450 are presented.

451 Daughters of women who did not have up to higher education had significantly higher
 452 likelihood of experiencing FGM/C. A girl who lived in the North west is about 6 times more
 453 likely to be cut than a girl who lived in the North central states of Nigeria. Also, likelihood of
 454 cutting was high among girls whose mothers were formerly married, whose mothers have
 455 undergone FGM/C and almost 14 times higher among girls whose mothers supported the
 456 continuation of FGM/C in Nigeria. Lower likelihood of FGM/C is associated with girls from
 457 other ethnic minorities, and there is no significant difference in likelihood of FGM/C found
 458 among girls who lived in households classified under different wealth quintiles suggesting that
 459 FGM/C is practised in Nigeria regardless of whether household is rich or poor. In addition,
 460 although the likelihood of FGM/C is lower among urban girls, we note that this is not
 461 significant suggesting that as of 2016, type of place of residence is not key to determining the
 462 likelihood of cutting a Nigerian girl aged 0-14 years.

463 **Table 4.** Posterior odds ratio estimates from the Bayesian geo-additive regression model (adjusted)
 464 fitted to the 2016 MICS data.

Variable		POR	CI	
Name	Remarks/level		2.5%	97.5%
<i>Education</i>	Higher (ref)	1.00	-	-
	None	1.623	1.131	2.353
	Primary	1.352	1.352	1.352
	Secondary	1.355	1.045	1.719

<i>Women support for fgm/c continuation</i>	Stopped (ref)	1.00		
	Continued	13.944	11.968	16.291
	Depends/don't know	3.111	2.463	3.855
<i>Wealth index</i>	Middle (ref)	1.00		
	Highest	1.045	0.817	1.332
	Higher	1.056	0.852	1.279
	Second	1.209	0.956	1.574
	Lowest	1.181	0.913	1.539
<i>Ethnicity</i>	Hausa (ref)	1.00		
	Igbo	0.78	0.488	1.236
	Yoruba	1.059	0.687	1.629
	Others	0.39	0.278	0.554
<i>Region</i>	North central	1.00		
	North east	0.258	0.037	2.025
	North west	6.009	1.048	38.133
	South east	1.263	0.158	6.595
	South-south	0.955	0.12	5.607
	South west	1.43	0.295	10.274
<i>fgm_{woman}</i>	Not cut (ref)	1.00		
	Cut	11.637	9.838	14.165
<i>Place of residence</i>	Rural (ref)	1.00		
	Urban	0.954	0.797	1.137
<i>Marital status</i>	Currently married (ref)	1.00		
	Formerly married	1.422	1.05	1.892
	Never married	0.671	0.293	1.387

465 POR- Posterior Odds Ratio; CI- Credible Interval; SD- Standard deviation.

466

467 *Effects of geographical location*

468 In Fig 4, we show the posterior means (top panel) of the effects of geographical location on
469 a girl's likelihood of being cut, and the corresponding maps testing the statistical significance
470 of the estimates based on 95% credible interval (bottom panel) for the unadjusted model (m_1^* ;
471 left) and the adjusted (m_3^* ; right) models fitted to the 2016 MICS dataset. For these ma

472 ps, dark blue to dark red correspond to lowest risk to highest risk states. While black, white
473 and grey correspond to significantly high-risk states, significantly low risk states, and the stat
474 es in which the effects of geographical location were not statistically significant. The unadjus
475 ted maps show that significantly higher likelihood of cutting existed among girls who lived in
476 the North western states of Zamfara, Kaduna, Kano and Jigawa; South western states of Ekit
477 i, Oyo, Osun, and Ondo; North central state of Kwara and Plateau; South southern state of E
478 do and South eastern state of Imo. However, after taking account of the effects of other key
479 covariates considered in the model (Table 4), only the effects of geographical location in Jiga
480 wa and Plateau states remained significantly high. This suggests that the key factors driving
481 FGM/C among 0-14 years old in Nigeria are mainly state-specific or due to sharing boundary
482 with high prevalent states (e.g., Jigawa and Kano).

483

484

485

486 **Fig 4: Posterior estimates of the effects of a girl's likelihood of undergoing FGM/C in Nigeria based**
487 **on the 2016 MICS dataset.** Mean (top panel). Significance maps of the posterior estimates based o
488 n 95% credible interval (bottom panel). Dark blue to dark red represent lowest to highest FGM/C risk
489 states. Black, white and grey represent significantly high, low, and nonsignificant FGM/C risk states,
490 respectively.

491 *Shapefile republished from DIVA-GIS database (<https://www.diva-gis.org/>) under a CC BY license, wit*
492 *h permission from Global Administrative Areas (GADM), original copyright 2018.*

493

494 Pooled 2003 to 2016 dataset

495 In this Section, we present the results from the Bayesian hierarchical models fitted to the
496 pooled dataset. Unlike the 2016 MICS data, the pooled data allows us to assess changes over
497 time and space and better estimate prevalence.

498 *DIC*

499 Fig 5 shows estimates of the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) of the six (6) nested models
500 specified in Table 2 and fitted to the pooled 2003 to 2016 dataset. Based on the DIC, Fig 5A
501 shows that the unadjusted models fit the data equally well regardless of whether they
502 adjusted for variations due to survey differences (m_2) or not (m_1) suggesting that the
503 methods used by the DHS and MICS were not significantly different in a statistical perspective.
504 Similarly, Fig 5B shows that the *full* models m_3 and m_4 which did not account for potential
505 interactions in space and time, showed no difference in fit regardless of whether variations
506 due to different survey methods were accounted for (m_4) or not (m_3). However, the overall
507 best fit was offered by the *full* model (m_6) which modelled space-time interactions as a
508 random effect , that is, $\xi \sim N(0, \tau_\xi^2)$, suggesting that the changes in the spatial distribution
509 of FGM/C prevalence among 0-14 years old girls in Nigeria happened independently in time
510 or that the changes in prevalence over time happened regardless of the spatial location. Thus,
511 for the pooled datasets only the results based on m_6 are presented and discussed further.
512 However, for the purpose of comparisons, graphs and maps based on the unadjusted model
513 which did not account for survey differences m_1 (simpler model) will be used where relevant.

514

515

516 **Fig 5: Boxplot of the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) for the six (6) models fitted to the pooled**
517 **2003 to 2016 dataset. (A) Unadjusted model. (B) Adjusted model.**

518

519

520 *Temporal trend*

521 A girl's likelihood of FGM/C varied over time and significantly peaked in 2008 and declined
522 afterwards with significantly lowest likelihood found in 2011 (Fig 6). In addition, Fig 6 shows
523 no significant progress in the reduction of the likelihood of FGM/C among 0-14 years old
524 girls in Nigeria since 2011 suggesting that the practice is still being sustained despite the
525 concerted abandonment efforts.

526

527 **Fig 6: Temporal trends in FGM/C among 0-14-year old girls in Nigeria (m_3) based on the pooled 20**
528 **03 to 2016 dataset.** The blue lines are the posterior means; the yellow lines are the 95% credible int
529 ervals of the posterior estimates; and the blue band is the width of the 95% credible interval.

530

531

532

533 *Posterior odds ratio (POR)*

534 In Table 5, we present the results based on m_6 as posterior odds ratio (POR) and 95% credible
535 intervals (95% CI). These results show the overall effects of the covariates across the years
536 (2003 to 2016). We found that daughters of women who had no higher education were
537 significantly more likely to be cut than other girls whose mothers had higher education. A girl
538 whose mother had undergone FGM/C was more than 18 times more likely to be cut than a
539 girl whose mother was not cut. Significantly lower risk of FGM/C was found among girls who
540 lived in urban areas than in the rural areas. In support to earlier findings, we found that

541 although the DHS data shows evidence of higher coverage, there were no significant
 542 differences between the effects due to differences in survey methods suggesting that
 543 statistical evidence based on the DHS and MICS datasets are not statistically different.

544 **Table 5. Posterior odds ratio estimates from the Bayesian geo-additive regression model**
 545 **(adjusted) fitted to the pooled 2003 to 2016 dataset.**

Variable		POR	CI	
Name	Remarks/level		2.5%	97.5%
<i>Education</i>	Higher (ref)	1.00	-	-
	Primary	2.35	2.313	2.504
	Secondary	1.928	1.91	2.01
<i>fgm_{woman}</i>	Not cut (ref)	1.00	-	-
	Cut	18.153	17.923	19.125
	Rural (ref)	1.00	-	-
<i>Residence</i>	Urban	0.955	0.952	0.955
<i>Year</i>	<i>See the graph, Figure</i>	-	-	-
<i>survey</i>	DHS (ref)			
	MICS	0.877	0.724	1.082

546 POR- Posterior Odds Ratio; CI- Credible Interval.

547

548 *Effects of geographical location*

549

550 To address the question on the roles of geographical location on a girl's likelihood of underg
 551 oing FGM/C in Nigeria, we examine the posterior maps of the unadjusted and adjusted spati
 552 al effects. Fig 7 presents the posterior means (top panel) and the corresponding maps testin
 553 g the statistical significance of the estimates based on 95% credible interval (bottom panel) f
 554 or the unadjusted model (m_1 ; left) and the adjusted model (m_6 ; right). For these maps, dark
 555 blue to dark red correspond to lowest risk to highest risk states. While black, white and grey
 556 correspond to significantly high-risk states, significantly low risk states, and the states in whi

557 ch the effects of geographical location were not statistically significant. Based on m_1 higher
558 likelihood of cutting existed among girls who lived in the North western states of Zamfara, K
559 aduna, Kano and Jigawa; South western states of Ekiti, Oyo, Osun, and Ondo; North central s
560 tate of Kwara; South southern state of Edo and South eastern states of Ebonyi, Enugu and I
561 mo. However, after taking account of the effects of other key covariates considered in the m
562 odel (Table 5), the effects of geographical location in Osun state, Zamfara state and all the S
563 outh eastern states became non-significant suggesting that over the years FGM/C have been
564 sustained in the North western and South western states largely due to state-specific/local f
565 actors.

566

567

568 **Fig 7: Posterior estimates of the effects of a girl's likelihood of undergoing FGM/C in Nigeria based**
569 **on the pooled 2003 to 2016 dataset.** Mean (top panel). Significance maps of the posterior estimate
570 s based on 95% credible interval (bottom panel). Dark blue to dark red represent lowest to highest F
571 GM/C risk states. Black, white and grey represent significantly high, low, and nonsignificant FGM/C r
572 isk states, respectively.

573 *Shapefile republished from DIVA-GIS database (<https://www.diva-gis.org/>) under a CC BY license, wit*
574 *h permission from Global Administrative Areas (GADM), original copyright 2018.*

575

576

577 *Posterior estimates of FGM/C prevalence from 2003 to 2016*

578 In Fig 8, we present the predicted prevalence across the 36 states in Nigeria and the FCT bas
579 ed on the pooled data (Fig 8A), calculated as the back transformed posterior estimate of the
580 linear predictor defined in (3), such that $p_k = \exp(\eta_k) / (1 + \exp(\eta_k))$ averaged over all gi
581 rls in location (state) k , for $k = 1, \dots, 37$. We quantified the uncertainties in the estimation
582 of the posterior prevalence using the deviance maps (Fig 8B) calculated as

$$D = 2\sum \left\{ y_k \log \left(\frac{y_k}{\hat{\mu}} \right) + (n_k - y_k) \log \left(\frac{n_k - y_k}{n_k - \hat{\mu}} \right) \right\} \quad (9)$$

where y_k and $\hat{\mu}$ are the observed and fitted values for the k^{th} observation, respectively. Smaller values of D indicate better fit.

These estimated prevalence maps are in agreement with the crude prevalence maps in Fig 3 with both consistently showing higher FGM/C prevalence in Southern Nigeria before 2008, while a shift from lowest to highest prevalence occurred in the North western states since 2008 with Jigawa having the highest predicted posterior prevalence in 2016. All estimates showed moderate deviance values indicating high precision of the estimates. The advanced statistical methods employed in this study allowed us to better estimate FGM/C prevalence by accounting for errors in the response due to autocorrelations in space and time, and errors due to the different survey methods. Thus, we were able to estimate with very small standard error, the prevalence of FGM/C in states with no data by borrowing strengths from the information-rich neighbours. For example, we were able to estimate prevalence for Kano and Jigawa states in 2007 as well as the accurate prevalence for Katsina state in 2011 thus providing more reliable estimates of prevalence in time and space. Based on the 2016 estimated prevalence, the North western states of Jigawa, Kaduna and Kano, and the South western state of Ekiti are identified as the hotspots where the practice is still rife.

600

601

Fig 8. Posterior estimates. (A) Predicted prevalence of FGM/C among 0-14-year old girls in Nigeria from 2003 to 2016 based on m_6 . (B) Corresponding deviance maps for the estimates. Dark blue to

604 dark red implies lowest to highest prevalence. *Shapefile republished from DIVA-GIS database*
605 *(<https://www.diva-gis.org/>) under a CC BY license, with permission from Global Administrative Areas*
606 *(GADM), original copyright 2018.*

607 *Non-linear effects of mother's age*

608 In Fig 9, we compare the effects of mother's age based on the pooled dataset from 2003 –
609 2016 (Fig 9A) to that based on the 2016 MICS dataset (Fig 9B). The combined effects of
610 mother's age from 2003 – 2016 show that FGM/C prevalence was significantly high among
611 girls whose mothers were more than 38 years old (9A). However, when the effects of
612 mother's age were assessed using the recent 2016 MICS dataset, the effects of mother's age
613 were no longer significant suggesting possible gains of interventions targeting older women.

614

615

616 **Fig 9: Non-linear effects (log-odds) of mother's age on her daughter's likelihood of being cut based**
617 **on (A) m_6 of the pooled 2003 to 2016 data and (B) Model B of the 2016 MICS data.** The blue lines
618 are the posterior means; the yellow lines are the 95% credible intervals of the posterior estimates; a
619 nd the blue band is the width of the 95% credible interval.

620

621

622 DISCUSSION

623 This study evaluates changes in the prevalence of female genital mutilation/cutting (FGM/C)
624 among 0-14 years old girls in Nigeria drawing upon data from multiple surveys. Specifically,
625 we combined and analysed rich datasets from six (6) successive waves of nationally
626 representative surveys comprising the Nigeria Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) and

627 Multiple Indicators Cluster Surveys (MICS) covering years 2003 to 2016/17. The objectives of
628 the study were to provide more precise estimates of prevalence and better quantify the
629 effects of the key determinants of FGM/C, and identify ‘hotspots’ where the practice is still
630 rife to facilitate the development of more effective interventions. Four key questions were
631 addressed: We examined the roles of key socio-economic and socio-demographic factors; the
632 roles of social norms factors operationalised by a woman’s FGM/C status, her beliefs, and her
633 support for the continuation of the practice; the roles of a girl’s geographical location in the
634 persistence of the practice in Nigeria; and how changes in the practice varied over
635 geographical locations and time. These questions were addressed using a combination of
636 bivariate data analysis and a novel multivariate analysis which simultaneously adjusted for
637 the inherent spatial and temporal autocorrelations within the data and the effects of other
638 linear and non-linear covariates while accounting for potential variations due to the different
639 survey methods, in a coherent Bayesian hierarchical spatio-temporal regression modelling
640 framework.

641 Findings showed that FGM/C prevalence among 0-14-year-old girls in Nigeria varied
642 geographically and temporally and has generally declined over the years in the South, but
643 there has been a shift to the North since 2008. A girl was more likely to be cut if her mother
644 was cut, supported FGM/C continuation, or had no higher education. Results from the
645 bivariate analysis showed that most of the girls were cut before their 5th birthday with more
646 than 80% of the cutting carried out by traditional circumcisers in 2016. Results based on a
647 pooled 2003 – 2016/17 dataset showed that daughters of older women (38+ years) and girls
648 who lived in rural areas were significantly more likely to be cut than the daughters of younger

649 women and girls who lived in urban areas. However, evidence based on the 2016 MICS data
650 alone shows that the effects of mother's age and place of residence (rural-urban) are no
651 longer significant suggesting possible gains of interventions that targeted older women and
652 women who lived in the rural areas. Higher likelihood of undergoing FGM/C were found
653 among girls whose mothers believed that FGM/C was a religious obligation and prevents
654 premarital sex. Furthermore, results suggest that the geographical location in which a girl lives
655 matters. We found that over the years, the effects of geographical location were significantly
656 high in most Southern and Northern states with girls who lived in the Northern states of
657 Jigawa and Plateau having significantly higher likelihood of being cut in 2016. This implies that
658 the practice of FGM/C in these states is being sustained largely due to some state-specific
659 factors such as shared socio-cultural norms or due to their proximity to high prevalent
660 neighbouring states (e.g., Kano and Jigawa states).

661 These results agreed with findings from previous studies [11,17, 27-29, 46]. For example, it
662 was found that education plays an important role in a mother's decision on whether her
663 daughter will be cut or not [27], with mother's who had higher education less likely to cut
664 their daughters in Nigeria [11]. This inverse relationship between a Nigerian woman's level of
665 education and the likelihood of FGM/C was also reported in a scoping review of the
666 determinants of FGM/C in Nigeria by Mberu in 2017 [17]. Also, a recent study by Cappa et al
667 on the roles of parental attitudes on the likelihood of their daughter's FGM/C suggested that
668 the opinions of both parents matter [46]. They found higher likelihood of FGM/C among
669 African girls (including Nigeria) aged 0-14 whose mothers wanted FGM/C to continue
670 supporting the assertion that FGM/C is a social norm. Similar results were found in the context

671 of other countries [28, 47]. A study conducted in Senegal, Burkina Faso and Egypt by Farina
672 and Ortensi found higher FGM/C prevalence among girls whose mothers had undergone
673 FGM/C [47]. In the same spirit, studies conducted in the context of Kenya found that a
674 woman's FGM/C status was key to her support for the continuation of the practice [28], and
675 that daughters of women who were cut, women who supported FGM/C continuation and
676 women who believed that FGM/C was a religious obligation, were more likely to be cut than
677 their peers [29].

678 It should be noted, however, that majority of the studies mentioned above focused largely
679 on the roles of individual- and community-level factors and the effects of the geographical
680 locations in which a girl lives in Nigeria has only been considered in Kandala et al [27]. In
681 addition, studies have mostly relied on single survey and single year data thus providing only
682 a 'snapshot' evidence, while the need to understand the trends in the practice on a larger
683 spatial and temporal scale has largely been overlooked. To the best of our knowledge, this is
684 the first attempt to evaluate changes in FGM/C using data from multiple surveys over multiple
685 number of years while accounting for uncertainties due to the different survey methods in a
686 robust Bayesian hierarchical modelling framework. With this novel approach, we were able
687 to exploit the strengths of each survey to examine the temporal trends in the practice, better
688 quantify the effects of individual- and community-level factors, more accurately estimate
689 prevalence and identify spatial patterns and 'hotspots' where the practice is still rife. The
690 identified 'hotspots' included the North western states of Kaduna, Kano and Jigawa and the
691 South western state of Ekiti thereby raising questions on the effectiveness of the intervention
692 measures within these states.

693 The regional shifts in FGM/C prevalence are noteworthy as most of the intervention
694 programmes have focused on high prevalence states in the South, with more emphasis laid
695 on the education of the general public [17]. The North may therefore not have experienced
696 the same FGM/C declines as the South because interventions have largely been focused on
697 hotspots, which were thought to be only in the South based on previously available data
698 examined cross-sectionally.

699 Nevertheless, the regional variances in FGM/C prevalence may stem from differences in the
700 adoption of the anti-FGM/C laws. Although Nigeria enacted the 2015 Violence Against
701 Persons (Prohibition) Act (2015 VAPP Act), which criminalises the practice of FGM/C in
702 Nigeria, some Nigerian communities have continued to practice FGM/C unabatedly [10, 13].
703 As a federal law, the Act only applies in the Federal Capital Territory Abuja while states have
704 to either adopt the law or create their own anti-FGM/C laws. Some states had their own anti-
705 FGM/C laws prior to the passing of the VAPP Act. However, not all states have these laws in
706 place and even where the law exists, the implementation may suffer setbacks due to lack of
707 reporting probably due to family members involvement in the perpetration of the practice [1]
708 and the limited presence of law enforcement agents, for example, in the rural areas.

709 Furthermore, the observed higher prevalence of FGM/C in the North since 2008 does not
710 necessarily mean that the practice has recently been adopted. It is possible that data on
711 FGM/C in the North have been better collected in subsequent surveys. Thus, it might be that
712 we are only just recently getting a clearer but not necessarily a new picture of FGM/C practice
713 in the North. In addition, it is possible that these differences were a result of the different
714 strategies used by the DHS and MICS in data collection before being standardised in 2010

715 [30]. However, we accounted for this potential source of error by adding an extra term ‘
716 *survey*’ in the pooled data model to control for potential variations due to different survey
717 methods, thus providing a clearer picture of the patterns of changes over the years.

718 In general, FGM/C is often viewed as a requirement that prepares a girl for marriage, inhibits
719 urge for premarital sex and promotes marital fidelity [1]. Several theories have been
720 advanced to explain why the practice of FGM/C persists despite sustained efforts geared
721 towards its elimination. Prominent among these theories is the social norms theory which
722 postulates that several decisions made by individuals are influenced by social norms within
723 their community, and that FGM/C persists because those who practise it share mutual
724 expectations (empirical and normative) with those in their reference group [20 - 23]. Thus, an
725 individual’s preferences and attitudes towards FGM/C ought to be understood within the
726 context of the expectations and pressures that others in their reference group put on them
727 [48]. As a result, an individual family is left to choose between the costs and benefits of
728 adherence or non-adherence to social norms. Benefits may include enhanced marriageability
729 and acceptance into peer social networks [21,22] while costs may include social exclusion,
730 persecution or ostracization. FGM/C is therefore considered a social norm because it is hard
731 for an individual family to forgo it in isolation as long as most community members do not
732 assent to abandoning it. Consequently, it has been suggested that programmes designed to
733 promote FGM/C abandonment should approach it in terms of social norms theory [20 - 22].

734 Two major limitations of the study were identified. The first one is related to the use of self-
735 reported data on FGM/C without clinical confirmation, which may lead to biased estimates.
736 Although, a previous study on FGM/C in Tanzania suggests that some respondents make false

737 self-reports that they have been cut due to social pressure to be circumcised [48], it is unlikely
738 that this is always true. Nevertheless, for our purpose, it is expected that error due *to recall*
739 *bias* will be minimal in that most women are able to confirm if their daughter was cut or not.
740 A second limitation of this study is that we have considered only girls aged 0-14 years thus
741 limiting the generalisation of the findings from the study. It is important to note that a girl
742 who was not cut at age 14 may still be cut in future, hence this must be considered in
743 interpreting our results noting that the FGM/C status of the girl at the time of the survey was
744 not her final FGM/C status.

745 CONCLUSION

746 Findings from this study have increased our understanding of the extent of success recorded
747 in the fight against the scourge of FGM/C in Nigeria in the recent years. We have also gained
748 further insights on where more resources should be mobilised in order to achieve a
749 permanent abandonment in a social norm driven FGM/C high prevalence community. Such
750 abandonments must be collectively adopted by the community or groups. Other members of
751 the community would then be expected to conform with the *collectively* adopted change not
752 to have their daughters cut with conformers socially rewarded while defaulters would
753 possibly face social sanctions [22, 48]. A successful intervention programme must, therefore,
754 be all-inclusive and involve partnership with both parents, local governments, policymakers,
755 and community and religious leaders. Notable examples of such programmes are the 'FGM-
756 Free Village Model' in Egypt and the Tostan Community Empowerment programme in
757 Senegal. The advanced statistical approach utilised in this study is an important contribution

758 to literature and could be extended to other contexts where there is need to integrate data
759 from multiple sources over large spatial and temporal scales to gain more statistical power.

760 **Acknowledgements**

761 Authors would like to thank DHS and UNICEF for making the data used in the various analyses
762 available.

763

764

765 **References**

766 1. WHO. Facts Sheet. Female genital mutilation. 2020, [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/female-genital-mutilation)
767 [sheets/detail/female-genital-mutilation](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/female-genital-mutilation)

768 2. WHO Eliminating Female Genital Mutilation: An Interagency Statement. (WHO, UNFPA, UNICEF,
769 UNIFEM, UNHCHR, UNHCR, UNECA, UNESCO, UNDP, UNAIDS); World Health Organization:
770 Geneva, 2008.

771 3. Muteshi JK, Miller S, Belizan JM. The ongoing violence against women: Female Genital
772 Mutilation/Cutting. *Reproductive Health* 2016, 18;13:44.

773 4. Rymer J: Female genital mutilation. *Curr Obstet Gynecol* 2003, 13(3):185–190.

774 5. Toubia N: Female circumcision as a public health issue. *N Engl J Med* 1994, 331(11):712–716.

775 6. Dirie M, Lindmark G: The risk of medical complications after female circumcision. *East Afr Med J*
776 1992, 69(9):479–482.

- 777 7. Abd El-Naser T, Farouk A, El-Nashar AE-R, Mostafa T: Sexual side effects of female genital
778 mutilation/cutting May Be type dependent: a hospital-based study. *J Obstet Gynecol* 2011,
779 1(2):65–74.
- 780 8. Alsibiani SA, Rouzi AA: Sexual function in women with female genital mutilation. *Fertil Steril* 2010,
781 93(3):722–724.
- 782 9. Banks E, Meirik O, Farley T, Akande O, Bathija H, Ali M: Female genital mutilation and obstetric
783 outcome: WHO collaborative prospective study in six African countries. *Lancet* 2006,
784 367(9525):1835–1841.
- 785 10. 28TOOMANY, Nigeria: The Law and FGM. 2018,
786 [https://www.28toomany.org/static/media/uploads/Law%20Reports/nigeria_law_report_v1](https://www.28toomany.org/static/media/uploads/Law%20Reports/nigeria_law_report_v1_(june_2018).pdf)
787 [_\(june_2018\).pdf](https://www.28toomany.org/static/media/uploads/Law%20Reports/nigeria_law_report_v1_(june_2018).pdf) [Accessed 25/05/2020].
- 788 11. Kandala N-B, Atilola G, Nnanatu CC, Ogundimu E, Mavatikua L, Komba P, Moore Z, and Matanda
789 D. “Female genital mutilation/cutting in Nigeria: Is the practice declining? A descriptive
790 analysis of successive demographic and health surveys and multiple indicator cluster surveys
791 (2003–2017).” *Evidence to End FGM/C: Research to Help Girls and Women Thrive*. New York:
792 Population Council, 2020.
- 793 12. Onagoruwa A, Wodon Q. Selected Factors Leading to the Transmission of Female Genital
794 Mutilation Across Generations: Quantitative Analysis for Six African Countries. Ending
795 Violence Against Women and Girls; World Bank, Washington, DC. © World Bank.
796 <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/29331> License: CC BY 3.0 IGO.
797 [Accessed on 25/05/2020].
- 798 13. Briggs LA. Female circumcision in Nigeria: Is it not time for government intervention? *Health Care*
799 *Analysis* 6; 1998, 14. DOI: 10.1007/BF02678072

- 800 14. Briggs LA. Male and female viewpoints on female circumcision in Ekpeye, Rivers State, Nigeria.
801 *African Journal of Reproductive Health*; 2002 6(3): 44-52
- 802 15. Centre for Laws of the Federation of Nigeria. 2015 Violence Against Persons (Prohibition) Act
803 2015. [http://lawnigeria.com/LawsoftheFederation/Violation-Against-Persons-](http://lawnigeria.com/LawsoftheFederation/Violation-Against-Persons-%28Prohibition%29-Act%2C-2015.html)
804 [%28Prohibition%29-Act%2C-2015.html](http://lawnigeria.com/LawsoftheFederation/Violation-Against-Persons-%28Prohibition%29-Act%2C-2015.html) (Accessed online 25 September 2018)
- 805 16. NAPTIP, National Agency for Prohibition of Trafficking in Persons. 2015 Violence Against Persons
806 (Prohibition) Act 2015. [https://www.naptip.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Violence-](https://www.naptip.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Violence-Against-Persons-Prohibition-Act-2015-1.pdf)
807 [Against-Persons-Prohibition-Act-2015-1.pdf](https://www.naptip.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/Violence-Against-Persons-Prohibition-Act-2015-1.pdf) [Accessed online 25 September 2018].
- 808 17. Mberu B. "Female genital mutilation cutting in Nigeria: A scoping Review". *Evidence*, May
809 2017. *Evidence to End FGM/C: Research to Help Women Thrive*. New York. Population
810 Council; 2017
- 811 18. Nnamdi US. The law and FGM in Nigeria; 2018, Available online at
812 <https://www.28toomany.org/blog/2018/feb/20/the-law-and-fgm-in-nigeria/>
- 813 19. UNFPA-UNICEF How to Transform a Social Norm. Reflections on Phase II of the UNFPA-UNICEF
814 Joint Programme on Female Genital Mutilation; 2018.
- 815 20. Mackie G. Ending foot binding and infibulation: A convention account. *American Sociological*
816 *Review* 1996, 61, 999-1017. Y., Eds. Lynne Rienner Publishers: Boulder, CO, 2000; pp 253-
817 283.
- 818 21. Mackie G. Female genital cutting: The beginning of the end. In *Female "Circumcision" in Africa:*
819 *Culture, Controversy, and Change*, Shell-Duncan, B.; Hernlund, Y., Eds. Lynne Rienner
820 Publishers: Boulder, CO, 2000; pp 253-283.

- 821 22. Mackie G, LeJeune J. Social Dynamics of Abandonment of Harmful Practices: A New Look at the
822 Theory; Innocenti Working Paper No. 2009-06, Florence, UNICEF Innocenti Research Centre:
823 2009.
- 824 23. Shell-Duncan B, Wander K, Hernlund Y, Moreau A. Dynamics of change in the practice of female
825 genital cutting in Senegambia: Testing predictions of social convention theory. *Social*
826 *Science and Medicine* 2011, 73, 1275-1283.
- 827 24. Muteshi J. *Root causes and the persistent challenges in accelerating the abandonment of FGM/C:*
828 *evidence to end FGM/C*. Population Council. Workshop in preparation for 71st session of the
829 Uzn General Assembly, 29th June 2026), UN New York), 2016.
- 830 25. Utazi CE, Afuecheta AO, Nnanatu CC. Bayesian latent process spatiotemporal regression model
831 for areal count data. *Spatial and Spatio-temporal Epidemiology* 2018; 25, 25(37).
- 832 26. Lee D, Rushworth A, Shahu SK. A Bayesian localized conditional autoregressive model for
833 estimating the health effects of air pollution, 2014; 70(2); 419-29
- 834 27. Kandala N-B, Nwakeze N, Ngianga S, Kandala I I. Spatial distribution of female genital mutilation
835 in Nigeria. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2009; 81 (5), 784-792.
- 836 28. Achia TNO. Spatially modelling and mapping of female genital mutilation in Kenya. *BMC public*
837 *health*, 2014 ; 14 :276
- 838 29. Kandala NB, Nnanatu CC, Atilola G, et al. A Spatial Analysis of the Prevalence of Female Genital
839 Mutilation/Cutting among 0-14-Year-Old Girls in Kenya. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*.
840 2019;16(21):4155. Published 2019 Oct 28. doi:10.3390/ijerph16214155.
- 841 30. Shell-Duncan B, Naik R, Feldman-Jacobs C. A State-of-the-Art Synthesis on Female Genital
842 Mutilation/Cutting: What Do We Know Now? October 2016. New York: Population Council
843 <http://www.popcouncil.org/EvidencetoEndFGM-C>, 2016.

- 844 31. Stata Statistical Software. *SataCorp* .2009
- 845 32. Anselin L, Getis A. Spatial statistical analysis and geographic information systems. *Ann Reg Sci*.
846 1992; 26(1):19–33.25.
- 847 33. Hongfei LI, Calder C A., Cressie N. "Beyond Moran's I: Testing for Spatial Dependence Based on
848 the Spatial Autoregressive Model". *Geographical Analysis* 2007.
- 849 34. R Core Team. The R Statistical programming project. 2020. <https://www.r-project.org/>
- 850 35. Brezger A L. Generalized Structured Additive Regression Based on Bayesian P-Splines,
851 *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 2006; 50, 947-991.
- 852 36. Kneib T, Fahrmeir L. Structured Additive Regression for Multicategorical Space-Time Data: A
853 Mixed Model Approach. *Biometrics*, 2006; 62, 109(118).
- 854 37. Kamman EE, Wand MP. Geoadditive Models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society C*, 2003; 52,
855 1-18.
- 856 38. Tobler W. "A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region". *Economic*
857 *Geography*, 1970, 46(Supplement): 234–240.
- 858 39. Umlauf N, Adler D, Kneib T. Structured Additive Regression Models: An R Interface to BayesX.
859 *Journal of Statistical Software*, 2015; 63(21).
- 860 40. Rue H, Held L. Gaussian Markov Random Fields: Theory and Applications. Chapman and
861 Hall/CRC. 2005.
- 862 41. Eilers PHC, Marx BD. Flexible smoothing using B-splines and penalized
863 likelihood (with comments and rejoinder). *Statistical Science*, 1996; 11, 89{121.

- 864 42. Gilks WR, Wild P. "Adaptive Rejection Sampling for Gibbs Sampling". *Journal of the Royal*
865 *Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics)*. 1992; 41 (2): 337–348. doi:10.2307/2347565.
866 [JSTOR 2347565](#).
- 867 43. Gamerman D. Efficient Sampling from the posterior distribution in generalized linear
868 models. *Statistics and Computing*, 1997; 7, 57{68.
- 869 44. Kammann E E, Wand M P. Geoaddivitive Models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*
870 *Society C*, 2003; 52, 1-18.
- 871 45. Spiegelhalter DJ, Best NG, Carlin BP, Van Der Linde A. Bayesian measures of model complexity
872 and fit. *J R Stat Soc Ser B (Stat Methodol)* 2002;64(4):583–639. doi: 10.1111/1467-
873 9868.00353.
- 874 46. Cappa C, Thomson C, Murray C. Understanding the association between parental
875 attitudes and the practice of female genital mutilation among daughters. *PLoS ONE*
876 2020 ; 15(5): e0233344. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0233344>
- 877 46. Farina P, Ortensi LE. Mother to daughter transmission of Female Genital Cutting in Egypt, Burkina
878 Faso and Senegal, 2014; 28(2).
- 879 47. Nowak B. The School Nurse's Role in Addressing Female Genital Mutilation, *NASN School Nurse*,
880 2016, 31 (5).
- 881 48. Shell-Duncan B, Wander K, Hernlund Y, Moreau A. Dynamics of change in the practice of female
882 genital cutting in Senegambia: Testing predictions of social convention theory. *Social*
883 *Science and Medicine* 2011, 73, 1275-1283.
- 884
- 885

