

Beyond the Game: Perceptions and Practices of Sports Sponsorship in German SMEs

Datson, P, Ozuem, W, Howell, K and Lancaster G

ABSTRACT

Sponsorship has evolved from short-term philanthropic activities to long-term strategic alliances involving billions of dollars of global annual spending. Sponsor, sponsee and dyadic antecedents have increased in both sophistication and complexity, resulting in expected positive consumer outcomes as the justification for such marketing communication investments. The conceptual framework model developed from this study is the first theoretical construct to provide a holistic view of the dynamics between sponsor, sponsee and consumer within a regional context forming the operating environment. This empirical study contributes to the literature through findings from German respondents and a critical evaluation of literature relating to the impact of sports sponsorship, principally on SMEs and a few large companies within local German communities.

Summary statement of contribution

No study to date has provided researchers with a framework to understand sport sponsorship from SME perspectives. This paper contributes to the theory and practice of sport sponsorship. Drawing on signalling theory and extant studies, the authors propose four categories of SME sport sponsorship activities: 1) value-based connections, 2) social engagement, 3) recognition, 4) bonding.

Key words: *sport sponsorship, signalling theory, SMEs, advertising, consumer research.*

Introduction

Drawing on signalling theory, this paper addresses the need for more investigation into conceptual underpinnings of sponsorships by investigating and seeking to understand sponsorship objectives, opinions, and practices, with a focus on smaller organisations. This theoretical framing begins with justification for applying signalling theory in the SME sponsorship context and considers key descriptions of major sponsorship concepts including operational focus. Next, it discusses the methodological approach. Findings confirm the abundance of sponsorship research within classical marketing theory and absence of relationship marketing theory, critically important in a small business context. This knowledge gap needs to be addressed in support of the realisation of the economic importance of SMEs.

Theoretical Background

The marketing practice of “borrowing ideas and methodologies from many disciplines is commendable and even essential” (Baron *et al.* 2017, p. 893). Economic and psychology theory have formed the basis of the marketing concept which has developed into a melding of multi-conceptual academic ideology based on social welfare and consumerism. The beginnings of marketing as a discipline were broad, incorporating macro- and micro-management concerns, and were not fragmented as marketing is today. The application of psychological theory explained the development of message design; message content and the stages through which consumers might pass as part of purchase behaviour. Consequently, antecedents developed by sponsors, and behaviours of potential consumers in the marketing cycle, are evident in sponsorship activities. Cognitive and social psychology have historically provided the main theoretical foundations for consumer research (Pham, 2013; Simonson *et al.* 2001). Postmodern approaches such as anthropology, sociology, and history have received support (Jacoby, 1998). Cram and Ng (1999) described a link between marketing, psychology, and

economics, suggesting a regularity and systematic bias in people's perceptions and reasoning. More recently, research acknowledges that social media is seen to positively influence brand equity and behaviour of consumers (Godey *et al.* 2016).

Sponsorship

Sponsorship has evolved from short-term philanthropic activities to long-term strategic alliances involving billions of dollars of annual spending globally (Hessling *et al.* 2018; Morgan *et al.* 2014; Clopton 2017). Research on sponsorship spans several disciplines including marketing and macro-marketing (Hermann *et al.* 2016, Jensen and Cornwell 2017); sponsorship influencing areas (Jensen and Cobbs, 2014, Johnston and Paulsen 2014, Caemmerer and Descotes 2014); advertising (Carrillat *et al.* 2015, Madrigal and King 2017); consumer behaviour (Finch *et al.* 2013, Huang and Mitchell 2014); strategy management (Cunningham *et al.* 2009, Plewa *et al.* 2015); economics (Johansson, *et al.* 2012, Cornwell, 2014); finance (O'Reilly and Huybers 2015, Naidenova *et al.* 2016); politics (Jacobs 2013, Horak 2013); culture (Ha *et al.* 2011, Cornwell 2008, Cleveland *et al.* 2011); social media (Godey *et al.* 2016).

Two criticisms are made of sponsorship research (Spais and Johnston 2014). First, researchers note the absence of a widely accepted definition of sponsorship (Amoako *et al.* 2012; Dolphin 2003; Walliser 2003; Olkkonen 2001). Second, the field is perceived to have a narrow perspective (Olkkonen *et al.* 2000). No one definition has dictated its boundaries and scholars have observed and repositioned the sponsorship concept over time. Jiffer and Roos (1999) described sponsorship as a business method for communication which has the aim of contributing to the sponsor's brand awareness and image. Although the definition explains a planned "method" of donating resources (financial or in kind), mutually beneficial to both sponsors (donors) and sponsees (recipients), the dominant factor is consumer orientation

referring to brand awareness and positive influencers resulting in increased sales (Demir and Söderman 2015; Albert *et al* 2013).

Initial attempts at defining this phenomenon focused on behavioural approaches in which attribution analysis of opinion change viewed message persuasiveness as a function of inferred communicator biases with references to “endorsers” rather than “sponsors” (Kelly 1973; Mizerski *et al.* 1979; Eagly *et al.* 1978). Definitions focused on the provision of philanthropic assistance by the sponsor to the sponsee (Meenaghan 1983) but did not specify communication objectives. Government agencies described sponsorship as having marketing and communication objectives with tax deductible expenses because of intentions to increase revenue. Sponsorship is often confused with philanthropy in literature (Milam 2013; Lee *et al.* 2015; Menon and Khan 2001). Bennett (1999) suggested that corporate philanthropy differs from commercial sponsorship as it involves donations made without expectation of commercial reward. This distinction is important because organisations can simply “donate” resources as an obligation to the community (Catherwood and Van Kirk 1992, Stoian and Gilman, 2017). Typically, the sport sponsee’s primary impetus is financial need, while the sponsor is endeavouring to fulfil different objectives (Irwin and Sutton 1994) including enhancement of employee relations and motivation, raising the company’s profile in the community, connecting with the target market, blocking competition, building awareness (often as a supplement to advertising campaigns), and generating incremental sales (Zinger and O’Reilly, 2010).

With growth in advertising and availability of mass media, scholars perceived advantages in escaping promotional clutter, and sponsorship providing that alternative (Oneal *et al.* 1987; Cook *et al.* 1987; Soderman and Dolles 2015). Corporate objectives and leveraging sponsor/sponsee relationships became a central focus in academic writing developing into a resource-based view (Amis *et al.* 1997, Wang, *et al.* 2016) as well as a means of persuasion

different from traditional advertising (Crimmins and Horn, 1996). Recent studies focussed on evaluating effects of sponsorship and attempted to conceptualise an overall understanding of the phenomenon. Research has been directed towards developing and testing models whose geneses were in psychology which conceptualised the relationship between supporters' emotional attachment, brand perception and support for brand extension (Abosag *et al.* 2010; Aiken *et al.* 2015; Alexandris *et al.* 2008). Branding decisions also included a strategy that communicated shared associations between sponsor and the sporting team, by applying a range of theories including conclusion explicitness, and hierarchy of effects theories (Skard and Thorbjornsen, 2017; Woisetschlager and Acurelis, 2009; Alexandris and Tsiotsou, 2012). Researchers focused on organisations' corporate social responsibility (CSR) strategies and obligations by investigating utilisation of sport sponsorship to build CSR image effectively, examining attributes of a sports property that are most conducive to a sponsor gaining CSR image benefits (Plewa *et al.* 2015; Djaballah *et al.* 2017; Dowling *et al.* 2013). Development of marketing objectives was necessary to justify sponsorship investment against which metrics could be applied. This formalised the inclusion of sponsorship into corporate integrated marketing communication strategy (Greenhalgh and Greenwell, 2013; O'Reilly and Huybers, 2015). Development of a customer-centric marketing communications approach takes a starting point in the customer ecosystem (Finne and Grönroos, 2015; Lee *et al.* 2015), including sports viewing environments, by comparing arousal intensities of spectators at a live event with those viewing a television broadcast, their recall abilities being a major focus of research (Carrillat *et al.* 2015; Euchler 2017; Ourania *et al.* 2014).

Signalling Theory in the Sponsorship Context

Information asymmetry comprises recognition of an information imbalance between two or more parties and is helpful in explaining behaviours of sponsors and customers when they possess asymmetric information (Connelly *et al.* 2011). Having its origins in economics

research (Erdem and Swait 1998), signalling theory was originally used to justify the impact of managerial announcements on financial dimensions. In a marketing context, it has been applied to: establish the price of goods as a sign of quality (Stiglitz 1989); the link between levels of advertising, product quality, and repeat purchase behaviours (Kihlstrom and Riordan 1984); and as a basis for assessing consumer quality perceptions (Boulding and Kirmani 1993). Dean (1999) and Walker *et al.* (2011) applied signalling theory in the context of sports event sponsorships where information about a product is asymmetrical between sponsor and consumer, resulting in the sponsor providing tangible information through cues (signals) to consumers in support of information evaluation processes. Signalling theory plays a key role within the sponsorship context as it provides the basis for development of successful sponsorship communication. This is consistent with the description of signalling theory by Clark *et al.* (2002, p. 26) who stated that it revolves around the “judicious use of signals that are consistent with attainment or possession of a particular and valued attribute that, in the absence of the signal, would be difficult to unambiguously convey”. In this context, consumers might rely on sponsorship as an informative cue that signals the robustness of a sponsored product or service quality, or reduces consumers’ information costs, or confirms the fit between sponsor and organisations via image transfer processes. Sponsorship partnerships with geographically proximate sponsors, typical of SME marketing communication activities, provide a signal to consumers of high commitment to the relationship (Jensen and Cornwell, 2018).

Methodology and Methods

An individual attempting “to understand a text is always projecting” with each projection, projecting a new projection before itself and this “constant process of projection constitutes the movement of understanding and interpretation” Questioning and understanding involves some notion regarding the nature of the matter or situation investigated. “When we

perceive something ... we encounter entities as beings-in-the-world with things already interpreted through a web of connected possibilities that distinct phenomenon possesses” (Howell 2013, p. 163). Phenomenological hermeneutics argues that developing understanding involves the fact of being human and that ‘understanding is interpretation’ (Ricoeur, 2003, p. 194).

How one understands perceptions of sport sponsorship is based on lived experiences, where beliefs and actions intersect and interact with values and culture. Individual understandings or interpretations regarding sponsorship inform the marketing arena that informs emerging marketing communication environments where conflicting sets of practices develop the formation and re-formation in understanding needs and wants. Through assessment and analysis of individual perspectives, phenomenological hermeneutical methodology develops a holistic view of the issues under investigation by providing a clear account of respondents’ practical and theoretical understanding of the phenomenon. Valsiner (1986) argued that “the study of individual cases has always been the major (albeit often unrecognised) strategy in the advancement of knowledge about human beings” (p. 11). Indeed, there is no structured procedure for the data collection process as it is recognised that a researcher’s perspectives transform as the research progresses. Consequently, theoretical sampling was employed to fully exploit participants’ pre-understanding, perceptions, and memory of experiences and through iterative, interactive involvement in the research process rich data and understanding were elicited.

Data Collection and Analysis

Theoretical sampling allows freedom in the collection of data that is theoretically relevant but, at the same time, theory generation through comparison controls the data collection process but does not hinder it. It allows each sample to build on the previous data and analysis; during the research process theoretical sampling becomes specific as the theory

emerges and evolves. Themes are developed as the research programme progresses; it is based on concerns in relation to previous data collection and analysis. Application of “theoretical control over the choice of comparison groups is more difficult than simply collecting data from a pre-planned set of groups, since choice requires continuous thought” (Glaser and Strauss, 1967, p. 52). Indeed, they considered that when one samples theoretically one progresses in an evolutionary fashion rather than through a predetermined programme.

Limited research of sport sponsorship by SMEs in Germany justified the inclusion of a balanced mix of SMEs in the sample, based on industry size (3 to 230 employees), including service and production categories, and sponsoring a variety of sports (volleyball, boxing, sailing, football, equestrianism) from start-up ventures to second-generation private companies. The sample satisfied each inclusion criterion i.e.: The respondent must be the SME decision maker regarding sponsorship; the SME must be a current sponsor of one or more sporting activities. The sample also satisfied each exclusion criterion i.e.: Not the sponsorship decision maker in the SME; Not a current sponsor of sporting activities.

Empirical data were generated through 33 in-depth interviews with SMEs. Following the 30th interview, particular words recurred and could be grouped under relevant thematic codes. The emergent themes were derived from the data (Patton, 1990) although in a broader sense than anticipated. The unit of analysis is considered the major entity being investigated. In this research, the organisational person (individual) responsible for the decision of committing the SME to a sponsorship is the unit of analysis and the preferred respondent. Satisfaction of eligibility criteria by the unit of analysis was also a requisite for participation.

The demographic characteristics of respondents consisted of 25 male participants and 8 female participants including 25 small companies between 3 and 35 employees and 8 larger companies between 36 and 230 employees. A variety of sports were included including

volleyball, soccer, boxing, swimming, handball, sailing, marathon running, cycling, athletics, American football, basketball, rugby, fishing, kayaking and wrestling.

In the semi-structured interview process, discussion often ensued which incorporated theory and initially appeared to bear little relationship to the questions asked but predictably “wandered off into associated areas of the topic” (Braun and Clarke, 2006 p.87). Data collection and analysis through theoretical sampling followed their guidelines recognising that the process is not linear, but recursive in that movement is back-and-forth throughout the phases and develops over time.

Interview transcripts were invaluable, reiterating context of discussions and descriptions used. These codes were identified and underwent change and refinement in relation to the data collection and analysis procedures. The process of data collection and analysis did not follow a logical sequence but was iterative and involved “constant checks for internal homogeneity and external heterogeneity” (Patton, 1990, p. 112). The presence of themes permitted generation of an evaluative, relevant, and valid theory (Eisenhardt, 2017) quality was strengthened by definitions and concepts. A summary of resultant themes, codes, and key words is presented in Table 1. Four major themes were identified and are discussed.

Table 1 Themes, Codes and Key Words

Themes	Definitions	Codes	Key Words
Values-based Connections Theory: Value	Values are closely held beliefs that play a major role in guiding behaviours and influencing attitudes. A key objective of sponsorship-linked marketing is to create strong and lasting values-based connections between fans, sponsees, and sponsors.	Proximal Value Benefits	Local community Region Society Teams Team success Passion Qualified staff Emotions
Social Engagement Theory: Social Relations	A structured set of social relations among admirers of a brand which allows a sponsor to establish a series of links with its consumers and sponsees.	Segments Sales	Branding Target group Bottom line Budget Cash exposure Selling

		Metrics	Returns Business plan Measuring Gut feel
Recognition Theory: Self/Other	Sponsorship recall, recognition, and awareness is the most direct benefit of exposure and sponsor brand recognition could have a significant positive effect.	Exposure Media	Awareness Image Company logo Good press Promotional materials Advertising objects Promote ourselves Social media 'Selfies' Hyperlinked Web site
Bonding Theory: Social Contract	Relationship bonding is the emotional or economic physical attachment in a relationship through which exchange partners are connected, interact, and bind together. Social bonds are the degree of mutual personal friendship and liking shared by the exchange partners. Structural bonds are a combination of forces that can bring explicit business benefits to the exchange partners.	Staff Motivation Social Bonds Economic Structures	Internal marketing Motivating Our staff Employee morale Private interests Bonding Partners Network Engaging Friends VIP Contracts Long term Barter Investment Tax Benefits Support Continue Business partner Value Club

Values-based Connections

Values-based connections are closely held beliefs that play a major role in guiding behaviour and influencing attitudes. A key objective of sponsorship-linked marketing is to create strong and lasting values-based connections between fans, sponsees and sponsors (Aiken *et al.* 2015). Respondents identified two key issues related to values-based connections: first, proximal value including key words of local community, region, society, and teams; second,

benefits associated with the values-based connections, including team success, passion and qualified staff. A 37-year-old electrician, founder and director of his successful SME stated:

“We sponsor two (teams) at present. One is Hansa Rostock football club; the team is in the third league and I have followed that team since I was a boy. The second is the Mecklenburg-Vorpommern football team who come first in the local league. They are a boys’ team from a sports boarding school. My son went to this school.”

This respondent perceived sponsorship as an opportunity to support a sporting team to which he had sentimental attachment; he is a second-generation avid supporter of this local team and “grooms” his son to follow the family sporting tradition. Engaging with communities is a common CSR strategy used by SMEs and is described as a concept grounded in giving back to one’s community. This assertion is justified by his sponsorship of the second team.

Sports properties located geographically close to potential consumers are perceived as more local and could exert greater influence on consumer response. A physiotherapist, founder and director of her SME practice, discussing her association with a local handball team, stated:

“We also offer trainers professional advice about motor skills of players and fitness conditioning to avoid injury. That is close to our heart because we know most trainers are simply fathers and mothers who have a trainer’s licence but lack that basic knowledge.”

This respondent is clearly community minded and operates in a public forum, the playing stadium. As part of her sponsorship arrangements, this respondent provides physiotherapy services to injured young male handball players during each game, described by her as “my clients in future”. Intriguingly, this respondent noted that client acquisition is one of the main reasons they embarked on sponsorship programmes.

A 42-year-old civil engineer described several areas of interest:

“We have always considered ourselves as a supporter, committed to kids and youth support which started with very simple things such as transport services. We provided vans so kids could get from A to B. Then we expanded to clothing, balls, etc., anything one needs. Then everything got bigger, the boys got older, became more successful over the years.”

This respondent “inherited” the sponsorship of a youth football team from his father, the previous CEO of the SME.

A software developer, who was a well-known athlete a generation ago, is a willing sponsor who attempts to develop an image for his firm in the region:

“We try to use this for our marketing objectives, create an image transfer from the clubs to the business. That applies to all our sponsorships and we want people to realise our business is committed to the people in the region. We want them to know that the company is ‘ours’. Hence the image transfer idea.”

For this respondent, creating awareness and keeping the local community involved in ownership of the business is a key driver of his sponsorship programmes. Correspondingly, a solar panel designer, a 42-year-old ambitious SME owner premised:

“You must have boys and girls that are local. It makes it easier for us to get engaged in sponsoring this club because I see the boys that have made the step into the men’s football league. That’s how I am emotionally attached, and we come from this region too; that’s the connection.”

This respondent identified “emotion”, a genuine values-based connection between himself and the local community family. These “feelings” are part of “who we are” so when the participant speaks with such feeling of “emotional attachment” that is his response to local stimuli activated by “local” attachment. This tribal consciousness and loyalty could be described in a modern regional context as a society motivated by cultural tribalism.

Consequently, the fostering of youth in local sporting teams could be perceived as behaviour appreciated by other members of the community who react by giving preferences to the sponsor.

Social Engagement

Social engagement is described as a structured set of relationships among admirers of a brand which allows sponsors to establish a series of links with its consumers and sponsees (Martínez-López *et al.* 2017). Respondents identified three key issues: segments including key words of branding and target groups; sales with key words such as bottom line, budget, cash exposure, and returns; metrics with key words including measuring, gut feel, and business plan. The 52-year-old manager of a regional factory stated:

“It is fantastic to sponsor the local soccer and swimming clubs and so promote ourselves. We promote our good standing in the area, branding, company name, and we also do something special for local sporting teams.”

Here the sponsor developed a structured set of social relations, not with consumers but staff members and sponsees through sponsorship of local sporting activities. The respondent suggested that branding the company and not the product is invaluable for attracting qualified staff. The importance of sporting clubs in promoting business is evident with the phrase “and so promote ourselves”.

A 46-year-old web designer and aspiring politician recently acquired the services of a strategic marketing consultant to better target potential consumers and constituents. Located in a small city on the Baltic Sea coast, this respondent accentuated:

“... a more targeted use of sponsoring where we can aim at our target group in a better way to explore equestrian sports because we hope to find our target group there which earns higher salaries and we intend to advertise there.”

The social engagement of multiple parties, consumers, sponsees, local firefighters, and constituents could be very effective and certainly economical. His brand could be promoted for both business and personal reasons to his new target markets: the equestrian audience who, he suggested, earns a higher salary, his staff, the community group which he perceives as his “social responsibility”, and fire fighters who benefit from a new web design with opportunities for location-specific input. A 33-year-old, well-educated, highly motivated new car dealer whose general mantra was simply to sell his products, said:

“Returns are measured by the bottom line. We set a budget and do what is needed to achieve that. Free tickets are important for us and our staff. Not all returns are immediate so we must work for the future.”

His staff suggested sponsorship arrangements based on their following of favourite teams. The manager would approach the sponsee, discuss sponsorship arrangements, and insist on access to VIP areas as part of the negotiation. This allows staff to network with potential customers and other sponsors with whom to partner. Whilst many sponsors simply “gave” support to sponsee teams, a 61-year-old radio broadcaster was more discerning:

“Yes, it has been an objective to reduce our cash exposure and involve more of our communication possibilities to the agreement because that’s what we do best. They get so much more from us than if it was just money”

This approach to the sponsorship package was considered by the sponsor to be of far greater value to the sponsee than simply cash. He added that pre-game expert opinion and interviews as well as talk-back opportunities for the audience to make comments were valuable

to the team and for listener ratings of the broadcast station. The sponsor could provide valuable media exposure but was less willing to provide cash because he lost control over how his money was spent. By providing “in kind” sponsorship support, the element of control could be retained as “protection” of the investment.

Metrics, the measurement of outcomes of the sponsorship activity, was commented on by every respondent. Commonly, the terms “gut feel” or simply “feeling” were used, hesitatingly, because these SME operators were committing support for local sporting activities but could not assess if this marketing communication was effective. Perhaps attitudes towards metrics can be best summarised in the words of an hotelier:

“Sponsoring in general makes sense, but it’s difficult to tell if the ratio between what we spend and what we get back is worthwhile. For example, I pay €8000 per season to the sponsorship; a lot of money for a small business. I don’t know if the return is €8000 or more. If I knew how to measure this, I would be a millionaire!”

In each instance, respondents indicated a preference for sport sponsorship as a marketing communication method but expressed frustration with lack of metrics. SME operators normally do not have sufficient resources to have marketing expenses which do not provide returns. Measurement by “gut feel” is insufficient.

Recognition

Sponsorship recall, recognition, and awareness are direct benefits of exposure and sponsor brand recognition could have a significant positive effect, resulting in purchases (Harmeling *et al.* 2017). All SME operators spoke about creating public awareness of products/services or the business. The physiotherapist summarised her sponsorship efforts with:

“It really is about raising people’s awareness and getting our name out there; word of mouth by networking.”

This respondent included a reference to customer word of mouth promotions via networking for potential patients to consider her remedial services. Extant academic literature reinforces her beliefs by suggesting that public awareness is one of the main business objectives of both MNCs and SMEs. Recognising the necessity of developing public awareness, a novel but effective strategy to create awareness of his business was used by a civil engineer who installs solar panels sponsors both rugby and cycling sports clubs:

“I chose the sponsee club only because of the guy who teaches me (music) and I buy new shirts for the cyclists and they have my name on them. I give the old shirts to my children and tell them to put them on so people will see them.”

Although the rugby team has only a small following, the cyclists travel hundreds of kilometres every weekend, competing against other clubs and assisting the sponsors’ awareness strategy. The respondent also proudly showed photographs of his children wearing the old cycling club jerseys. Certainly, children wearing their father’s branded clothes could, if nothing else, be effective in avoiding promotional clutter. To a software developer, the image of the sponsored sport must match the required image of his firm. He responded with great passion:

“We have (sponsored) sailing sports because it is about freedom and a kind of adventure which fits our company which goes to new horizons. We do the same with our software, by exploring new customer segments. The image of the company must be the same as the image of the sports clubs.”

Intriguingly, the enthusiasm displayed by this respondent was contagious. He was “morphing” between the image of the firm and the image of the sports club. The repeated image

transfer between the two identities was a deliberate strategy to clarify any misconceptions of his sponsorship strategies.

A 42-year-old female educational marketing manager commented about their use of social media:

“Yes, we use social media, on our web site and have contacts with the press (newspapers). We expect the same from our partners that they spread our news, for example, on their own web sites.”

Cost restrictions in marketing investment without the ability to calculate returns from sponsorship activities, again created difficulties for this organisation. It could be considered unconscionable for such an institution to spend so much on recruitment of overseas students and not develop more appropriate social media links, especially given the ages of their target markets. Each time a student uses social media to “tell a friend” about their university, they become a student ambassador for the business. Relating to shared promotional activities between sponsors and sponsees, the civil engineer “confessed”:

“The club (sponsee) is doing more than we do. On our homepage we have two lines that we are engaged in sponsoring handball and football. The clubs do promote our sponsorship, they have different categories (e.g. premium level) hyperlinked to us. The clubs try to do their best to promote our sponsorship.”

Although aware of social media capabilities, this interviewee admitted that he did not have the ability to access and maximise the potential of social media benefits. Speaking about his inability to measure sponsorship metrics, the broadcaster was considering social media alternatives by measuring consumer behaviour:

“I’m hoping we can get better proxies than ‘gut feel’. For example, it would be interesting to see how many ‘selfies’ people make at the (sports) venues and then people send them onto friends because they are happy to be at the game.”

Looking for his own metric as an indication of consumer awareness, this respondent was typical of many. The level of frustration of many sponsors can almost be “felt”: their inability to measure sponsorship outcomes; self-inflicted extended working hours; inability to keep abreast of technology changes; and focus on working and surviving at the cost of gaining practical marketing know-how in a SME environment.

Bonding

“We sponsor only one team, a football team, because three of my employees play in this team. It helps employee morale because people in my factory see that we sponsor them, and that people wear our logo on their shirts. It does no harm that way.”

Should this improvement in staff morale have a multiplier effect throughout the firm, resultant increases in output could be significant. This respondent has achieved several goals. First, he creates local awareness of the factory via sponsorship activities. Second, he motivates staff directly by image transfer from the sponsor by purchasing team uniforms with his logo on the back, to the team who wear the uniforms, and back to the sponsor by behavioural changes in stronger work commitments. Third, the sponsor is perceived as a preferred employer in the local region.

The importer of industrial goods expressed a “selfish” attitude:

“We also like to sponsor because of private interests. We like the sport and we like the stadium; it makes me feel good. We like to meet people and enjoy nice discussions.”

Because of the time and resource needs of his SME, this CEO appreciated the time he could spend with his family. Successful sponsorship relationships are generally formed to satisfy individual and common objectives. Common objectives include awareness and image, community support, and networking opportunities. Individual objectives, like those required by the respondent, could include “meeting people” and “nice discussion”. They may also include free tickets or as the electrician and second-generation football team sponsor said:

Was this “justification” of the sponsorship decision? The web designer with political ambitions described his self-interest motives as:

“We have separate plans for the future. I want to be elected into the city council and we’re doing a few things there with the objective of having me elected to council. It’s a different objective, whereas other means are meant to promote our business.”

Sport sponsorship relationships have the potential to provide a strategic platform with the intent of satisfying multiple objectives. Within a SME context, sponsorship is not simply seen as a strategic marketing tool, but includes the personal preferences and interests of CEOs, founders, and senior managers who still influence sponsorship decision making. The educational marketing manager referred to partnerships, both social and economic, with sponsee sporting clubs:

“Our students have the opportunities to look at the daily work (in a sporting club) or to manage a team or training and everything that belongs to a team. It (sponsorship) is not just about the players but also about other benefits.”

The ‘partnership’ in this instance refers to practical training opportunities for students of Medical and Sports Health Care programmes, as part of the sponsorship arrangement. This agreement has proved to be successful for sporting clubs who benefit from additional health care services by trainees and the University whose curriculum includes practical training

elements. Businesses and sporting clubs generally increase awareness of the sponsoring University for staff training programmes and business-related courses. This arrangement could be considered a mix of social and structural bonds between exchange partners.

The importer perceived bonding as loyalty to the sponsee and commented:

“If the team was not successful, we would not stop sponsoring them. We want to show our bonding (loyalty) in good times and in bad times.”

This sponsor perceived loyalty as bi-directional between himself and the team and was willing to extend that support long term. Team loyalty represents a “form of psychological connection resulting in consistent and enduring positive behaviours and attitudes toward the team” (Biscaia *et al.* 2013, p. 289). Sports audiences could positively perceive longevity of sponsor loyalty and it could be influential in purchase decisions. However, sporting clubs offer more than just players and “sportainment”. Sports club VIP areas, normally reserved for “higher order” sponsors and special guests, were generally perceived as places for networking opportunities; the importer continued:

“We also like the VIP lounge as a good place to network and it keeps our business name a little better known especially in the local area. Although the local area is not our main market, we need to make our name known locally.”

Networking in the VIP lounge is considered important for sponsors to appeal to status needs, but also as a genuine place for developing business relations. Sponsors and other guests feel special and important because a VIP pass gives a select group of attendees the ability to better optimise their time and enjoy exclusive access to first-class amenities and key networking opportunities. Social and structural bonds are strengthened when a VIP section can

be regarded as a way for businesspeople to communicate business-related messages while simultaneously building a community/network and long-term relationships.

“Personal contact” of a different type is also important for the 34-year-old accountant and taxation advisor who provides business services to his son’s football team instead of cash:

“It’s like a barter transaction; we give tax advice to the team admin and team members.”

Although the CEO of the software company was careful in his selection of sporting clubs, whose image transfer opportunities were congruent with his software company, he was anxious to contractually protect his business logo against potentially damaging behaviour by players or club administration. The broadcaster with a strong sense of community welfare showed care for youth in his local community by speaking of “investment” and not sponsorship expenses:

“We only sponsor clubs in our city and region. How do you choose? One point is that we give sponsorship for young people in clubs. It is an investment for the future and social community because young people are in sports and not on the streets or in jail. Club managers and coaches give instructions about how to behave and speak about their sponsors.”

Funds are invested and provide sporting opportunities rather than illicit activities, and players are coached to speak of the generosity of sponsors, enhancing awareness and respect. The bonding theme adds to the analysis by highlighting staff motivation including examples of private interests by managers, social bonds that include a mix of social interactions in a working environment, and economic codes discussed by SME operators as part of their general sponsorship activities.

Discussion

SME behaviours and motives for sports team and activity selection have developed into a complex construct. Small businesses tend to operate at a local level predominantly reflecting

relationship marketing theory, with relatively modest investments geared to creating and developing stronger long-term relationships with sponsees and potential customers. Reflecting findings by Webb and Carter (2001), SME support for the “community tribe” appeared to be of paramount importance with identified objectives and motive factors including giving back to the community, presenting overall awareness and image of the sponsoring organisation and their products/services, and employee involvement; SME support was given for networking reasons in a local community and motivating factors in the sponsor’s workplace. These “social fabric” support factors appeared to be more important than specific marketing-related sponsorship objectives. “Reaching the target market” and “Status associated with the event” were ranked comparatively low, as was “selfish” personal interests of management. This study of SME objectives, practices, and perceptions of sport sponsorships in Germany supports the research by Mack (1999, p. 25) in the USA, and concludes that small business owners’ marketing objectives appeared to be secondary to giving back to the community.

The SME objectives for sport sponsorships revealed in this German study are almost identical to research results in academic literature. Analysis of interview reports suggests that German SME operators were slightly less interested in brand positioning and strengthening brand preference than they were in guest hospitality; guest hospitality was more important to German operators who perceived these activities as opportunities to develop sponsorship partners and build relationships, that are comparable to descriptions mentioned in extant journals.

Media-related objectives were similar; neither the SMES in this German study or the SMEs studied in the literature showed specific interest. Sales-related objectives were more important to SMEs in extant literature material although both groups showed preference for generating new sales and customers as important. Local community, image awareness, and staff motivation were identified as prime objectives by both groups. One distinct difference

between the groups was “management motives” for sport sponsorship engagement. German SME communities identified free tickets and access to the sports club VIP areas as motives. In each instance, they justified this by adding that these “privileges” could be shared with staff who could act as business ambassadors. This study presents evidence to authenticate SME material in the academic literature. Comparisons between SME sport sponsorships objectives and those identified in conventional related academic literature, including predominantly MNCs, present a contrast. The greatest difference was in the “community goodwill” factor. German operators mentioned the need to provide this local support whereas only 70 per cent mentioned this as a factor for SMEs globally and less than 50 per cent for MNCs. Other variations of note were the importance of “public awareness” and “corporate image” of German operators compared to the other two groups. Branding was important for MNCs but less so for SMEs. Guest hospitality objectives were similar although the media was of greater importance to MNCs compared to SMEs. MNC sponsors were only slightly more interested in sales-related objectives than SMEs. The findings of this study concur with literature that perceived sport sponsorship as an opportunity to support local communities, for SMEs to network, and for MNCs to introduce or reinforce branding. (Pope, 1999).

Conclusion and Implications

This study argues that the impact of SMEs is a focal point in shaping enterprise policy, and SMEs are considered key to ensuring economic growth, innovation, job creation and social integration within world societies. Moore and Levermore, (2012) suggested that in many ways the sports sector is dominated by SMEs, which have a different dynamic to larger entities and should be analysed accordingly; a sentiment shared by Mack (1999), Khan and Stanton (2010), Zatepilina (2015) and Gabrielli and Balboni (2010). Investigations of sport sponsorship strategies have been largely directed by positivistic methodological influences of the phenomenon in the MNC context. In this study integration of multiple theoretical concepts

primarily based on the research of Mackellar (2015), Kim, *et al.* (2015) and Lavidge and Steiner (1961) and synthesised with a sponsorship typology, developed from practical topical research, contributed to the development of a conceptual framework.


Although Mackellar's (2015) research described the relationship between sponsor and sponsee, it failed to include reference to specific business activities or their dynamics. This research extends "inputs" to examine their relationships within local communities, which include values-based connections, recognition, bonding, and social engagement variables or themes identified in practical research. These factors form the "process" stage of the model and result in identified "outputs".

Drawing on the work of Kim *et al.* (2015, p.410), a model was developed to provide a structured approach for the examination of sponsorship relationships in current academic literature. Domains of antecedents were identified in the model: (a) sponsor related, "used to categorise antecedent variables that pertain to or describe characteristics of sponsors", including objectives, exposure, community support, metrics, corporate culture, and brand equity; dyadic related, which refers to "those antecedents such as fit, leveraging and servicing that require active involvement by both the sponsor and sponsee, and are correspondingly meaningful to both; (b) sponsee related, which is used to categorise antecedent variables that are characteristic of sponsorship properties" including identification, involvement, and prestige. "Consumer-focused sponsorship outcomes are organised into three domains: cognitive, affective, and conative" and refer to stages through which potential consumers may pass as part of their purchase decision process. The single moderator is "sport" sponsorship.

The final stage in this conceptual framework is "outcomes" which relate to the potential consumer. Based on Lavidge and Steiner's (1961, p. 60) hierarchy of effects model, "consumers are seen as rational decision makers who base those decisions on information evaluation" and are described in stages as they move towards a purchase decision. This model

has been supported by Palda (1966), van Hoek (1999), Cornwell (2008) and Alexandris and Tsiotsou (2012). This research stresses that each sponsorship experience is different for all “participants” in the sport sponsorship process and only provides a generalisation and not a prediction, particularly when considering cultural variations.

Complementing the work of Mackellar (2015), Kim *et al.* (2015) and Lavidge and Steiner (1961), including the results of the practical research conducted in Germany, the conceptual framework model is presented in Figure 1.



The conceptual framework relies in part on the linear sequential hierarchy of effects model which suggests that information evaluation has a significant role. Walker *et al.* (2011) suggested that signalling theory also relies on information evaluation and is described by Clark *et al.* (2002, p. 26) as “revolving around the judicious use of signals that are consistent with the

attainment or possession of a particular and valued attribute that, in the absence of the signal, would be very difficult to unambiguously convey”. Walker and Nowacki (2011) suggested that signalling theory describes successful sponsorship communications and so plays a critical role in that context. From a social constructivist perspective, this study suggests that SME sport sponsors operate in local regions which are perceived as “community families”, supporting sports and other teams consisting of local members, and operating in an environment of mutual support. The community, described as a values-based connection in the conceptual framework, includes the dynamics of all members of the sponsorship arrangement and is described in terms of social engagement, recognition, and bonding. In the context of this study, that information emerged from primary research conducted and analysed within a framework consistent with social constructivist theory.

The conceptual framework model developed from this study is the first theoretical construct to provide a holistic view of the dynamics between sponsor, sponsee and consumer within a regional context forming the operating environment within a German SME market.

Our empirical findings identify the following key issues:

1. SME operators tend to sponsor local teams and players, often selected because of family connections, friendship, personal connections, staff motivation, and business image and awareness.
2. SME sponsorship objectives are not always formally written, but tend to focus on local community support, management motives, image creation, and to develop sponsorship partners.
3. Image transfer between a successful sporting team and the SME is seldom important, but it is a benefit when it happens. More important is the development of strong and lasting connections between spectators, sponsees and sponsors.

4. Engaging with communities is important and often reinforced by business CSR statements, grounded in giving back to one's community.

Further Research Directions

The authors of this paper have chosen significant samples of academic theory. Future studies may choose alternative material of significance. Because of the low number of respondents, and the fact that sampling was more opportunistically based, it was not feasible to discuss the validity of the measuring instrument. Citations of input data may have significant outcomes for future understanding of the sponsorship phenomena. General acknowledgment of the gap in research of sponsorship in the SME context could assist in the development of a broader understanding of SME behaviours and objectives for such decisions.

References

- Abosag, I., Roper, S., Hind, D. (2010). Examining the relationship between brand emotion and brand extension among supporters of professional football clubs. *European Journal of Marketing*. 46(9). 1233-1251.
- Aiken, D., Sukhdial, A., Kahle, L. and Downing J.A. (2015). Linking fan values and sponsorship effectiveness: the case of old school values, *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, 24, 56-66.
- Albert, N., Merunka, D., Valette-Florence, P. (2013). Brand passion: antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Business Research*, 66, 904–909.
- Alexandris, K., Douka, S., Bakaloumi, E.S. and Tsasousi, E. (2008). The influence of spectators' attitudes on sponsorship awareness: a study in three different leisure events, *Managing Leisure*, 13, 1-12.
- Alexandris, K. and Tsiotsou, R.H. (2012). Testing a hierarchy of effects model of sponsorship effectiveness, *Journal of Sport Management*, 26(5), 363.
- Amis, J., Pant, N. and Slack, T. (1997). Achieving a sustainable advantage: a resource based view of sports sponsorship. *Journal of Sports Management*. 11(1), 80-96.
- Amoako, G.K., Dartey-Baah, K., Dzogbenuku, R.K. and Kwesie, S. (2012). The effect of sponsorship on marketing communication performance: A case study of Airtel Ghana. *African Journal of Marketing Management*, 4(2), 65–79.
- Baron, A.S., Zaltman, G. & Olson, J. (2017). Barriers to advancing the science and practice of marketing. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 33(11–12), 893–908.
- Bennett, R. (1999). Sports sponsorship, spectator recall and false consensus, *European Journal of Marketing* 33, 291–313.

Biscaia, R., Correia, S.D., Ross, A. and Rosado, A.F. (2013). Sport sponsorship: the relationship between team loyalty, sponsorship awareness, attitude toward the sponsor, and purchase intentions. *Journal of Sport Management*. 27, 288-302.

Boulding, W. and Kirmani, A. (1993). A consumer-side experimental examination of signalling theory: do consumers perceive warranties as signals of quality? *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20(1), 111-123.

Braun, V. and Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology, *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3, 77-101.

Caemmerer, B. and Descotes, R.M. (2014). Achieving relational objectives through sponsorship. *Journal of Applied Business Research* 30(6), 1767-1783.

Carrillat, F.A., D'Astous, A. and Couture M-P.C. (2015). How corporate sponsors can optimize the impact of their message content: mastering the message, improving the processability and effectiveness of sponsorship activation, *Journal of Advertising Research*, September, 255.

Catherwood, D.W. and Van Kirk, R.L. (1992). *The Complete Guide to Special Event Management*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

Clark, J.M., Cornwell, T.B. and Pruitt, S.W. (2002). Corporate stadium sponsorships, signalling theory, agency conflicts, and shareholder wealth. *Journal of Advertising Research*. 42, 16–32.

Cleveland, M., Erdoğan, S., Arıkan, G. and Poyraz, T. (2011). Cosmopolitanism, individual level values and cultural-level values: a cross-cultural study. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(9), 934-943.

Clopton, A. (2017). Strategic alliance: maximising the path to effectiveness in sport organisations, *Journal of Contemporary Athletics*, 11(1), 17-30.

Connelly, B.L., Ketchen, D.J.(Jr.), Gangloff, K.A. and Shook, C.L. (2016), Investor perceptions of CEO successor selection in the wake of integrity and competence failures: A policy capturing study, *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(10), 2135-2151.

Cook, D., Melcher, R.A. and B. Welling, B. (1987). Nothing sells like sports: business pours billions into fun and games, *Business Week*. August (31), 48-53.

Cornwell, T.B. (2008). State of art and science in sponsorship-linked marketing, *Journal of Advertising*, 37(3), 41-55.

Cornwell, T.B. (2014). *Sponsorship in marketing: Effective communication through sports, arts and events*. New York, Routledge. 68.

Cram, F., and Ng, S.H. (1999). Consumer socialisation, *Applied Psychology*, 48(3), 297-312.

Crimmins, J. and Horn, M. (1996). Sponsorship: from management ego trip to marketing success, *Journal of Advertising Research*, 6(4), 11.

Cunningham, S., Cornwell, T.B. and Coote, L.V. (2009). Expressing identity and shaping image: the relationship between corporate mission and corporate sponsorship, *Journal of Sport Management*, 23, 65-86.

Dean, D.H. (1999). Brand endorsement, popularity, and event sponsorship as advertising cues affecting consumer pre-purchase attitudes, *Journal of Advertising*, 28(3), 1-12.

Demir, R. and Söderman, S. (2015). Strategic sponsoring in professional sport: a review and conceptualization, *European Sports Management Quarterly*, 15(3), 271-300.

Djaballah, M., Hautbois, C. and Desbordes, M. (2017). Sponsors' CSR strategies in sport: A sense making approach of corporations established in France, *Sport Management Review*, 20(2), 211.

Dolphin, R.R. (2003). Sponsorship: perspectives on its strategic role, *Corporate Communications, an International Journal*, 8(3), 173-186.

Dowling, M., Robinson, L. and Washington. M. (2013). Taking advantage of the London 2012 Olympic Games: corporate social responsibility through sport partnerships, *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 13(3), 269.

Eagly, A.H., Wood, W. and Chaiken S. (1978). Causal inferences about communicators and their effect on opinion change, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 36(4), 424-435.

Eisenhardt, K.M. (2017). Making fast strategic decisions in high-velocity environments, *Academy of Management Journal*, 32(3), published on-line. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256434>

Erdem, T., and Swait, J. (1998). Brand equity as a signalling phenomenon, *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 7(2), 131-157.

Euchler, G. (2017). How to grow brands by targeting the masses, *Admap Magazine*, June.

Finch, D., Nadeau, J. and O'Reilly, N. (2013). The future of marketing education: A practitioner's perspective, *Journal of Marketing Education*, 35, 54–67.

Finne, A., and Grönroos, C. (2015). Communication-in-use: customer-integrated marketing communication, *European Journal of Marketing*, 51(3), 445-463.

Gabrielli, V. and Balboni, B. (2010). SME practice towards integrated marketing communications, *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 28(3), 275-290.

Glaser, B.G. and Strauss, A.L. (1967). *The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research*, Chicago, USA, Aldine Publishing Company.

Godey, B., Manthiou, A., Pederzoli, D., Rokka, J., Aiello, G., Donvito, R. and Singh, R. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behaviour, *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5833-5841.

Greenhalgh, G. and Greenwell, C.T. (2013). What's in It for Me? An Investigation of North American Professional Niche Sport Sponsorship Objectives, *Sport Marketing Quarterly*, 22(2), 101-112.

Ha, J.-P., Ha, J. and Greenwell, T.C. (2011). Acculturation and ethnic identity in spectator sports: the linkages among acculturation, ethnic identity, points of attachment, and consumption behaviour. Paper presented at the 2011 *Sport Marketing Association (SMA)* Conference, Houston, TX, October 25-28.

Harmeling, C.M., Palmatier, R.W., Fang, E. and Wang D. (2017). Group marketing: theory, mechanisms, and dynamics, *Journal of Marketing*, 81, 1–24.

Hermann, M., Pentek, T. and Otto, B. (2016). *Design principles for Industrie 4.0 Scenarios*. Paper presented at: System Sciences (HICSS), 49th Hawaii International Conference.

Hessling, V., Åsberg, M. and Roxenhall, T. (2018). Relationship commitment and value creation in sponsorship relationships. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing*, 25(2), 137-160.

van Hoek, R.I. (1999). From reversed logistics to green supply chains. *Supply Chain Management*, 4(3), 129-135.

Horak, S. (2013). Business risk and ethics in prospective emerging markets: the case of sports sponsorship in North Korea, *North Korean Review*, 9(2), 18-28.

Howell, K.E. (2013). *The Philosophy of Methodology*. London: Sage Publications.

Huang, H.H. and Mitchell, V. (2014). The role of imagination in brand personification in brand relationships, *Psychology and Marketing*, 31, 38–47.

Irwin, R. and Sutton, W. (1994). Sport sponsorship objectives: an analysis of their relative importance for major corporate sponsors, *European Journal of Sport Management*, 1(2), 93-101.

Jacobs, M. (2013). Beyond the Social Market: Rethinking Capitalism and Public Policy. *The Political Quarterly*, 84(1), 16-27.

Jacoby, L.L. (1998). Invariance in automatic influences of memory: Toward a user's guide for the process-dissociation procedure. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 24(1), 3–26.

Jensen, J.A. and Cobbs, J. (2014). Predicting return on investment in sport sponsorship, *Journal of Advertising Research*, 54, 435–447.

Jensen, J.A. and Cornwell, T.B. (2017). Why do marketing relationships end? Findings from an integrated model of sport sponsorship decision-making, *Journal of Sport Management*, 31, 401-418.

Jensen, J.A. and Cornwell, T.B. (2018) Assessing the dissolution of horizontal marketing relationships: The case of corporate sponsorship of sport, *Journal of Business Research*, On-line, October 2018 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.10.029>

Jiffer, M. and Roos M., (1999). *Sponsorship: A way of communicating*. Stockholm, Sweden: Ekerlids, Fortag: S-comm.

Johansson, J.K., Dimofte, C.V. and Mazvancheryl, S.K. (2012). The performance of global brands in the 2008 financial crisis: A test of two brand value measures, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 29, 235–245.

Johnston, M.A., and Paulsen, N. (2014). Rules of engagement: a discrete choice analysis of sponsorship decision making, *Journal of Marketing Management*, 30(7-8), 634-663.

Kelly, H.H. (1973). The process of causal attribution, *American Psychologist*, 28(2), 107-128.

Khan, A.M. and Stanton, J. (2010). A model of sponsorship effects on the sponsor's employees, *Journal of Promotion Management*, 16(1-2), 188-200.

Kihlstrom, R.E. and Riordan, M.H. (1984). Advertising as a signal, *The Journal of Political Economy*, 92(3), 427-450.

Kim, Y., Lee, H.-W., Magnusen, M.J. and Kim, M. (2015). Factors influencing sponsorship effectiveness: a meta-analytic review and research synthesis, *Journal of Sport Management*, 29, 408-425.

Lavidge, R.J. and Steiner, G.A. (1961). A model for predictive measurements of advertising effectiveness, *Journal of Marketing*, 25(6), 59-62.

Lee, W-Y., Hur, Y. and Sung, M. (2015). Happy to support: emotion as a mediator in brand building through philanthropic corporate sponsorship, *Social Behaviour and Personality: an International Journal*, 43(6), 977.

Mack, R.W. (1999). Event sponsorship: An exploratory study of small business objectives, practices, and perceptions, *Journal of Small Business Management*, 37(3), 25-30.

Mackellar, J. (2015). Determinants of business engagement with regional sport events, *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 15(1), 7-26.

Madrigal, R. and King, J. (2017). Creative analogy as a means of articulating incongruent sponsorships, *Journal of Advertising*, 46(4), 521-535.

Martínez-López, F.J., Anaya-Sánchez, R., Molinillo, S., Aguilar-Illescas, R. and Esteban-Millat I. (2017). Consumer engagement in an online brand community, *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 23, 24–37.

Meenaghan, T. (1983). Commercial Sponsorship, *European Journal of Marketing*, 17(7), 5.

Menon, S. and Kahn, B.E. (2001). Corporate sponsorships of philanthropic activities: when do they impact perception of sponsor brand? *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 7(3), 76-79

Milam, J. (2013). Review of Empress Maria Theresa and the politics of Habsburg Imperial Art, by Michael Yonan. *Eighteenth-Century Studies*, 46(4). 575-577.

Mizerski, R.W., Golden, L.L. and Kernan, J.B. (1979). The Attribution Process in Consumer Decision Making, *Journal of Consumer Research*, 6(2), 123-140.

Moore, N. and Levermore, R. (2012). English professional football clubs: Can business parameters of small and medium-sized enterprises be applied? *Sport, Business and Management: An International Journal*, 2(3). 196-209.

Morgan, A., Adair, D., Taylor, T. and Hermens, A. (2014). Sport sponsorship alliances: relationship management for shared value, *Sport, Business and Management*, 4(4), 270-283.

Naidenova, L., Parshakov, P. and Chmykhov, P. (2016). Does football sponsorship improve company performance? *European Sport Management Quarterly*, 16(2), 129-147.

Olkkonen, R. (2001). Case study: The network approach to international sport sponsorship arrangement, *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, 16(4), 309-329.

Olkkonen, R., Tikkanen, H. and Alajoutsijärvi, K. (2000) Sponsorship as relationships and networks: implications for research, *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 5(1), 12-19.

Oneal, M., Finch, P., Hamilton, J.O. and Hammonds, K. (1987). Nothing sells like sports, *Business Week*, August, 48-53.

O'Reilly, N. and Huybers, T. (2015). Servicing in sponsorship: a best-worst scaling empirical analysis, *Journal of Sport Management*, 29, 155-169.

Ourania, V., Thanos, T., Douvis, J. and Leivadi, S. (2014). Athens 2004 Sponsorship awareness evaluation, *Scientific Journal of Education, Sports, and Health*, 1, XV.

Palda, K.S. (1966). The hypothesis of a hierarchy of effects: a partial evaluation, *Journal of Marketing Research*, 3(1), 13-24.

Patton, M. (1990). *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. Beverly Hills: Sage.

Pham, M.T. (2013). The seven sins of consumer psychology, *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 23(4), 411-423.

Plewa, C., Conduit, J., Quester, P.G. and Johnson C. (2015). The impact of corporate volunteering on CSR image: a consumer perspective, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127(3), 643-659.

Pope, N. (1999). Overview of current sponsorship thought, *Cyber Journal of Sport Marketing*, 2(1) 1-7.

Ricoeur, P. (2003). *The Rule of Metaphor: The Creation of Meaning in Language*, Psychology Press division of Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, UK.

Simonson, I., Carmon, Z., Dhar, R., Drolet, A. and Nowlis, S.M. (2001). Consumer research: in search of identity, *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52, 249-275.

Skard, S. and Thorbjornsen, H. (2017). Closed-ended and open-ended fit articulation: Communication strategies for incongruent sponsorships, *European Journal of Marketing*, 51 (7-8), 1414-1439.

Soderman, S. and Dolles, H. (2015), *Handbook of Research on Sport and Business*. Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd, Cheltenham, UK.

Spais, G.S., and Johnston, M.A. (2014). The evolution of scholarly research on sponsorship: expectations about the future of this research domain, *Journal of Promotion Management*, 20(3), 267-290.

Stiglitz, J.E. (1989). Markets, market failures, and development, *The American Economic Review*, 79(2), Papers and Proceedings of the Hundred and First Annual Meeting of the American Economic Association (May, 1989), 197-203.

Stoian, C. and Gilman, M. (2017). Corporate social responsibility that ‘pays’: a strategic approach to CSR for SMEs, *Journal of Small Business Management*, 55(1), 5–31.

Valsiner, J. (1986). Transcending common sense in psychological theorizing: a developmental perspective, *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 27(1), 184-189.

Walker, E. and Nowacki A.S. (2011). Understanding equivalence and noninferiority testing, *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 26(2), 192-196.

Walker, S.P., Wachs, T., Grantham-McGregor, S., Black, M., Nelson, C., Huffman, S., Baker-Henningham, H., Chang, S., Hamadani, J.D., Lozoff, B., Meeks-Gardner, J., Powell, C., Rahman, A. and Richter, L. (2011). Inequality in early childhood: risk and protective factors for early child development, *The Lancet*, 378(9799) 1325-1338.

Walliser, B. (2003). An international review of sponsorship research: Extension and update. *International Journal of Advertising*, 22(1), 1-28.

Wang, Y., Wang, N., Jiang, L., Yang, Z. and Cui, V. (2016). Managing relationships with power advantage buyers: The role of supplier initiated bonding tactics in long-term buyer-supplier collaborations, *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5587-5596.

Webb, J. and Carter S. (2001). Sponsorship activities and the small firm's sector, *Managing Leisure. An International Journal*, 6(3), 168–179.

Woisetschlager, D.M. and Acurelis, M.M. (2009). Sponsorship congruence and brand image; A pre-post event analysis, *European Journal of Marketing*, 46(3-4), 509-523.

Zatepilina, O. (2015). Small business–non-profit collaboration: locally owned businesses want to take their relationships with community-based NPOs to the next level, *Journal of Non-profit and Public Sector Marketing*, 27, 216–237.

Zinger, J.T. and O'Reilly, N.J. (2010). An examination of sports sponsorship from a small business perspective, *International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship*, 11(4), 14-32.