

1 **Title Page:**

2 Variability of test match cricket and the effects of match location on physical demands in male
3 seam bowlers

4 **Authors:**

5 Alex Bliss¹, Rob Ahmun², Hannah Jowitt², Phil Scott², Samuel Callaghan¹, Thomas W. Jones³,
6 Jamie Tallent^{4,5}

7 **Institution and Affiliation:**

8 1: Centre for Applied Performance Sciences, St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, UK.
9 2: England and Wales Cricket Board. 3: Department of Sport Exercise and Rehabilitation,
10 Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. 4: Centre for Sports and Exercise Science,
11 University of Essex, Colchester, United Kingdom. 5: Department of Physiotherapy, Faculty of
12 Medicine, Nursing and Health Sciences, School of Primary and Allied Health Care, Monash
13 University, Australia

14 **Corresponding Author:**

15 Alex Bliss alex.bliss@stmarys.ac.uk St Mary's University, Twickenham, London, TW1 4SX

16 Abstract Word Count: 199

17 Total Word Count: 4593

18 Tables: 2

19 Figures: 0

20 **Disclosure Statement:**

21 The Authors declare no competing interests

22 **Manuscript**

23 **Abstract:**

24 The physical demands of test match cricket in seam bowlers during fielding are currently
25 unknown. Similarly, analysis of between-match variability and the effects of playing home vs.
26 away is required. Nine international male seam bowlers across 28 test matches (n= 9 home; n=
27 19 away) were investigated over five years (2015-2019). Seam bowlers wore global positioning
28 sensors during match play fielding to quantify physical demands. Absolute and relative (per
29 hour) distances covered in five velocity bands, total distance, and number of accelerations and
30 decelerations were assessed for each match. Coefficient of variation (CV%) and smallest
31 worthwhile change were used to calculate between-match variability. Mixed linear modelling
32 was used to analyse home vs away matches. Seam bowlers covered up to 50 km, with maximal
33 durations of >21 hours during test match fielding. Small between-match CV% (8.3) were found
34 for maximal velocity with large (CV% = 21-192) between-match variability across most other
35 variables. Greater distances were covered at 15-20 km·h⁻¹ (p= 0.02) and >25 km·h⁻¹ (p= 0.04)
36 when playing at home. The results demonstrated substantial, highly variable physical demands.
37 Practitioners should adapt training retrospectively to the match demands encountered and
38 should anticipate that match intensity may be higher during home matches.

39 **Keywords:**

40 Seam bowling, home vs. away, international, elite, variation,

41

42

43

44

45 **Introduction:**

46 Cricket matches are played in either multi-day or single day formats. In international cricket,
47 the multi-day format is referred to as a “test match” and is played over a maximum of five
48 consecutive days, with each team having two innings to compile a score greater than their
49 opponent, and take twenty wickets to win. Regardless of match format, cricket players have
50 primary roles (batting, seam or spin bowling, or a combination of batting and seam/spin
51 bowling) they perform within match-play, while all players will field. Research into the
52 physical demands of cricket has typically focused on seam bowlers, as they experience the
53 greatest physical demands of all players (Petersen et al., 2009; Cooke et al., 2019; Vickery et
54 al., 2016). However, little is known about physical demands of test matches for seam bowlers,
55 particularly when compared to the shorter match formats (i.e. One Day International [ODI] and
56 Twenty20 [T20] cricket), which are well established (Petersen et al., 2009; Bliss et al., 2021).
57 The primary reason for this dearth of information on the physical demands of test match cricket
58 is there are fewer test matches (approximately 10-15) played per year in comparison to T20
59 and ODI cricket (approximately 25-30), owing to their duration. Consequently, insights into
60 the physical demands of test matches would provide valuable information to practitioners
61 regarding the physical demand of this unique match format, which would help optimise
62 physical preparation and recovery strategies for elite seam bowlers.

63 To date, no research has investigated the physical demands of test match cricket in seam
64 bowlers. However, one research study has investigated the physical demands of fielding
65 between international test match and four-day national state-level cricket (Petersen et al.,
66 2011). Petersen and colleagues reported that international fielders during test match cricket
67 covered a small to moderately greater distance across all movement categories and performed
68 moderately more high-intensity movements, with less recovery time per hour than national
69 state-level cricketers (Petersen et al. 2011). This research provided some insights into the

70 physical demands of test match cricket; however, it was not specific to seam bowlers, who have
71 consistently been shown to have the highest physical demands placed upon them during all
72 forms of cricket (Petersen et al., 2010) Furthermore, while the investigation by Petersen and
73 colleagues had 25 data points for test matches, this was only collected from three matches
74 (Petersen et al., 2011). Due to the high variability in the physical demands of match-play which
75 has been demonstrated in the short match formats (Bliss et al., 2021; Bray et al., 2016), it is
76 likely that analysis of a larger data set of matches is necessary to acquire a better understanding
77 of physical demands during test matches. This is of particular importance when contextual
78 factors such as match conditions and the range of match scenarios experienced during test
79 match cricket (which are likely to be more varied owing to increased match duration), are
80 accounted for as these will influence the physical demands placed upon seam bowlers.

81 Specific research into the physical demands of test match cricket among seam bowlers is
82 required to address the gaps in knowledge highlighted above. This will allow for training and
83 recovery strategies to be developed that reflect the demands of test matches, particularly as the
84 volume of distance covered, sprints completed, and overs bowled will likely be vastly different
85 to shorter match formats. With limited research available from cricket, inference from other
86 sports such as football and Australian football suggests that considerable variability is present
87 in physical demands such as total sprint distance and high or very high speed running across a
88 season (Gregson et al., 2010; Kempton et al., 2015; Carling et al., 2016). As cricket has high
89 variability in physical demands in T20 and ODI cricket, and can be influenced by contextual
90 factors such as match conditions (Bliss et al., 2021), an investigation of variability in test match
91 cricket is warranted.

92 An additional gap in knowledge exists relating to test match cricket and the effects of match
93 location on physical demand. In other sports such as professional football and basketball, match
94 location has been shown to impact physical demands such as high-speed running distance and

95 maximal acceleration (Oliva-Lozano et al., 2020), and total distance relative to time, with
96 differences observed being attributed to variations in playing style between countries
97 (Stojanovic et al., 2018). While athletes in these sports are not exposed to the same diverse
98 geographic locations as frequently as international cricketers, the effect of match location is an
99 important consideration for test matches. A recent study found that when compared to home
100 matches (England and Wales), away matches were more physically demanding in a number of
101 key performance metrics (Bliss et al., 2021) However, this was in ODI matches only. An
102 investigation of physical demands and match location in test match cricket would offer useful
103 information and provide evidence for the conditioning requirements for players.

104 The present study had three aims: 1) Investigate the physical demands of test match cricket for
105 seam bowlers during fielding, 2) investigate the between-match variability of physical demands
106 across test matches for seam bowlers, 3) investigate the effects of match location (home vs
107 away) on physical demand in test matches for seam bowlers.

108

109 **Methods and Materials:**

110 *Study Design and Participants:*

111 A single-cohort, longitudinal observational design was used to investigate the physical
112 demands and associated variability of test match cricket during fielding in seam bowlers. A
113 further analysis of the effects of match location was also conducted. Nine international male
114 seam bowlers (age= 32 ± 5.2 y, stature= 1.88 ± 0.08 m, body mass= 87.0 ± 6.3 kg) from 28 test
115 matches (home n= 9; away n= 19) were involved in this five-year (2015-2019) retrospective
116 analysis. Away matches were played in: Abu Dhabi (vs Pakistan), Australia, Bangladesh, India,
117 New Zealand, South Africa, and the West Indies. The data set contained 54 individual player
118 data points (home n= 21; away n= 33), which were used to establish the between-match

119 variability in physical performance. The study obtained retrospective ethical approval through
120 the University's Local Ethics Committee (reference: SMEC_2019-20_028) and was conducted
121 in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

122 ***Procedures:***

123 During test matches, players wore a 10 Hz global positioning sensor (GPS) device (2015-2018
124 Catapult OptimEye S5 unit; 2018-2019 Catapult OptimEye G5, both Catapult Innovations,
125 Melbourne, Australia) positioned on the upper back, housed in a fitted vest. The units also
126 contained 100 Hz triaxial accelerometers (range of $3D \pm 16$ g), gyroscopes (range of $3D$
127 $2000^\circ \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$), and magnetometers. The S5 (Nicollela et al., 2018) and G5 (Barret et al., 2014)
128 units have been shown to be reliable and valid and share the same componentry (Malone et al.,
129 2017). Units were activated 15 minutes prior to each fielding session and data collected from
130 the units were analysed once exported from Catapult's OpenField Cloud database. Only the
131 period of fielding (including bowling) was analysed in this study. Non-fielding and bowling
132 activities (e.g. warm up, batting) were removed from the analysis. For home compared to away
133 analyses, individual match data were collected from all seam bowlers. For the analysis of match
134 variability, data from all seam bowlers who performed in the match were collated and averaged
135 to provide mean values. To be included in the analysis, players must have worn a GPS unit and
136 have their fielding (comprising bowling and fielding activities) recorded for all time on the
137 field during the entire match. Fielding sessions recorded via GPS were compared against a
138 specialist cricket database (www.espnricinfo.com) to confirm timings and durations and to
139 ensure no fielding was missed. The database was also used to report number of overs bowled
140 in accordance with previous research (Bliss et al., 2021). All physical performance measures
141 were represented as absolute and relative (per hour) values.

142 Based on previous literature (Bliss et al., 2021) and the standard procedures for the team's day-
143 to-day operations, five velocity bands (0-7 km·h⁻¹; 7-15 km·h⁻¹; 15-20 km·h⁻¹; 20-25 km·h⁻¹;
144 >25 km·h⁻¹) were used to quantify physical demands using distance covered in each band.
145 Number of entries into pre-selected acceleration (2-4 m·s⁻²; >4 m·s⁻²), and deceleration (-2-4
146 m·s⁻²; <-4 m·s⁻²) bands were also used. Other variables analysed were maximal velocity, total
147 distance covered and total duration of fielding, the latter being used to calculate the
148 aforementioned relative measures.

149 Global positioning coordinates and altitude of the match location were obtained from Google
150 Maps (Google LLC, California, USA). These data were used to obtain the corresponding
151 number of satellites and horizontal dilution of precision (HDOP) statistics from a global
152 position system website (www.gnssplanning.com Trimble Terrasat GmH, Germany, Trimble
153 Inc. v. 1.4.6.0) and are reported in line with recommendations on reporting standards for
154 research utilising GPS technology (Malone et al., 2017).

155 ***Statistical Analysis:***

156 Data are reported as mean ± SD, with maximal values for additional context. An alpha level
157 ≤0.05 was set *a priori*. Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics, v.27,
158 IBM Corp.). All dependent variables were screened for normality using the Kolmogorov-
159 Smirnov test and concomitant visual inspection of histograms and Q-Q plots. The following
160 variables were not normally distributed and were transformed using the decadic logarithm prior
161 to being entered into the mixed linear models: number of decelerations <-4 m·s⁻²; number of
162 accelerations 2-4 m·s⁻² and >4 m·s⁻²; distance covered 7-15km·h⁻¹. Variability was expressed
163 using between-participant coefficient of variation (CV%) with 90% confidence intervals (CI).
164 The smallest worthwhile change (SWC) was calculated from between-participant standard
165 deviations (0.2*SD) for each dependent variable (Hopkins, 2004; Batterham & Hopkins,

2006). Mixed linear modelling (MLM) was conducted with match location (home or away) as a fixed factor and individual players as random factors. Match outcome was also controlled for by being entered into the model as a fixed factor. Five games were won at home (56 %), with one draw (11 %), and three losses (33 %). When away, there were three wins (16 %), four draws (21 %), and 12 (63 %) losses. Bonferroni *post-hoc* tests were used for pairwise comparisons where a significant location effect was observed.

172

173 **Results:**

174 Satellite data were as follows: Test Home: mean satellites available= 17 ± 2 . HDOP= $0.71 \pm$
175 0.10 %. Test Away: mean satellites available= 16 ± 1 HDOP= 0.84 ± 0.60 %.

176 Physical Demands descriptive data and variability statistics for test matches are displayed in
177 Table 1. Variability ranged from CV%= 8.3-157.2 for absolute and CV%= 14.8-192.0 for
178 relative metrics, respectively. Outputs from the MLM are displayed in Table 2. There were no
179 significant differences ($p > 0.05$) in absolute physical demands between home and away
180 matches. However, seams bowlers covered a significantly greater distance per hour during
181 home compared to away matches at velocities of $15-20 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($f_{(1,54)} = 5.686$, $p = 0.021$) and >25
182 $\text{km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ ($f_{(1,54)} = 4.689$, $p = 0.035$). Bonferonni *post hoc* pairwise comparisons revealed that, per
183 hour played, greater distances were covered at home when compared to away matches in the
184 $15-20 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (19% higher [CI= 8-30 %]) and $>25 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ (150% higher [CI= 44-256 %])
185 velocity bands.

186 ***INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE***

187 ***INSERT TABLE 2 ABOUT HERE***

188 **Discussion:**

189 The aims of this study were to investigate the physical demands of fielding and the between-
190 match variability of seam bowlers during test matches. A further aim was to compare the
191 physical demands of seam bowlers between home and away test matches. The main findings
192 were that seam bowlers experience considerable physical demands across a range of
193 performance metrics, particularly total duration of fielding and total distances covered in test
194 matches. Seam bowlers performing in test match cricket also experience substantial variability
195 (8-192%) across all absolute and relative variables studied. Additionally, seam bowlers
196 perform more distance per hour in the 15-20 km·h⁻¹ and >25 km·h⁻¹ velocity bands when at
197 home.

198 To date, this is the first investigation of the physical demands of seam bowlers during test
199 match cricket. The results demonstrated that seam bowlers can cover vast absolute total
200 distances during a match, while the hourly demands are less than those previously reported in
201 four-day national level cricket (Petersen, Pyne, Portus et al., 2010; Petersen, Pyne, Dawson et
202 al., 2011). In comparison to the previous research of Petersen et al., (2010 & 2011) it appears
203 that the shorter match format of four-day national level multi-day cricket requires a greater
204 distance in both low- and high-intensity movement bands to be covered per hour by seam
205 bowlers, respectively. As previously reported, the shortest match format (T20) results in greater
206 intensity (per hour) of physical demands for seam bowlers when compared to longer (one-day
207 and multi-day) match formats. However, it is important to note that previous research into the
208 physical demands of four-day national level cricket utilised different movement velocity bands
209 and was conducted in Australia where playing conditions will vary to that primarily analysed
210 within the current investigation. These differences may also explain that non-bowling fielders
211 in previous studies have demonstrated higher physical demands than those present for the seam
212 bowlers within the current investigation (Petersen et al., 2011). Nonetheless, valuable
213 information regarding the physical demands of test match cricket for seam bowlers can be

214 gathered from the current investigation, particularly regarding the absolute physical demands,
215 which could be utilised to better prepare seam bowlers for the demands of test match cricket.

216 This study has also demonstrated that the physical demands of test match cricket are highly
217 variable across all investigated metrics (CV%= 21-192), except maximal velocity (CV%= 8).
218 Some of the variability in this study can be explained by the inherent variability present in test
219 match cricket with fielding time showing a large CV% of 25. However, lower variability was
220 observed for all intensity (per hour) metrics (except the number of accelerations $>4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^2$ and
221 decelerations $<-4 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^2$), although CV% were still 15-98. Previous research has shown that there
222 is considerable variability with high-intensity actions, such as hard accelerations and
223 decelerations and very high-speed running, and they are the least predictable across a range of
224 sports (Vickery et al., 2016; Cunningham et al., 2016; Harper et al., 2019). In cricket, there
225 appears to be a high degree of variability in physical demands, irrespective of match format. In
226 T20 cricket, Bray et al. (2016) reported that high-speed running distance, total sprint distance,
227 and total number of sprints had between-match variability of 33, 49 and 48%, respectively.
228 Sholto-Douglas et al. (2020) reported similar findings in T20 Big Bash League cricket and,
229 although slightly different velocity bands were used here, it appears a similar level of variability
230 was also demonstrated. However, other metrics presented here show considerably higher
231 variability, notably the frequency of hard accelerations (per hour) and absolute distance
232 covered in the $>25 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ velocity band which had between-match variability of 192% and
233 110%, respectively. These findings suggest that sport science staff will be required to prepare
234 their seam bowlers for, and recover them from, a wide range of test match physical demands.

235 The data herein also suggest that match intensity was greater when the team played at home.
236 Seam bowlers performed greater distances in the $15\text{-}20 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ and $>25 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ velocity bands
237 when competing at home, relative to time played. In the only other analysis of this type in
238 cricket, it was demonstrated that away matches were longer and players covered greater

239 distances in ODI cricket, but when investigated relative to time, only the number of moderate
240 intensity decelerations were greater when playing away (Bliss et al., 2021). However, match
241 outcome was not controlled for as the effect of playing away for a ODI is not as influential as
242 it is in test matches. Owing to the home team's familiarity to playing and pitch conditions, and
243 the duration of test matches, it is likely match location would be more influential upon the
244 outcome of a test match than a shorter format. The findings from this study suggest that the
245 frequency of actions in the $25 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ and $15\text{-}20 \text{ km} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ is greater at home. Speculatively, this
246 may be explained by the mean fielding duration being approximately 100 minutes shorter when
247 at home. If matches are shorter, more wickets will be taken and the number of delays in match-
248 play will therefore be increased, meaning fielders can recover within a session and are able to
249 perform high-intensity actions more frequently. Training for, and recovery from match play
250 will therefore need to be modifiable and reflect the differences in physical demand, particularly
251 the intensity of matches when playing at home or away.

252 **Practical Implications:**

253 These data demonstrate the considerable physical demands associated when fielding in seam
254 bowlers in test match cricket. Until now, these demands were unreported in the scientific
255 literature. Given the long total durations of fielding across a test match, and the large distances
256 covered by seam bowlers, practitioners will be required to not only condition their seam
257 bowlers to cope with these physical demands, but also ensure players have the opportunity for
258 optimal recovery between matches. The findings of this study demonstrate that this is a
259 complex task as not only are there high physical demands but these are coupled with extreme
260 match-to-match variability in many of the physical demand metrics, particularly those
261 involving high-intensity sprinting, acceleration, and deceleration. Sport science staff who are
262 supporting test cricket seam bowlers will need to be flexible and pragmatic as they will likely

263 be required to adapt their training and recovery strategies retrospectively to the demands of the
264 match.

265 An additional finding from the data presented here is that practitioners supporting seam bowlers
266 should anticipate that match intensity may be higher at home. Relative, per hour fielding data
267 demonstrated that seam bowlers covered greater distances in the 15-20 km·h⁻¹ and >25 km·h⁻¹
268 velocity bands when playing at home compared to away. The location of a match will therefore
269 have an influence on the training strategies used when preparing for, and recovering from, test
270 matches. As this is the first study of its type to provide insight into the physical demands,
271 variability, and effects of match location on fielding in seam bowlers during test matches,
272 future research may seek to compare and contrast these findings from other test match playing
273 nations. Practitioners working in elite, international cricket will likely have access to similar
274 datasets. Collaborating with research partners will encourage an increased reporting of these
275 data in the scientific literature, allowing for more informed physical training and recovery
276 strategies to be implemented with test match seam bowlers.

277

278 **Conclusion:**

279 This is the first study to examine the physical demands of test match cricket in seam bowlers,
280 demonstrating the extreme physical demands they are exposed to. Seam bowlers can cover vast
281 distances over extended durations when fielding. These data also show the extreme between-
282 match variability in physical demand with greatest variability shown in the number of intense
283 accelerations and decelerations, and high-speed running distance. Though slightly reduced
284 when the running metrics were expressed per hour of fielding, the physical demands of test
285 matches are highly unpredictable. Finally, the intensity of home matches appeared to be higher.

286 Greater distances were covered per hour in the 15-20 and >25 km·h⁻¹ velocity bands while total
287 match fielding duration was ~100 minutes shorter at home.

288

289 **Acknowledgements:**

290 No financial support was obtained for this research.

291 References:

- 292 1. Barrett, S., Midgley, A., & Lovell, R. (2014). PlayerLoad: reliability, convergent
293 validity, and influence of unit position during treadmill running. *International Journal*
294 *of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 9(6): 945-52.
- 295 2. Batterham, A., Hopkins, W. (2006). Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes.
296 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 1(1): 50-57.
- 297 3. Bliss, A., Ahmun, R., Jowitt, H., Scott, P., Jones, T., & Tallent, J. (2021). Variability
298 and physical demands of international seam bowlers in one-day and Twenty20
299 international matches across five years. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*.
300 24(5):505-510
- 301 4. Bray, J., Fogarty, M., Barrett, S., Lovell, R., & Abt, G. (2016). Using
302 microtechnology to evaluate the between and within-match variability of professional
303 Twenty20 cricket fast bowlers. *Professional Strength and Conditioning Journal*. 43:
304 19-26
- 305 5. Carling, C., Bradley, P., McCall, A., & Dupont, G. (2016). Match-to-match variability
306 in high-speed running activity in a professional soccer team. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.
307 34(24): 2215-2223
- 308 6. Cooke, K., Outram, T., Brandon, R. Waldron, M., Vickery, W., Keenan, J., & Tallent,
309 J. (2019). The Difference in Neuromuscular Fatigue and Workload During
310 Competition and Training in Elite Cricketers. *International Journal of Sports*
311 *Physiology and Performance*. 14(4): 439-444
- 312 7. Cunningham, D., Shearer, D., Drawer, S., Pollard, B., Eager, R., Taylor, N., Cook, C.,
313 & Kilduff, L. (2016). Movement demands of elite under-20s and senior international
314 rugby union players. *Plos One*. (11): e0164990. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164990

- 315 8. Gregson, W., Drust, B., Atkinson, G., & Salvo, V. (2010). Match-to-match variability
316 of high-speed activities in premier league soccer. *International Journal of Sports*
317 *Medicine*. 31: 237–242. doi:10.1055/s-0030-1247546
- 318 9. Harper, D., Carling, C., & Kiely J. (2019). High-intensity acceleration and
319 deceleration demands in elite team sports competitive match play. a systematic review
320 and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Sports Medicine*. 49: 1923-1947
- 321 10. Hopkins, W. (2004). How to interpret changes in an athletic performance test.
322 *Sportscience*. 8: 1-7
- 323 11. Kempton, T., Sullivan, C., Bilsborough, J., Cordy, J., & Coutts, A. (2015). match-to-
324 match variation in physical activity and technical skill measures in professional
325 Australian Football. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 18(1): 109-113
- 326 12. Malone, J., Lovell, R., Varley, M., & Coutts, A. (2017). Unpacking the black box:
327 applications and considerations for using GPS devices in sport. *International Journal*
328 *of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 12(S2-18 S2-26)
329 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2016-0236>
- 330 13. Nicoletta, D., Torres-Ronda, L., Saylor, K. & Schelling, X. (2018). Validity and
331 reliability of an accelerometer-based player tracking device. *PLoS ONE*. 13(2):
332 e0191823.
- 333 14. Oliva-Lozano, J., Rojas-Valverde, D., Gómez-Carmona, C., Fortes, V., & Pino-
334 Ortega, J. (2020). Impact of contextual variables on the representative external load
335 profile of Spanish professional soccer match-play: a full season study. *European*
336 *Journal of Sports Sciences*. DOI: 10.1080/17461391.2020.1751305
- 337 15. Petersen, C., Pyne, D., Dawson, B. Portus, M., & Kellett, A. (2010). Movement
338 patterns in cricket vary by both position and game format. *Journal of Sports Sciences*.
339 28(1): 45-52

- 340 16. Petersen, C., Pyne, D., Portus, M. & Dawson, B. (2009). Variability in movement
341 patterns during one day internationals by a cricket fast bowler. *International Journal*
342 *of Sports Physiology and Performance*. 4: 278-281
- 343 17. Petersen, C., Pyne, D., Portus, M., & Dawson, B. (2011). Comparison of player
344 movement patterns between 1-day and test cricket. *Journal of Strength and*
345 *Conditioning Research*. 25(5): 1368-1373
- 346 18. Sholto-Douglas, R., Cook, R., Wilkie, M., & Christie, C. (2020). Movement demands
347 of an elite cricket seam during the Big Bash League in Australia. *Journal of Sports*
348 *Science and Medicine*. 19: 59-64
- 349 19. Stojanovic, E., Stojiljkovic, N., Scanalan, A., Dalbo, V., Berkelmans, D., & Milanovic,
350 Z. (2018). The activity demands and physiological responses encountered during
351 basketball match-play: a systematic review. *Sports Medicine*. 48: 111-135
- 352 20. Vickery, W., Duffield, R., Crowther, R., Beakley, D., Blanch, P., & Dascombe, B.
353 (2016). Comparison of the physical and technical demands of cricket players during
354 training and match-play. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*. 32(3): 821–
355 829