

Materials and Structures

Effects of Sucrose on Fresh-State and Setting Properties of Air Lime

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Effects of Sucrose on Fresh-State and Setting Properties of Air Lime
Article Type:	Original Research
Keywords:	lime; sucrose; additives; carbonation; microstructure
Corresponding Author:	Giovanni Pesce UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Cecilia Pesce
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Cecilia Pesce Giovanni Pesce Marco Molinari Marco Corradi
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Funding Information:	RDF Dr Giovanni Pesce
Abstract:	The use of affordable and sustainable additives such as sucrose for improving the properties of lime-based materials can contribute to a wider use of such low-carbon binders with applications in both civil engineering and conservation of the built heritage. Here, various sucrose-modified lime pastes were prepared, and their microstructure, fresh and hardened properties, and carbonation rate were assessed with an array of analytical techniques. It was found that sucrose acts as a water-reducing agent with relevant effects on both, fresh and hardened properties of pastes while allowing carbonation to occur homogeneously across the whole sample without the formation of carbonation rims. Such effects were enhanced when sucrose was added to the mixture after the slaking as pastes show higher mechanical strength and carbonate at a slower rate. When sucrose was added before the slaking, pastes show high elasticity, low mechanical strength, and carbonate faster due to their fine microstructure.
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Provide the total number of words in the manuscript (excluding figure caption and table caption)?	7172
Provide total number of FIGURES?	13
Provide total number of TABLES?	4

[Click here to view linked References](#)

Effects of Sucrose on Fresh-State and Setting Properties of Air Lime

Cecilia Pesce^{1*}([OrCID](#)), Giovanni Pesce¹([OrCID](#)), Marco Molinari²([OrCID](#)), Marco Corradi³([OrCID](#))

¹Department of Architecture and Built Environment, Northumbria University Newcastle, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 8ST, UK

²School of Applied Sciences, Huddersfield University, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, UK.

³Department of Mechanical and Construction Engineering, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 8ST, UK

*Corresponding author: giovanni.pesce@northumbria.ac.uk

Abstract

The use of affordable and sustainable additives such as sucrose for improving the properties of lime-based materials can contribute to a wider use of such low-carbon binders with applications in both civil engineering and conservation of the built heritage. Here, various sucrose-modified lime pastes were prepared, and their microstructure, fresh and hardened properties, and carbonation rate were assessed with an array of analytical techniques. It was found that sucrose acts as a water-reducing agent with relevant effects on both, fresh and hardened properties of pastes while allowing carbonation to occur homogeneously across the whole sample without the formation of carbonation rims. Such effects were enhanced when sucrose was added to the mixture after the slaking as pastes show higher mechanical strength and carbonate at a slower rate. When sucrose was added before the slaking, pastes show high elasticity, low mechanical strength, and carbonate faster due to their fine microstructure.

Keywords: lime; sucrose; additives; carbonation; microstructure

Acknowledgments

This research was carried out within a doctoral programme with the studentship provided by Northumbria University through the RDF funds. The authors acknowledge Tarmac Buxton Lime for providing the quicklime.

1. Introduction

One of the biggest challenges to mankind is the adverse effect of climate change, induced by the excess of anthropogenic greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The production of Portland cement alone contributes to a striking 3% of the global GHG emissions [1]. Several approaches have been taken to design and introduce low-carbon materials that can satisfy

1 the performance requirements and, at the same time, reduce carbon emissions. Examples are
2 the development of alkali-activated cements and the addition of limestone powder to cement
3 based mixes [2–6]. Another approach is to re-discover traditional materials such as air lime,
4 after they were almost completely abandoned in the 20th century [7–9]. Air lime is a material
5 of high historical relevance used by mankind since the advent of pyrotechnology over 10,000
6 years ago [7, 10–13]. However, some characteristics of air lime hinder its wide use in the
7 modern construction industry. These are mainly related to the slow setting rate and the
8 reduced strength compared to other binders [12, 14–17]. Nonetheless, air lime remains
9 particularly attractive as a high quality binder because of its high workability [18], capacity to
10 accommodate movements [17, 19–21], resistance to soluble salts and frost damage [12, 13,
11 19, 22, 23], breathability [24, 25], self-healing [18, 26] and antiseptic properties [27–29].
12 Furthermore, the use of lime is already widely regulated, and lime is more environmentally
13 friendly material than cement. Upon calcination, lime has reduced CO₂ emissions compared
14 to Portland cement [19, 30, 31] since a substantial part of the emitted CO₂ is re-absorbed while
15 setting. Several new technologies are being developed to replace traditional fossil fuels with
16 alternative sources to operate lime kilns in a more sustainable way. Kilns fuelled with low-
17 carbon, sustainable fuels including biomass [32–34], hydrogen [33], and solar energy [35]
18 have the potential of making lime production a carbon neutral or even a carbon negative
19 process. Other approaches focus on recycling the emitted CO₂ to be used in the production
20 of carbonated drinks [36], also with the aim of paying off the environmental costs.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 To overcome the limitations of air lime, several approaches have been investigated, including
46 the use of lime-cement blends [30, 37], carbonation accelerators [22, 38], and organic
47 additives [16, 39]. The latter holds a great potential for improving lime performance, as the
48 use of organic additive can be not only easily implemented and scalable without the need to
49 drastically change the current technological processes, but also there is a wide range of
50 organic compounds that are stable in atmospheric conditions, non-hazardous, water soluble,
51 affordable and sustainable (since they are by-products of other industrial processes, such as
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

in the case of modified lignosulfonates [40, 41] which are waste products of the wood pulping industry).

Among the additives currently available, sucrose has a long history of use in lime-based mixtures. It was commonly added to Chinese lime mortars [42–46], as well as used in India [47] and Europe during the Greek and Roman periods [48, 49]. Sucrose-based compounds can enhance the mechanical properties and durability of lime pastes and mortars [50–52], and the underlying mechanisms are related to the effects of this molecule on the nucleation and growth of calcium hydroxide crystals [53–56] via complex formation [53, 57] or adsorption/nuclei poisoning mechanism [58]. Sucrose is also a low-cost product, and its production is typically sustainable and efficient [59].

In our previous study [60], we showed that the addition of sucrose is effective in modifying the crystal habit of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$. The resulting sucrose-modified portlandite crystals show characteristics, such as reduced crystallinity and irregular facets, that can influence the carbonation rate. Another recent study [2] showed that sucrose affects the carbonation rate and improves the mechanical performance of mortars made with air lime. Furthermore, it has been suggested that sucrose can affect the carbonation of calcium hydroxide by promoting and stabilising the formation of amorphous calcium carbonate, and eventually leading to the formation of elongated chain-like calcite agglomerates [61]. However, despite these advantages, a comprehensive assessment of the effects of sucrose on the performance of lime-based mixtures is not available yet. One of the aspects that has ~~never~~ not been investigated is the optimal method to introduce sucrose into a lime mixture. The aim of this study is to assess the effects of sucrose additions on the fresh and hardened properties of lime pastes, and on their carbonation by adding the sucrose before and after the slaking process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

We used commercial quicklime *Calbux Fine 6*, 92% grade, supplied by Tarmac Buxton Lime (UK) and classified as CL90 according to BS EN 459-1 [62], with nominal particle size <6 mm. The microstructure, mineralogy and chemical composition of the quicklime used were provided in a previous study [60]. The sucrose used was a reagent grade $\geq 99.0\%$ product supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (product code 84100-1KG). The marble powder used for the production of the pastes was the commercial product Fordacal Filler Powder (Marble Powder) supplied by MB Fibreglass. Its mineralogical composition determined by X-ray diffraction (

Figure 1) consists of 98% calcite and 2% dolomite.




Figure 1. XRD diffractogram of the marble powder used to produce the tested pastes. Keys: C=calcite; D=dolomite.

2.2 Sample Preparation

Three lime pastes were produced by mixing sucrose-modified lime putties and a plain lime putty with 30 wt% marble powder. This is a lime-rich mixture similar to the so called 'fat' *marmorino* Venetian plaster [63] that can limit the development of cracks induced by drying shrinkage in lime-based mixtures without substantially effecting the carbonation of lime (details of the mixes are shown in Table 1). The control paste was produced by using a plain lime putty, prepared by placing the 1 kg of quicklime into a metal bucket where deionised water was added all at once in a mass ratio oxide:water 1:3, as used in previous studies [64–67].

After the initial bubbling, the slurry was hand-mixed for at least 10 minutes and subsequently mechanically combined with the marble powder for 5 minutes using a planetary mixer as specified in BS EN 196-1 [68]. Paste S1 was produced in a similar way to the control, using a lime putty slaked in a 5% wt sucrose solution. The aqueous solution was prepared by mixing sucrose as received with deionised water until the additive was fully dissolved. Paste S2 was produced using a lime putty that was slaked first in deionised water, and after 10 minutes of hand-stirring, the solid sucrose was added to the putty as received, in the same concentration as in S1. The water content in the pastes was calculated by gravimetry after oven-drying a sample of the pastes at 80 °C until constant weight was reached. It can be noted that despite having used the same lime-to-water ratios for all the pastes, S2 shows a lower water content. This is likely due to the fact that the percent water content also takes into account the amount of sucrose contained in the mix, therefore lowering the relative water content. Differently, the S1 paste shows a similar percent water content to the control likely because of the slower, less explosive slaking reaction that takes place in a sugary solution, as observed empirically by the authors and also reported by Kang et al. [2]. This is likely to lead to a lower slaking temperature and less water evaporation, therefore resulting in a similar, slightly higher than the control, water content despite the presence of sucrose in the mix.

Table 1. List and composition of the tested pastes and relative water content.

Sample	Binder	Aggregate	Water content (% wt)
Control	Plain lime putty slaked in deionized water		37.5
S1	Lime putty slaked in 5% wt sucrose solution	Marble powder (30 % wt)	38.2
S2	Lime putty slaked in deionized water with addition of solid sucrose after slaking		33.2

2.3 Fresh-State Properties

1
2 Flowability, dynamic viscosity and water retention were investigated as characteristics of the
3
4 fresh pastes. The paste flowability was measured through the slump test using a flow table as
5
6 described in BS EN 12350-5:2019 [69]. The dynamic viscosity was measured using a
7
8 handheld vibrational viscometer Hydramotion Viscolite 700 (model VL7-100B-d15). The
9
10 viscosity value was noted after at least 20 s from probe immersion, while stirring the paste to
11
12 stabilise the measurements, and the averaged value of 7 readings was considered to obtain
13
14 a stable reading as recommended by the instrument user manual [70]. The water retention of
15
16 fresh pastes was investigated using the method detailed in BS EN 459-2:2010 [71], previously
17
18 used by other researchers [72], and the testing equipment provided by Novanna Measurement
19
20 Instrument (product code 1.0246). Three replicas of each test were performed.
21
22
23
24

2.4 Hardened-State Properties

25
26
27 For the hardened-state properties, the fresh pastes were first cast into two sets of moulds: a
28
29 set of prismatic moulds 40 x 40 x 160 mm with at least 8 specimens per type of paste (Control,
30
31 S1 and S2) prepared to account for any possible preparation problem (e.g. shrinkage cracks),
32
33 and a set of cylindrical moulds of 40 mm diameter and 50 mm height with at least 6 specimens
34
35 per type of paste. All samples were placed into a ventilated environmental chamber with 80 %
36
37 RH (relative humidity) and atmospheric CO₂ concentration (\approx 400 ppm) for curing. All samples
38
39 were demoulded as soon as the pastes were stiff enough to hold their shape without the
40
41 supporting moulds, which occurred after 19 days of curing for prismatic samples, and after 28
42
43 days for cylindrical samples. It is likely that such difference across the two types of samples is
44
45 due to the fact that the prismatic mould exposes a larger surface of the sample to the air and
46
47 therefore allows a faster drying with respect to the cylindrical samples.
48
49
50
51

52
53 The evolution of the bulk density of curing samples was monitored by taking measurements
54
55 at 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 63, 70, 77, and 84 days of curing (i.e. the curing ages include testing
56
57 at 21 days which is a curing time when the specimens were still inside their moulds). Bulk
58
59 density was calculated using Equation 1:
60
61
62
63
64
65

$$\rho_b = \frac{W_s}{V_s}$$

Equation 1

where ρ_b is the bulk density in g/cm^3 , W_s is the sample weight in g, and V_s is the sample envelope volume determined using a Vernier calliper.

Changes in the mechanical properties of the hardened samples were monitored overtime by ultrasound pulse velocity (UPV) measurements, three-point bending test and uniaxial compression test. The UPV measurements were carried out on the prismatic samples at the same ages as the bulk density measurements. A Screening Eagle Pundit Lab+ portable UPV test instrument was used alongside the software PunditLink, and equipped with 54 kHz Proceq transducers for P-wave measurements and 40 kHz dry point contact Proceq transducers for S-waves. The ultrasonic pulse velocity was obtained by direct transmission along the x, y and z directions as depicted in Figure 2. The path lengths along each direction ~~was~~were measured with a Vernier calliper before the analysis. Measurements were taken at the operating conditions of pulse voltage 500 V, probe gain 500 x, time frame 0.2 s, pulse width 9.3 μs (for P waves) and 12.5 μs (for S waves). Each measurement was repeated three times and the UPV calculated from the average of the measurements for each direction. The wave travel time was selected manually on the waveform display. The UPV measurements were also used to assess changes in the dynamic Young's modulus overtime as in Nunes et al. [73]. The dynamic Young's modulus (E_d) was calculated from P and S wave velocity measurement data as described in the Supporting Information.




Figure 2 - Schematic illustration of a direct transmission measurement on a prism specimen for determination of ultrasonic pulse velocity.

The three-point bending tests and uniaxial compression tests were performed on the prismatic samples at two ages of 70 and 84 days of curing. The compressive test was performed on the broken halves of the prismatic samples used in the flexural tests. The tests were performed with an Ametek Lloyd LR100K Plus testing machine with loading cell of 100 kN capability, and load cell accuracy of 0.5 % as described in BS EN 196-1:2016 [68] at a load rate of 0.2 mm/min and a distance between the two supports of 40 mm.

2.5 Microstructural Analysis

Chemical, mineralogical and microstructural characteristics of the hardened pastes were investigated using phenolphthalein, XRD and SEM analyses respectively, at 28, 35, 42, and 56 days of curing on the cylindrical samples. XRD analysis was aimed at assessing the carbonation rate, and performed using a Rigaku SmartLab instrument. The X-ray source was a Cu tube producing K_{α} radiation, scans were collected in the 10° – 90° 2θ range with steps of 0.5° and scan speed of $1^{\circ}/\text{min}$, at 50 kV and 40 mA. Data analysis was carried out with the Rigaku SmartLab Studio II software. Phase identification was performed using a search/match approach. Quantitative phase analysis was performed using the Rietveld method implemented in the Rigaku SmartLab Studio II software. The phenolphthalein test was carried out on chisel-split halves of paste specimens using a 1 % ethanol solution (indicator grade) from Fisher Scientific, according to BS EN 14630 [74]. The test surfaces were photographed within 2 s after spraying. SEM images of the hardened pastes were obtained using a Tescan Mira3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

microscope in high vacuum mode at 5-15 kV voltage using secondary electrons (SE) signal from both, chamber mounted and in-column SE detectors. Fresh cross sections were obtained by splitting the specimen with a narrow flat chisel or a scalpel. The fragments were carefully dusted with a pressurised nitrogen gun, mounted on a metal stub and fixed with copper conductive tape. The sections were then coated with a 7 nm platinum layer to prevent negative charging of the surfaces and, thus, to investigate their topography (crystal size and shape, micro-cracks, texture). Image analysis was carried out using the software ImageJ v. 1.53a [52].

3. Results

3.1 Fresh-State Properties

3.1.1 Water Retention Tests

The change in water retention of the modified samples with respect to the control (ΔWR) is calculated with **Error! Reference source not found.****Error! Reference source not found.:**

$$\Delta WR = \frac{WR_{\text{sample}} - WR_{\text{control}}}{WR_{\text{control}}} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where WR_{control} is the water retention of the control sample, and WR_{sample} is the water retention of the sucrose-modified sample. Based on Equation 2, ΔWR is negative when the paste with the sucrose-modified lime retains less water than the control. Results of the water retention tests of fresh pastes are reported in Table 2**Error! Reference source not found.**, and show that the WR of the sucrose-modified pastes (i.e. S1 and S2) is slightly reduced compared to the control.

Table 2 - Water retention of the tested lime pastes

Sample	Water retention, WR (%)	ΔWR (%)
Control	92.5 \pm 0.7	-
S1	89.9 \pm 1.3	-2.8 \pm 0.8
S2	82.9 \pm 1.2	-10.4 \pm 0.2

3.1.2 Viscosity Tests

Results of the viscosity tests are reported in Table 3 **Error! Reference source not found..**

The change in viscosity of the modified samples with respect to the control ($\Delta\eta$) is calculated using Equation 2 Equation 2:

$$\Delta\eta = \frac{\eta_{\text{sample}} - \eta_{\text{control}}}{\eta_{\text{control}}} \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

where η_{control} is the viscosity of the control sample, and η_{sample} is the viscosity of the sucrose-modified sample. The results show that the presence of sucrose decreases the viscosity of the sucrose-modified pastes and that this effect is particularly relevant when sucrose is added after the slaking (sample S2). In sample S2 a 79% reduction was found compared to the control.

Table 3 - Viscosity of the tested lime pastes

Sample	Viscosity η (mPa·s)	$\Delta\eta$ (%)
Control	660 \pm 60	-
S1	590 \pm 10	-11 \pm 1
S2	138 \pm 2	-79 \pm 0.2

3.1.3 Flowability Tests

Results of the flowability tests are reported in Table 4 **Error! Reference source not found..**

The change in flowability of the modified samples with respect to the control (ΔF) is calculated with Equation 3 Equation 3

:

$$\Delta F = \frac{F_{\text{sample}} - F_{\text{control}}}{F_{\text{control}}} \times 100$$

Equation 3

where F_{control} is the flowability of the control sample, and F_{sample} is the flowability of the sucrose-modified sample. The results suggest that, consistently with the results of the viscosity test, an increase in flowability was measured in the sucrose-modified pastes, in particular in sample S2 (+75 % compared to the control).

Table 4 - Flowability of the tested lime pastes.

Sample	Flowability \bar{E} (mm)	ΔF (%)
Control	171.1 ± 1.2	-
S1	229.0 ± 3.5	33.8 ± 0.1
S2	299.4 ± 1.2	75.0 ± 0.03

3.2 Hardened-State Properties

Upon curing, it was observed that a difference in cracking susceptibility was occurring between the different types of paste. Figure 3 shows the samples at 70 days of curing, and as seen most of the control specimens had sign of damage, cracks and splitting across the whole section (these samples are highlighted with a red rectangle in Figure 3), while only few S1 samples showed cracking and splitting, and none of the S2 samples showed damage or cracking.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure 3. Lime paste samples at 70 days curing. Control samples show extensive crack formation and damage due to drying shrinkage (samples highlighted by red rectangles). Few S1 samples are cracked or damaged (samples in red rectangles). None of the S2 samples are damaged, and no cracks are observed.

3.2.1 UPV Tests

Figure 4a shows the results of the UPV measurements at different curing times. Data related to the P-waves of the control sample suggest a small but steady increase in UPV value over the whole testing period, due to the increase in compactness due to the carbonation process. Instead, the same data for S1 and S2 samples suggest that, after the initial 35 days where a significant reduction is observed, the P-waves start to slowly increase overtime at a rate similar to the control. The data also show that the P-wave values for the S2 samples are always higher than that of S1 samples, and very similar to those of the control (whereas the P-waves for the S1 samples are always lower than those of the control).

Results of the bulk density taken at the same times of the UPV measurements are reported in Figure 4b. Results show that, in the period up to 42 days all three types of paste are subject to a significant decrease in bulk density, which may be attributed to the evaporation of the pore water. Such decrease in bulk density is faster in the S1 paste, which reaches a plateau value in 35 days, compared to control and S2, which reach a plateau in 42 days instead.




Figure 4 – a) weekly P-waves UPV values and (b) bulk density of control and sucrose-modified lime pastes monitored overtime during curing from 21 to 84 days.

Figure 5 shows the dynamic Young's modulus determined using the UPV values, at 70 and 84 days. The results show similar moduli across control and S2 pastes, with the mean values of the dynamic Young's moduli in a range between 1.7-2.3 GPa. It is important to note that there was a large scattering of the results of the control and S2 pastes, possibly due to sample inhomogeneity and formation of interfaces between carbonated and non-carbonated areas. The S1 pastes show a lower Young's modulus – 1.25 and 1.32 GPa at 70 and 84 days, respectively. The results are in good agreement with those obtained by Nezerka [75], who found values of the dynamic Young's moduli of 3.2 GPa in lime mortars at 90 days of curing.




Figure 5 - Young's modulus determined in control and sucrose-modified lime pastes at 70 and 84 days of curing by P- and S-waves UPV values.

3.2.2 Flexural Tests



Figure 6 - Set-up of the three-point bending test and typical failure mode of a paste specimen.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure 6 shows an S1 paste specimen under testing. Figure 7 shows the results of the three-points bending tests. At 70 days of curing, the pastes show flexural strength in the range 0.05-0.1 MPa. At 84 days the flexural strength of S2 samples (0.23 MPa) is significantly higher than that of the control and S1 pastes (0.12 and 0.07 MPa, respectively). The results suggest that the addition of sucrose after slaking leads to a substantial increase in flexural strength of the lime pastes. A slight increase in flexural strength between 70 and 80 days was measured in the control and S1 pastes.




Figure 7 - Flexural strength of control and sucrose-modified lime pastes at 70 and 84 days of curing.

3.2.3 Compression Tests




Figure 8 - Set-up of the uniaxial compressive test and typical failure mode of a paste specimen. The yellow arrows highlight the cracks originated by the loading.

Figure 8 shows a control paste specimen under testing. Figure 9 shows the results of the uniaxial compression tests. The compressive strength of the three pastes is similar at 70 days of curing, with mean values included between 0.82 and 0.93 MPa. However, an increase in compressive strength is recorded at 84 days of curing for all samples, with compressive strength mean values ranging between 0.99 and 1.73 MPa. At this age, a significant difference in strength is recorded across the three pastes. The control paste shows the lowest compressive strength (0.99 MPa mean value), whereas the S1 paste shows a higher mean value (1.34 MPa), and the S2 paste shows the highest compressive strength (1.73 MPa mean value). The results show that the addition of sucrose to lime paste leads to an increase in compressive strength, and that this increase is more marked when sucrose is added after slaking.




Figure 9 - Compressive strength of control and sucrose-modified lime pastes at 70 and 84 days of curing.

3.2.4 Phenolphthalein Tests

Figure 10 shows the results of the phenolphthalein tests. The staining of the cross sections for the control paste shows a homogeneous, pink-stained surface at 28 days of curing, suggesting that limited carbonation must have taken place during the first 28 days. At 35 days, a non-stained external ring with depth of about 2 mm appears, suggesting a substantial formation of calcium carbonate. The depth of the rim remained approximately constant up to 56 days of curing, suggesting that between 35 and 56 days the pH of the whole section did not drastically change and that therefore the carbonation rate slowed down with respect to the period between 28 and 35 days.

Conversely, the cross sections of sucrose-modified lime pastes showed a homogeneous, pink-stained surface throughout the testing period that is up to 56 days of curing. This suggests that limited carbonation had occurred throughout the whole body of the samples.




Figure 10 - Photographic records of freshly broken sections of lime paste specimens sprayed with phenolphthalein. Comparison between control, sucrose solution-modified (S1), and solid sucrose-modified (S2) lime pastes at various ages.

3.2.5 XRD Analysis

The diffractograms of the control, S1 and S2 pastes at 28 days are shown in Figure 11. The only identified mineral phases were calcite, portlandite and dolomite. The presence of calcite is due to both, the addition of marble powder as a filler, and the carbonation of lime putty. No other calcium carbonate polymorph could be identified in any of the collected diffractograms. It is likely that the presence of calcite crystals from the marble powder fostered the formation of calcite from the carbonation reaction and, consequently, prevented the formation of other polymorphs of calcium carbonate [76]. The presence of portlandite is due to the uncarbonated lime. The limited amounts (<2% wt) of dolomite found in the samples were introduced by the marble powder. No other phases were identified in the samples even at later stages of curing.





Figure 11 - Diffractograms of the control, S1 and S2 pastes at 28 days of curing. The identified phases are shown with the following keys: P = portlandite, C = calcite; D = dolomite.

The carbonation progress in all samples was estimated through XRD measurements and expressed as the calcite-to-portlandite % content by weight ratio (C/P) as shown in Figure 12. The XRD measurements show that in the control paste a significant increase in C/P is measured at 42 days, suggesting that significant carbonation took place at this age. Conversely, at 56 days, the C/P ratio is rather stable. In the S1 paste, the calcite content is already almost twice the portlandite content, suggesting that S1 paste is more carbonated than the control at the same curing time. Both in the control and the S1 paste, a significant carbonation progression is measured at 42 days. Nonetheless, the carbonation rate in the S1 paste between 35 and 42 days is much higher than the control, and at 56 days, the carbonation progression is further proceeding at a similar rate. In the S2 paste, the C/P ratio at 28 days is similar to S1, however, overtime the increase in C/P is very small, suggesting that little

1 carbonation took place in S2 paste. At 56 days, the calcite content is still about twice the
2 portlandite content, i.e. slightly lower than the control at the same curing time.
3
4
5
6
7



29 Figure 12. Graph showing the carbonation progress in control and sucrose-modified lime
30 pastes, estimated by changes overtime of XRD-determined calcite-to-portlandite ratio (C/P).
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 3.2.6 SEM Analysis

39
40 A selection of representative images of the microstructures of all pastes at 28 and 42 days of
41 curing is shown in Figure 13. The images show that at 28 days, several well-developed,
42 hexagonal portlandite crystals in the control paste developed (Figure 13a), confirming that the
43 binder is still largely uncarbonated. The microstructure appears quite porous, with numerous
44 pores (Figure 13b). Conversely, the shape of portlandite crystals visible in the S1 and S2
45 pastes is different from the control, as it is influenced by the presence of sucrose as shown in
46 a previous study [60]. Portlandite crystals in S1 are of irregular shape and with jagged edges
47 (Figure 13c), whereas those observed in S2 paste are well-developed and with an elongated
48 prismatic habit (Figure 13e). Overall, the sucrose-modified pastes show more compact
49 microstructure than the control (Figure 13d, f). At 35 days, a marked crystal coarsening is
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

observed in S2 paste (Figure SI1 in the Supporting Information) accompanied by the formation of several slit-shaped pores, likely due to drying-induced crystal agglomeration [39] (Figure SI2 in the Supporting Information). The effect of such coarsening can be observed throughout the period of investigation, i.e. both at 42 days (Figure 13i) and at 56 days (Figure SI3 in the Supporting Information). Signs of partial carbonation can be observed in the control and S2 paste samples, in the form of small calcium carbonate nuclei forming on the surface of portlandite crystals (Figure SI4 in the Supporting Information). A microstructure characterised by agglomerates of scalenohedral calcite crystals can be seen between the areas located near the surface and those in the core of the samples (Figure 13g, h), which are characterised by a more porous texture and the presence of portlandite crystals (Figure SI5 in the Supporting Information). This confirms the formation of a carbonation crust in the control paste specimens as indicated by the phenolphthalein tests.




Figure 13 - SEM micrographs of fresh fractures of paste specimens, with and without sucrose additions, at 28 and 42 days of curing. For each curing time, the left column shows a higher magnification (view field 5 μm) and the right column a lower magnification (view field 20 μm).

4. Discussion

4.1 Effects of Sucrose Additions on Lime Paste Properties

Results of the water retention tests carried out on the fresh pastes show that sucrose-modified lime pastes retain less water, are less viscous and have a higher flowability compared to the control paste. In particular, minimum water retention, viscosity and maximum flowability are obtained when sucrose is added after the slaking (sample S2). Therefore, the results suggest that sucrose acts as a water-reducing agent, as also recently observed by Kang et al. [2] and explained by the tendency of sucrose to bind with water molecules. The loss in water retention and viscosity and the increase in flowability are a consequence of such high affinity of sucrose for water, which reduces the quantity of water available to bind to portlandite which would normally form the stiff, water-retentive paste commonly obtained by slaking quicklime with

1 pure water. This appears to be similar to the effect of the addition of sucrose on the rheology
2 of starchy pastes, where it is also observed that sucrose inhibits water activity (and therefore
3 its ability to interact with $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$) not only by limiting water availability because of the
4 hydrogen bonding network established with the hydroxyl groups of sucrose, but also by directly
5 interacting with the compounds in the mixture [77].
6
7
8
9

10
11 This study confirms the water-reducing effect already observed in [2] and proves that such
12 effect is drastically enhanced when sucrose is added to the already-slaked lime putty
13 compared to when sucrose is added to the water before the slaking. Arguably, the water-
14 reducing action is not as intense in the S1 paste as in the S2 samples because of the thermal
15 degradation of sucrose when added before slaking for S1 samples, due to the highly
16 exothermal reaction of lime and water (to which S2 was not subject to) as recently discussed
17 by the authors [60]. The high temperature developed during slaking (as high as 200°C [78])
18 is likely to lead to the degradation of sucrose molecules through various reaction pathways
19 and eventually to the formation of different species such as monomers glucose and fructose
20 along with other low molecular weight compounds [79]. To this regard, it has been indeed
21 reported that glucose and fructose have a lower capacity to bind water molecules with respect
22 to sucrose [80, 81], which might explain the reduced effects of sucrose in the S1 lime putty.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 Therefore, the different properties observed in S1 and S2 lime pastes can be explained as
40 follows. In the S1 lime putty, the presence of sucrose molecules and of their thermal
41 degradation products during slaking can affect the nucleation and growth of portlandite
42 crystals. In turn, the combined effect of the sucrose-modified shape and size of portlandite
43 crystals [60], as well as the presence of sucrose and of its thermal degradation products might
44 hinder the binding of pore water with lime particles resulting in the observed increase in
45 flowability and loss of water retention and viscosity of the lime putty. In the S2 lime putty, the
46 increase in flowability and water retention/viscosity loss occur to a much greater extent due to
47 the higher concentration of sucrose, which did not undergo thermal degradation as in S1, and
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2 reduces the formation of lime-water bonds with the consequent result of impairing the
3 inherently high water retentivity of lime putty [82].
4

5 The decreased viscosity and increased flowability observed in the sucrose-modified putties
6 suggest that sucrose acts as a water-reducing agent in a similar way to superplasticisers such
7 as lignosulfonates [83]. Although a high water retention is often regarded as an advantageous
8 property (as it is usually accompanied by a good workability and limited bleeding phenomena),
9 it is also related to a higher risk of crack formation upon drying shrinkage [84]. The empirical
10 observations of our samples throughout the curing period were consistent with the observed
11 fresh properties and, indeed, the susceptibility to cracking and splitting showed the following
12 trend: control >> S1 > S2 (Figure 3). This observation is also in agreement with the bulk density
13 measurements, which show an initial drastic reduction in bulk density in S2 samples with
14 respect to the control and S1 samples, suggesting a faster initial water loss in S2 samples.
15 This is consistent with an enhanced water-reducing effect of sucrose in S2 samples.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 The UPV measurements showed that S2 samples have on average a greater compactness
31 than the control and S1 paste. Several advantageous effects of water-reducing admixtures on
32 the properties of lime mortars have been reported, included allowing a substantial reduction
33 in water content needed to achieve the required consistency, improvement in mechanical
34 strength, while keeping a sufficient porosity and water vapour permeability [85–87], through
35 the use of superplasticizers such as modified lignosulfonates and polycarboxylate ethers. Our
36 UPV results suggest that sucrose, similarly to lignosulfonates, acts as a water-reducing agent
37 and contributes to an increase in compactness of hardened lime pastes, especially if added
38 after the slaking process. The UPV results are in agreement with the results of compression
39 and flexural tests, which show an increase in strength in sucrose-modified lime pastes,
40 especially if sucrose is added after slaking.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Our results of the compression and flexural tests are in good agreement with those obtained
56 by Cizer et al. [22] and Kang et al. [2], who ascribe the strength increase to a higher
57 homogeneity within the load-bearing section of the samples caused by the inhibition of the
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 formation of a carbonation rim due to the presence of sucrose molecules, which is also
2 consistent with our phenolphthalein tests (Figure 10), although the carbonation rate can be
3 accelerated or slowed down depending on whether sucrose is added before or after the
4 slaking (Figure 12).
5
6
7

8
9 Remarkably, the combined results of XRD, phenolphthalein and mechanical tests suggest that
10 the degree of conversion of calcium hydroxide into calcium carbonate in a lime paste is not
11 necessarily correlated with an increase in mechanical strength. A similar result was obtained
12 in a previous study [88], where different lime slaking conditions (without use of organic
13 compounds) were tested and the least carbonated lime mortar performed better in terms of
14 compressive and flexural strength than the mortars where carbonation was more advanced.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22
23 Results of the microstructural analyses suggest that a carbonation rim developed on the
24 surface of the control paste after 35 days of curing, and such rim seems to act as a passivation
25 layer against further carbonation by limiting new atmospheric CO₂ reacting with lime. The self-
26 limiting character of the carbonation reaction is well known [89–92] and, while it may represent
27 a drawback for the setting of lime mortars, it is instead desirable when the Ca(OH)₂ is part of
28 a reinforced concrete, in order to preserve the concrete from excessive acidification and
29 corrosion of rebars [91, 93]. The formation of a passivation layer in the control paste could
30 have been fostered by the coarse microstructure observed at the SEM (Figure 13**Error!**
31 **Reference source not found.**b) at 28 days, which allowed for a localised large CO₂
32 penetration and, in turn, large carbonation of the sample' surface (i.e. the part of the samples
33 immediately exposed to the air). Interestingly, no evidence of the formation of such a
34 passivating layer was found in the sucrose-modified pastes. These results are consistent with
35 the study of Kang et al. [2], who observed a homogeneous and slow carbonation process
36 across the whole section of sucrose-modified lime mortars, in contrast with the rapid and
37 localised carbonation process observed in plain lime mortars. The homogeneous carbonation
38 across the whole body of the specimens of sucrose-modified lime paste revealed by the
39 phenolphthalein test can be partially related to the different microstructure and porosity of
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 samples S1 and S2 compared to the control. These, in fact, are features that are known to
2 affect the evaporation rate of pore water and, in turn, the carbonation of lime mortars [22].
3
4 Such differences can be observed in the SEM images, where S1 and S2 pastes show particle
5 coarsening (Figure 13**Error! Reference source not found.**i,k), while in the control, the micro
6 texture becomes gradually more compact towards the surface (Figure 13**Error! Reference**
7 **source not found.**g), where carbonation takes place first. The textural differences can be
8 related to the different shape and size of portlandite crystals, affected by the presence of
9 sucrose, and to the homogeneous texture of the sucrose-modified pastes conferred by a
10 quasi-monodisperse population of lime particles slaked in sucrose solution, as opposed to the
11 trimodal distribution of plain lime pastes [60].
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 Test results further show that the addition of sucrose leads to different effects depending on
23 the addition method (i.e. before or after slaking). In particular, carbonation is more advanced
24 in the S1 paste (where sucrose is added to the slaking water before slaking) with respect to
25 the control, whereas it is slightly less advanced than the control in the S2 paste (where sucrose
26 was added after slaking). Remarkably, these trends are only revealed by the XRD
27 measurements through the quantitative analysis of calcite and portlandite content (while the
28 phenolphthalein tests show a homogeneously pink-stained surface in both S1 and S2 pastes,
29 suggesting that carbonation takes place homogeneously across the whole sections in both
30 pastes, as previously mentioned). The differences in carbonation progress between S1 and
31 S2 can be explained by two factors.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 The first factor is the different composition of the pore water in the two samples, since sucrose
46 is subject to different degradation patterns [60]. In fact, as previously mentioned, when sucrose
47 is added to the slaking water before slaking, sucrose is subject to thermal treatment that leads
48 to the degradation of the molecule into several products (hence the pore water is rich in smaller
49 molecules such as fructose and glucose [60]). When, instead, sucrose is added after slaking,
50 sucrose is not subject to thermal degradation and the pore water is rich in sucrose. The way
51 the different molecules affect the carbonation reaction is still unknown but our data suggest
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 that they may have an effect. It can be speculated that the adsorption of different species on
2 the portlandite crystals and carbonate nuclei can be the reason of the different carbonation
3 rate. Sucrose molecules in the paste S2, may hinder the reaction with CO₂ and, hence, slow
4 down the carbonation reaction [94] whereas, conversely, of the product of the thermal
5 degradation of sucrose in the S1 paste, have a less relevant effect on the carbonation reaction
6 through paths that are yet to be determined but that can be linked with characteristics like
7 molecular structure, formation of new functional groups, and chemical affinity.
8
9

10 The second factor is the different shape and size of portlandite crystals between S2 and S1
11 pastes (affected by the presence of sucrose in the former and by sucrose and its thermal
12 degradation by-products in the latter) that affect the carbonation reaction as well. The
13 morphology of portlandite crystals of the paste S1 are characterised by irregular crystal facets
14 [60]. Such habit modification is likely to bring as a consequence a strong increase in specific
15 surface area and, in turn, to promote carbonation as suggested for portlandite habit
16 modifications induced by several organic additives [39, 65]. The correlation between specific
17 surface area and carbonation rate of lime putty is not well-established, but it has been
18 previously proposed in studies investigating the effects of long-term maturation of lime putty
19 [95, 96].
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 **4.2 Implications for the Construction Industry**

43 Lime-based building materials can cover a wide variety of functions and applications in civil
44 engineering as well as in the conservation of the built heritage, such as bedding mortars for
45 masonries, plasters and renders, repointing, whitewash, finishing mortars, repairs, and
46 flooring [97]. Each of these functions require specific properties in order to optimise the
47 performance of the used mixture. For example, bedding mortars require a certain workability,
48 adequate adhesion to the masonry units, has to be sufficiently strong when set with limited
49 shrinkage, and has to be elastic enough to accommodate movements [20]. Repair mortars,
50 on the other hand, also require compatibility with the original substrate, both in terms of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 physical-chemical, mechanical, and aesthetic properties [17, 98]. Thus, depending on the final
2 use of a lime-based mixture, several characteristics (selection of raw materials, formulations,
3 type of application, etc.) need to be gauged in order to obtain the desired properties [98, 99].
4
5

6
7 This study suggests that the mode of addition of sucrose is a critical parameter influencing the
8 properties of the final mixture. Paste S1, produced by dissolving the sucrose in water prior to
9 the lime slaking, exhibits a lower dynamic elastic modulus, a less compact structure and lower
10 mechanical strength with respect to paste S2, produced by adding the sucrose to the already
11 slaked lime putty, which instead exhibits a greater mechanical strength and higher
12 compactness. Therefore, pastes of type S1 can be advantageous if applied when greater
13 elasticity is needed, e.g. a bedding mortar in a masonry where movements are likely to occur
14 as a result of creep or thermal effects [20]. Moreover, S1 paste showed to carbonate much
15 faster than S2, therefore it can be advantageous for outdoor applications such as renders and
16 plasters, where rainy weather conditions can impair the quality of the work especially at the
17 very early stage, when lime-based materials are still rather water-soluble [100] and the
18 mechanical properties are not a major issue. An S1-like mortar can show advantageous
19 properties in such applications with respect to plain lime-based mixtures as its workability is
20 slightly improved while carbonation occurs in a homogeneous manner throughout its depth,
21 avoiding the formation of an outer carbonated crust which can readily detach [95]. The
22 properties of the S1 paste are likely to be conferred by the different portlandite crystallisation
23 pathway that occurs when lime is slaked in presence of sucrose and that leads to the formation
24 of smaller, mono-disperse crystals and an overall finer micro texture [2, 39, 60] and such
25 microstructural features are likely to make the Ca(OH)_2 more prone to carbonation [101].
26
27 Conversely, the S2 paste prepared in this study exhibits big, well-developed portlandite
28 crystals [60] whose high lattice order and crystallinity is likely to contribute to the greater
29 compactness and higher mechanical strength observed in this study. This type of lime-based
30 materials can be advantageous when used for applications where an adequate mechanical
31 performance is required, e.g. repair mortars [14, 102].
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

5. Conclusions

This research shows that sucrose affects the fresh properties of lime-based pastes as well as those of the hardened samples. In particular, sucrose lowers water retention of the pastes, reduces their viscosity and increases the flowability. The effects are more marked when sucrose is added after slaking (sample S2) rather than before (sample S1), because of the higher concentration of sucrose molecules – responsible for the effects – in sample S2 due to the lack of thermal degradation of sucrose (characteristic of the process in S1). Overall, our results indicate that sucrose acts as a water-reducing agent with more marked effect when added after slaking.

During curing, sucrose prevents the formation of a passivating layer of CaCO_3 at the interface between the sample and the surrounding air. The addition mode of sucrose (before or after slaking) results in different carbonation rates of the pastes: S1 pastes carbonate faster than the control, whereas S2 pastes carbonate slower than the control. Such difference is likely caused by different portlandite crystals morphology, and/or by the presence of different organic species (sucrose in S2 paste; thermal degradation by-products in S1 paste) adsorbed onto $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ particles, and by the different porosity. Mechanical tests suggest that the S2 pastes are more compact and stronger both, in compression and in flexure than the control and the S1 paste.

Future research should investigate the effects of sucrose and its degradation products at various concentrations to identify the best concentration that maximises the properties above mentioned. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the presence of sucrose in a lime mortar might promote biodegradation as this organic compound is known to promote fungal growth and growth of other microorganisms and, in doing so, it promotes several biodegradation pathways [103, 104]. It should be then investigated the durability of sucrose-modified lime mixtures in order to promote their use in the construction industry.

Declarations

Competing Interests

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization: Cecilia Pesce, Giovanni Pesce, Marco Molinari; **Methodology:** Cecilia Pesce, Giovanni Pesce; **Formal analysis and investigation:** Cecilia Pesce; **Writing - original draft preparation:** Cecilia Pesce, Giovanni Pesce; **Writing - review and editing:** Cecilia Pesce, Giovanni Pesce, Marco Corradi, Marco Molinari; **Funding acquisition:** Giovanni Pesce; **Supervision:** Giovanni Pesce.

References

1. Ritchie H, Roser M (2020) Emissions by sector. In: CO2 GHG Emiss. database. ourworldindata.org/emissions-by-sector. Accessed 13 May 2021
2. Kang SH, Kwon YH, Moon J (2020) Controlling the hydration and carbonation in lime-based materials: Advantage of slow carbonation in CO2 curable construction materials. *Constr Build Mater* 249:118749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.118749>
3. Provis JL, Bernal SA (2014) Geopolymers and Related Alkali-Activated Materials. *Annu Rev Mater Res* 44:299–327. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-070813-113515>
4. Provis JL (2018) Alkali-activated materials. *Cem Concr Res* 114:40–48. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.02.009>
5. Oey T, Kumar A, Bullard JW, et al (2013) The Filler Effect: The Influence of Filler Content and Surface Area on Cementitious Reaction Rates. *J Am Ceram Soc* 96:1978–1990. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jace.12264>
6. Scrivener K, Martirena F, Bishnoi S, Maity S (2018) Calcined clay limestone cements (LC3). *Cem Concr Res* 114:49–56.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.08.017>

- 1
2
3 7. Hansen EF, Rodríguez-Navarro C, Balen K (2008) Lime Putties and Mortars. *Stud*
4
5 *Conserv* 53:9–23. <https://doi.org/10.1179/sic.2008.53.1.9>
6
7
- 8 8. Moropoulou A, Bakolas A, Aggelakopoulou E (2001) The effects of limestone
9
10 characteristic, granulation and calcination temperature to the reactivity of quicklime.
11
12 *Cem Concr Res* 31:633–639
13
14
- 15 9. Cazalla O, Rodriguez-Navarro C, Sebastian E, Cultrone G (2000) Effects on
16
17 Traditional Lime Mortar Carbonation. *J Am Ceram Soc* 83:1070–1076
18
19
- 20 10. Rodriguez-Navarro C, Hansen E, Ginell WS (1998) Calcium Hydroxide Crystal
21
22 Evolution upon Aging of Lime Putty. *J Am Ceram Soc* 81:3032–3034.
23
24
25 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1998.tb02735.x>
26
27
- 28 11. Elert K, Rodriguez-Navarro C, Pardo ES, et al (2002) Lime mortars for the
29
30 conservation of historic buildings. *Stud Conserv* 47:62–75.
31
32
33 <https://doi.org/10.1179/sic.2002.47.1.62>
34
- 35 12. Cultrone G, Sebastián E, Huertas MO (2005) Forced and natural carbonation of lime-
36
37 based mortars with and without additives: Mineralogical and textural changes. *Cem*
38
39 *Concr Res* 35:2278–2289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.12.012>
40
41
- 42 13. Cizer Ö, Rodriguez-Navarro C, Ruiz-Agudo E, et al (2012) Phase and morphology
43
44 evolution of calcium carbonate precipitated by carbonation of hydrated lime. *J Mater*
45
46 *Sci* 47:6151–6165. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10853-012-6535-7>
47
48
49
- 50 14. Moropoulou A, Bakolas A, Moundoulas P, et al (2005) Strength development and lime
51
52 reaction in mortars for repairing historic masonries. *Cem Concr Compos* 27:289–294.
53
54
55 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2004.02.017>
56
- 57 15. Lawrence M (2006) A study of carbonation in non-hydraulic lime mortars. University of
58
59 Bath
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
16. Ventolà L, Vendrell M, Giraldez P, Merino L (2011) Traditional organic additives improve lime mortars: New old materials for restoration and building natural stone fabrics. *Constr Build Mater* 25:3313–3318.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2011.03.020>
17. Lanas J, Alvarez JI (2003) Masonry repair lime-based mortars: Factors affecting the mechanical behavior. *Cem Concr Res* 33:1867–1876. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846\(03\)00210-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(03)00210-2)
18. Martínez-Ramírez S, Puertas F, Blanco-Varela MT, et al (1998) Behaviour of repair lime mortars by wet deposition process. *Cem Concr Res* 28:221–229.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846\(97\)00262-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(97)00262-7)
19. Ball RJ, Molinari M, Grant J, Parker SC (2016) Atomistic Modelling for the Study of Dissolution and Carbonation of Lime. In: 36th Cement and Concrete Science Conference. Cardiff, UK
20. Hendry EAW (2001) Masonry walls: Materials and construction. *Constr Build Mater* 15:323–330. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccx019>
21. Rosson BT, Søyland K, Boothby TE (1998) Inelastic behavior of sand-lime mortar joint masonry arches. *Eng Struct* 20:14–24. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0296\(97\)00052-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0296(97)00052-7)
22. Cizer Ö, Ruiz-Agudo E, Rodriguez-Navarro C (2018) Kinetic effect of carbonic anhydrase enzyme on the carbonation reaction of lime mortar. *Int J Archit Herit* 12:779–789. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2017.1413604>
23. Smith AS (2016) Freeze-thaw durability of cement-lime-sand mortars. *Brick Block Mason Trends, Innov Challenges - Proc 16th Int Brick Block Mason Conf IBMAC 2016* 547–554
24. Klemm AJ, Wiggins DE (2015) Lime mortar and sacrificial protection of heritage

stonework. Proc Inst Civ Eng - Eng Hist Herit 168:167–176.

<https://doi.org/10.1680/jenhh.15.00013>

25. Wiggins D, Ph H, Mice DI (2018) Functional Behaviour of Traditional Lime Mortar
26. De Nardi C, Cecchi A, Ferrara L, et al (2017) Effect of age and level of damage on the autogenous healing of lime mortars. Compos Part B Eng 124:144–157.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2017.05.041>
27. Auvinen J, Wirtanen L (2008) The influence of photocatalytic interior paints on indoor air quality. Atmos Environ 42:4101–4112.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.031>
28. Maskell D, Ferreira Pinto Da Silva C, Mower K, et al (2017) Bio-Based Plaster for Improved Indoor Air Quality. In: International Conference on Bio-Based Building Materials. Clermont-Ferrand
29. Shirakawa MA, Beech IB, Tapper R, et al (2003) The development of a method to evaluate bioreceptivity of indoor mortar plastering to fungal growth. Int Biodeterior Biodegrad 51:83–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-8305\(01\)00129-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0964-8305(01)00129-9)
30. Fourmentin M, Faure P, Gauffinet S, et al (2015) Porous structure and mechanical strength of cement-lime pastes during setting. Cem Concr Res 77:1–8.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.06.009>
31. Ismael NS, Ghanim MN (2015) Properties of blended cement using metakaolin and hydrated lime. Adv Cem Res 27:321–328. <https://doi.org/10.1680/adcr.14.00003>
32. Kuparinen K, Vakkilainen E (2017) Green pulp mill: Renewable alternatives to fossil fuels in lime kiln operations. BioResources 12:4031–4048.
<https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.12.2.4031-4048>
33. Hart PW (2020) Alternative “green” lime kiln fuels: Part ii—woody biomass, bio-oils, gasification, and hydrogen. Tappi J 19:271–279. <https://doi.org/10.32964/TJ19.5.271>

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
34. Francey S, Tran H, Berglin N (2011) Global survey on lime kiln operation, energy consumption, and alternative fuel usage. *Tappi J* 10:19–26.
<https://doi.org/10.32964/tj10.8.19>
35. Tregambi C, Solimene R, Montagnaro F, et al (2018) Solar-driven production of lime for ordinary Portland cement formulation. *Sol Energy* 173:759–768.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2018.08.018>
36. Henrion L, Árvai J, Lutzke L, Sick V (2021) Using captured CO₂ in everyday products could help fight climate change, but will consumers want them? In: *Conversat*.
<https://theconversation.com/using-captured-co-in-everyday-products-could-help-fight-climate-change-but-will-consumers-want-them-158683>. Accessed 26 Nov 2021
37. Sébaïbi Y, Dheilly RM, Beaudoin B, Quéneudec M (2006) The effect of various slaked limes on the microstructure of a lime-cement-sand mortar. *Cem. Concr. Res.* 36:971–978
38. Ergenç D, Fort R (2018) Accelerating carbonation in lime-based mortar in high CO₂ environments. *Constr Build Mater* 188:314–325.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.08.125>
39. Rodriguez-navarro C, Burgos-cara A, Lorenzo F Di, et al (2020) Nonclassical Crystallization of Calcium Hydroxide via Amorphous Precursors and the Role of Additives. *Cryst Growth Des.* <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.cgd.0c00241>
40. Colombo A, Geiker MR, Justnes H, et al (2017) On the effect of calcium lignosulfonate on the rheology and setting time of cement paste. *Cem Concr Res* 100:435–444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2017.06.009>
41. Pesce C, Pesce GL (2020) Effect of Lignosulfonates on the Morphology of Calcium Hydroxide Crystals. In: *40th Cement and Concrete Science Conference*. Rilem, Sheffield

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
42. Yang, Fuwei, Bingjian Zhang QM (2010) Study of Sticky Rice - Lime Mortar Technology. *Acc Chem Res* 43:
 43. El-Turki A, Ball RJ, Wang CF, Allen GC (2005) A study of novel lime based mortars consisting of lime, oyster shell lime, clay, sugar, sand and rice using Focused Ion Beam microscopy, Raman spectroscopy and mechanical testing. In: 10th Euroseminar on Microscopy Applied to Building Materials. Paisley, UK
 44. Zhao P, Jackson MD, Zhang Y, et al (2015) Material characteristics of ancient Chinese lime binder and experimental reproductions with organic admixtures. *Constr Build Mater* 84:477–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2015.03.065>
 45. Fang SQ, Zhang H, Zhang BJ, Zheng Y (2014) The identification of organic additives in traditional lime mortar. *J Cult Herit* 15:144–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2013.04.001>
 46. Li J, Zhang B (2019) Why Ancient Chinese People Like to Use Organic–Inorganic Composite Mortars?—Application History and Reasons of Organic–Inorganic Mortars in Ancient Chinese Buildings. *J Archaeol Method Theory* 26:502–536. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-018-9380-4>
 47. Carran D, Hughes J, Leslie A, Kennedy C (2012) A short history of the use of lime as a building material beyond Europe and North America. *Int J Archit Herit* 6:117–146. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2010.511694>
 48. Cowper AD (1927) *Lime and Lime Mortars*. Building Research Station, London
 49. Centauro I, Cantisani E, Grandin C, et al (2017) The Influence of Natural Organic Materials on the Properties of Traditional Lime-Based Mortars. *Int J Archit Herit* 11:670–684. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2017.1287978>
 50. Thirumalini P, Ravi R, Sekar SK, Nambirajan M (2011) Study on the performance enhancement of lime mortar used in ancient temples and monuments in India. *Indian*

J Sci Technol 4:1484–1487. <https://doi.org/10.17485/ijst/2011/v4i11/30275>

- 1
2
3 51. Ravi R, Rajesh M, Thirumalini S (2018) Mechanical and physical properties of natural
4
5 additive dispersed lime. *J Build Eng* 15:70–77.
6
7 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2017.10.009>
8
9
- 10 52. Thirumalini S, Ravi R, Rajesh M (2018) Experimental investigation on physical and
11
12 mechanical properties of lime mortar: Effect of organic addition. *J Cult Herit* 31:97–
13
14 104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2017.10.009>
15
16
- 17 53. Martínez-Ramírez S, Higuera LR, Cascales I, et al (2019) New approach to
18
19 nanolime synthesis at ambient temperature. *SN Appl Sci* 1:1–8.
20
21 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-018-0122-8>
22
23
- 24 54. MILESTONE NB (1979) Hydration of Tricalcium Silicate in the Presence of
25
26 Lignosulfonates, Glucose, and Sodium Gluconate. *J Am Ceram Soc* 62:321–324.
27
28 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1979.tb19068.x>
29
30
- 31 55. Young JF (1972) A review of the mechanisms of set-retardation in portland cement
32
33 pastes containing organic admixtures. *Cem Concr Res* 2:415–433.
34
35 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846\(72\)90057-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0008-8846(72)90057-9)
36
37
- 38 56. Banfill PFG (1986) Precipitation of calcium hydroxide in the presence of organic
39
40 compounds. *J Mater Sci Lett* 5:33–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01671426>
41
42
- 43 57. Pannetier N, Khoukh A, François J (2001) Physico-chemical study of sucrose and
44
45 calcium ions interactions in alkaline aqueous solutions. *Macromol Symp* 166:203–
46
47 208. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
48
49
- 50 58. Rodriguez-Navarro C, Ruiz-Agudo E, Burgos-Cara A, et al (2017) Crystallization and
51
52 Colloidal Stabilization of Ca(OH)₂ in the Presence of Nopal Juice (*Opuntia ficus*
53
54 *indica*): Implications in Architectural Heritage Conservation. *Langmuir* 33:10936–
55
56 10950. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b02423>
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
59. Tzilivakis J, Jaggard K, Lewis KA, et al (2005) Environmental impact and economic assessment for UK sugar beet production systems. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 107:341–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.12.016>
 60. Pesce C, Pesce GL, Molinari M, Richardson A (2021) Effects of organic additives on calcium hydroxide crystallisation during lime slaking. *Cem Concr Res* 139: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2020.106254>
 61. Garcia-Carmona J, Gomez-Morales J, Fraile-Sainz J, Rodriguez-Clemente R (2003) Morphological characteristics and aggregation of calcite crystals obtained by bubbling CO₂ through a Ca(OH)₂ suspension in the presence of additives. *Powder Technol* 130:307–315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-5910\(02\)00209-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-5910(02)00209-7)
 62. BS EN 459-1 (2015) Building lime. Definitions, specifications and conformity criteria
 63. Doglioni F, Scappin L, Squassina A, Trovò A (2017) Conoscenza e restauro degli intonaci e delle superfici murarie esterne di Venezia. *Campionature, esemplificazioni, indirizzi di intervento*. Il prato, Venezia
 64. Rodriguez-Navarro C, Ruiz-Agudo E, Ortega-Huertas M, Hansen E (2005) Nanostructure and irreversible colloidal behavior of Ca(OH)₂: Implications in cultural heritage conservation. *Langmuir* 21:10948–10957. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la051338f>
 65. Rodriguez-Navarro C, Ruiz-Agudo E, Burgos-Cara A, et al (2017) Crystallization and Colloidal Stabilization of Ca(OH)₂ in the Presence of Nopal Juice (*Opuntia ficus indica*): Implications in Architectural Heritage Conservation. *Langmuir* 33:10936–10950. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.7b02423>
 66. Rosell JR, Haurie L, Navarro A, Cantalapiedra IR (2014) Influence of the traditional slaking process on the lime putty characteristics. *Constr Build Mater* 55:423–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.01.007>
 67. Navrátilová E, Tihlaříková E, Neděla V, et al (2017) Effect of the preparation of lime

putties on their properties. Sci Rep 7:1–9. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17527-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17527-3)

3

68. British Standards Institution (2016) BS EN 196-1:2016 Methods of testing cement
69. British Standards Institution (2019) BS EN 12350- 5:2019 Testing fresh concrete - Flow table test. 18
70. Hydramotion Ltd (2013) Viscolite 700: VL7. Portable Viscometer User Manual
71. BS EN 459-2: (2010) BSI Standards Publication Building lime Part 2: Test methods
72. Hendrickx R, Minet J, Van Balen K, Van Gemert D (2008) Workability of mortars with building lime: Assessment by a panel of masons versus lab testing. In: International Brick & Block Masonry Conference
73. Nunes C, Slížková Z, Stefanidou M, Němeček J (2016) Microstructure of lime and lime-pozzolana pastes with nanosilica. Cem Concr Res 83:152–163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2016.02.004>
74. British Standards Institution (2006) Products and systems for the protection and repair of concrete structures - Test methods - Determination of carbonation depth in hardened concrete by the phenolphthalein method. BS EN 14630:2006
75. Nezerka V (2013) Comprehensive Study on Microstructure and Mechanical Properties of Lime-Pozzolan Pastes
76. Meldrum FC, Colfen H (2008) Controlling {Mineral} {Morphologies} and {Structures} in {Biological} and {Synthetic} {Systems}. Chem Rev 108:4332–4432. <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr8002856>
77. Salvador A, Sanz T, Fiszman SM (2006) Dynamic rheological characteristics of wheat flour–water doughs. Effect of adding NaCl, sucrose and yeast. Food Hydrocoll 20:780–786. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodhyd.2005.07.009>

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
78. Boynton RS (1980) Chemistry and Technology of Lime and Limestone. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York
 79. Šimkovic I, Šurina I, Vričan M (2003) Primary reactions of sucrose thermal degradation. *J Anal Appl Pyrolysis* 70:493–504.
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370\(03\)00007-X](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2370(03)00007-X)
 80. Zhang X, Tong Q, Zhu W, Ren F (2013) Pasting, rheological properties and gelatinization kinetics of tapioca starch with sucrose or glucose. *J Food Eng* 114:255–261. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2012.08.002>
 81. Psimouli V, Oreopoulou V (2012) The effect of alternative sweeteners on batter rheology and cake properties. *J Sci Food Agric* 92:99–105.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.4547>
 82. Izaguirre A, Lanas J, Álvarez JI (2009) Effect of water-repellent admixtures on the behaviour of aerial lime-based mortars. *Cem Concr Res* 39:1095–1104.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2009.07.026>
 83. Pérez-Nicolás M, Duran A, Navarro-Blasco I, et al (2016) Study on the effectiveness of PNS and LS superplasticizers in air lime-based mortars. *Cem Concr Res* 82:11–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.12.006>
 84. Izaguirre A, Lanas J, Álvarez JI (2011) Characterization of aerial lime-based mortars modified by the addition of two different water-retaining agents. *Cem Concr Compos* 33:309–318. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2010.09.008>
 85. Silva BA, Ferreira Pinto AP, Gomes A, Candeias A (2021) Short- and long-term properties of lime mortars with water-reducers and a viscosity-modifier. *J Build Eng* 43:103086. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2021.103086>
 86. Fernández JM, Duran A, Navarro-Blasco I, et al (2013) Influence of nanosilica and a polycarboxylate ether superplasticizer on the performance of lime mortars. *Cem*

Concr Res 43:12–24. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2012.10.007>

- 1
2
3 87. Vyšvařil M, Žižlavský T (2017) Effect of chitosan ethers on fresh state properties of
4 lime mortars. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 251:12039. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/251/1/012039)
5
6 899x/251/1/012039
7
8
- 9
10 88. Pesce C, Godina MC, Henry A, Pesce G (2021) Towards a better understanding of
11 hot-mixed mortars for the conservation of historic buildings: the role of water
12 temperature and steam during lime slaking. Herit Sci 9:1–18.
13
14 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-021-00546-9>
15
16
- 17 89. Cizer Ö, Van Balen K, Elsen J, Van Gemert D (2012) Real-time investigation of
18 reaction rate and mineral phase modifications of lime carbonation. Constr Build Mater
19 35:741–751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2012.04.036>
20
21
- 22 90. Dheilly RM, Tudo J, Queneudec M (2002) Influence of storage conditions on the
23 carbonation of powdered Ca (OH) 2. 16:155–161
24
25
- 26 91. Galan I, Glasser FP, Baza D, Andrade C (2015) Assessment of the protective effect of
27 carbonation on portlandite crystals. Cem Concr Res 74:68–77.
28
29 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2015.04.001>
30
31
- 32 92. Ruiz-Agudo E, Kudłacz K, Putnis C V., et al (2013) Dissolution and carbonation of
33 portlandite [Ca(OH)₂] single crystals. Environ Sci Technol 47:11342–11349.
34
35 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es402061c>
36
37
- 38 93. Woyciechowski P, Woliński P, Adamczewski G (2019) Prediction of Carbonation
39 Progress in Concrete Containing Calcareous Fly Ash Co-Binder. Mater. 12
40
41
- 42 94. Cheung J, Jeknavorian A, Roberts L, Silva D (2011) Impact of admixtures on the
43 hydration kinetics of Portland cement. Cem Concr Res 41:1289–1309.
44
45 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2011.03.005>
46
47
- 48 95. Van Balen K (2005) Carbonation reaction of lime, kinetics at ambient temperature.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Cem Concr Res 35:647–657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2004.06.020>

- 1
2
3 96. Rodríguez-Navarro C, Cazalla O, Elert K, Sebastian E (2002) Liesegang pattern
4
5 development in carbonating traditional lime mortars. Proc R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci
6
7 458:2261–2273. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2002.0975>
8
9
- 10 97. Alvarez JI, Veiga R, Martínez-Ramírez S, et al (2021) RILEM TC 277-LHS report: a
11
12 review on the mechanisms of setting and hardening of lime-based binding systems.
13
14 Mater Struct Constr 54:. <https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-021-01648-3>
15
16
- 17 98. Rodríguez-Navarro C (2012) Binders in historical buildings: Traditional lime in
18
19 conservation. Semin. SEM 91–112
20
21
- 22 99. Veiga R (2017) Air lime mortars: What else do we need to know to apply them in
23
24 conservation and rehabilitation interventions? A review. Constr Build Mater 157:132–
25
26 140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.09.080>
27
28
29
- 30 100. Fusade L, Orr SA, Wood C, et al (2019) Drying response of lime-mortar joints in
31
32 granite masonry after an intense rainfall and after repointing. Herit Sci 7:38.
33
34 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40494-019-0277-7>
35
36
- 37 101. Beruto D, Barco L, Belleri G, Searcy AW (1981) Vapor-Phase Hydration of
38
39 Submicrometer CaO Particles. J Am Ceram Soc 64:74–80.
40
41 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1981.tb09579.x>
42
43
44
- 45 102. Arandigoyen M, Alvarez JI (2007) Pore structure and mechanical properties of
46
47 cement–lime mortars. Cem Concr Res 37:767–775.
48
49 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2007.02.023>
50
51
- 52 103. George RP, Ramya S, Ramachandran D, Kamachi Mudali U (2013) Studies on
53
54 Biodegradation of normal concrete surfaces by fungus *Fusarium* sp. Cem Concr Res
55
56 47:8–13. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2013.01.010>
57
58
- 59 104. Ryparová P, Wasserbauer R, Ráková Z (2016) The Cause of Occurrence of
60
61
62
63
64
65

Microorganisms in Civil Engineering and the Dangers Associated with their Growth.

Procedia Eng 151:300–305.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.07.402>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

[Click here to view linked References](#)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Cecilia Pesce
Department of Architecture and Built Environment
Faculty of Engineering & Environment
Northumbria University
Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

cecilia.pesce@northumbria.ac.uk

Newcastle, 26th October 2022

OBJECT: research article submission

Editorial Office
Materials and Structures

Please, find enclosed a manuscript entitled "*Effects of Sucrose on Fresh-State and Setting Properties of Air Lime*" which the authors wish to submit for publication in Materials and Structures journal as a research article.

In this paper, we investigate the effect of sucrose additions on the characteristics of air lime as a binder, including mineralogy, microstructure, fresh and hardened properties, and carbonation rate. To our knowledge, very little in-depth research is currently available on the topic which can be of particular relevance for civil engineering and conservation purpose.

We believe that this manuscript is appropriate for publication in Materials and Structures as it furthers the current knowledge on the potential of air lime as a binder, a material that shows peculiar qualities in terms of sustainability and carbon footprint and whose deep understanding is therefore crucial for the development of compatible materials for construction and conservation of built heritage.

All authors declare that they have no competing interests.

All authors have approved the manuscript for submission.

We confirm that neither the manuscript nor any parts of its content are currently under consideration or published in another journal.

Thank you for your consideration of this manuscript.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Pesce

Supporting Information

Dynamic elastic modulus calculations

The P and S wave velocity measurements were used to calculate the dynamic Young's modulus (E_d) [291]. The P wave modulus (M) was determined according to Equation 0-1:

$$M = \rho V_p^2 \quad \text{Equation 0-1}$$

Where ρ is the bulk density of the material (determined by the weight of the sample and its envelope volume) and V_p is the pulse velocity of the P wave. The S wave modulus, or shear modulus (G), was determined by the S wave velocity according to Equation 0-2:

$$G = \rho V_s^2 \quad \text{Equation 0-2}$$

Where V_s is the pulse velocity of the S wave. The Poisson's ratio (ν) can be determined according to Equation 0-3:

$$\nu = \frac{M - 2G}{2M - 2G} \quad \text{Equation 0-3}$$

The dynamic Young's modulus (E_d) can be determined with Equation 0-4:

$$E_d = 2G(1 + \nu) \quad \text{Equation 0-4}$$

SEM Images




Figure SI 1. SEM micrograph of S1 pastes at 35 days of ageing showing extensive particle agglomeration.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure SI 2. SEM micrograph of S1 paste at 35 days of ageing showing a remarkably porous microstructure.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure SI 3. SEM micrograph of S1 pastes at 56 days of ageing showing extensive particle agglomeration.




Figure SI 4. SEM micrographs of control (left) and S2 (right) paste showing calcium carbonate nuclei on the surface of portlandite crystals at 35 days of ageing.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Figure SI 5. SEM micrograph of control paste at 42 days of ageing showing portlandite crystals in the core of the samples.